

## Some Properties of Two-dimensional Szasz Operator

A.N. Mammadova

---

**Abstract.** In the paper, we study some properties of two-dimensional Szasz operator and weighted modulus of continuity on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Key Words and Phrases:** linear positive operator, Szasz operator, weighted modulus of continuity.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 41A17, 41A35

---

### 1. Introduction

The polynomial constructed by Bernstein in 1912 for a continuous function has the form

$$B_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) C_n^k x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, 0 \leq x \leq 1, k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

In 1950, Otto Szasz has given a generalization of Bernstein polynomials on the semiaxis  $[0, \infty)$  in the following form

$$S_n(f; x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}, 0 \leq x < \infty.$$

Note that well known generalization of Bernstein polynomials is given in [6]. But in [7] was given the generalization of Szasz operator as

$$S_{n,r}(f; x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{f^{(i)}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{i!} \left(x - \frac{k}{n}\right)^i \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}, 0 \leq x < \infty.$$

Following [8], the generalized operator Szasz order  $(n, m, r)$  defined as a

$$S_{n,m,r}(f; x, y) = e^{-nx-my} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{1}{i!} \left[ \left(x - \frac{k}{n}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(y - \frac{l}{m}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right]^i f\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{l}{m}\right) \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \frac{(my)^l}{l!}. \quad (1)$$

In particular, if  $r = 0$ , then (1) coincides with the two dimensional classical operator Szasz

$$S_{n,m}(f; x, y) = e^{-nx} e^{-my} \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{l}{m}\right) \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \frac{(my)^l}{l!}. \quad (2)$$

## 2. Preliminaries and auxiliary results

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f$  that a continuous function on  $R_+^2$  and  $S_{n,m}(f; x, y)$  is Szasz operator (2) of function  $f$ . Then the following properties*

$$S_{n,m}(1; x, y) = 1,$$

$$S_{n,m}(t; x, y) = x,$$

$$S_{n,m}(\tau; x, y) = y,$$

$$S_{n,m}(t^2; x, y) = x^2 + \frac{1}{n}x,$$

$$S_{n,m}(\tau^2; x, y) = y^2 + \frac{1}{m}y$$

hold.

*Proof.* We have

$$S_{n,m}(1; x, y) = e^{-nx} e^{-my} \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \frac{(my)^l}{l!} = 1, \quad e^{nx} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!},$$

$$S_{n,m}(t; x, y) = e^{-nx} e^{-my} \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \frac{(my)^l}{l!} = \frac{e^{-nx}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{(k-1)!} = x, \quad t = \frac{k}{n},$$

$$S_{n,m}(\tau; x, y) = e^{-nx} e^{-my} \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{l}{m} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \frac{(my)^l}{l!} = \frac{e^{-nx}}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(my)^l}{(l-1)!} = y, \quad \tau = \frac{l}{m},$$

$$S_{n,m}(t^2; x, y) = e^{-nx} e^{-my} \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^2}{n^2} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \frac{(my)^l}{l!} = \frac{e^{-nx}}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{(nx)^k}{(k-1)!} = x^2 + \frac{1}{n}x,$$

$$S_{n,m}(\tau^2; x, y) = e^{-nx} e^{-my} \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{l^2}{m^2} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \frac{(my)^l}{l!} = \frac{e^{-nx}}{m^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l \frac{(my)^l}{(l-1)!} = y^2 + \frac{1}{m}y.$$

This complete the proof Lemma 1.

**Remark 1.** Note that for Bernstein-Chlodowsky polynomials analog of Lemma 1 was proved in [3]. Also, certain construction of Bernstein-Chlodowsky polynomials of two variable function, corresponding to the certain triangular domain with mobile boundary was given in [3].

**Lemma 2.** For the operator (1) the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,m,r}(1; x, y) &= 1, \\ S_{n,m,r}(t; x, y) &= x, \\ S_{n,m,r}(\tau; x, y) &= y \end{aligned}$$

hold.

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,m,r}(f; x, y) &= S_{n,m,r}(1; x, y) = \\ &= e^{-nx-my} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{1}{i!} \left[ \left( x - \frac{k}{n} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left( y - \frac{l}{m} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right]^i f \left( \frac{k}{n}, \frac{l}{m} \right) \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \frac{(my)^l}{l!} = 1, \\ f^{(0,0)} &= f \equiv 1, \\ f^{(i,j)} \left( \frac{k}{n}, \frac{l}{m} \right) &= 0, \quad i \geq 1, j \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,m,r}(f; x, y) &= S_{n,m,r}(t; x, y) = \frac{k}{n} + x - \frac{k}{n} = x, \\ f^{(0,0)} &= f \left( \frac{k}{n}, \frac{l}{m} \right) \equiv \frac{k}{n}, \\ f^{(1,0)} \left( \frac{k}{n}, \frac{l}{m} \right) &= 1, \\ f^{(i,j)} \left( \frac{k}{n}, \frac{l}{m} \right) &= 0, \quad i \geq 2, j \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,m,r}(f; x, y) &= S_{n,m,r}(\tau; x, y) = \frac{l}{m} + y - \frac{l}{m} = y, \\ f^{(0,0)} &= f \left( \frac{k}{n}, \frac{l}{m} \right) \equiv \frac{l}{m}, \\ f^{(1,0)} \left( \frac{k}{n}, \frac{l}{m} \right) &= 1, \\ f^{(i,j)} \left( \frac{k}{n}, \frac{l}{m} \right) &= 0, \quad i \geq 2, j \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof Lemma 2.

**Remark 2.** In one-dimensional case a Korovkin's type theorems in the space  $C_\rho(R)$  was proved in [5], where shown that in general the convergence in norm of the space  $C_\rho(R)$  not holds.

### 3. Main result

It is known that usual first modulus of continuity  $\omega(f; \delta)$  does not tends to zero as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  on the infinite interval. Now we give weighted modulus of continuity  $\Omega(f; \delta)$  which tends to zero as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  on the infinite interval.

Let  $R_+^2 =: \{(x, y) \in R^2; x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$  and  $\rho(x, y) = 1 + x^m + y^m$  is weight function. Assume  $B_\rho(R_+^2) := \{f : |f(x, y)| \leq M_f \rho(x, y)\}$ ,  $M_f > 0$  and by  $C^{(r)}(R_+^2)$  we denote the class of  $r$ -times continuously differentiable functions on  $R_+^2$ . We denote  $C_\rho(R_+^2) := \{f : f \in B_\rho \cap C(R_+^2)\}$

$$C_\rho^K(R_+^2) := \left\{ f : f \in C_\rho(R_+^2) \lim_{x+y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x, y)}{\rho(x, y)} = K_f < \infty \right\},$$

$$C_\rho^0(R_+^2) := \left\{ f : f \in C_\rho^K(R_+^2) \lim_{x+y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x, y)}{\rho(x, y)} = 0 \right\}.$$

It is well known that the norm in the spaces  $B_\rho$  is defined as

$$\|f\|_\rho = \sup_{(x, y) \in R_+^2} \frac{|f(x, y)|}{\rho(x, y)}.$$

Obviously,  $C_\rho^K(R_+^2) \subset C_\rho(R_+^2) \subset B_\rho(R_+^2)$ .

**Remark 3.** Note that for functions of one variable the property of weighted modulus of continuity were studied in the work A.D. Gadjiev [2, 4], A. Aral [1] and etc.

Now we consider a modulus of continuity of two variables functions on  $R_+^2$  defined as:

$$\Omega(f; \delta_1; \delta_2) = \Omega(\delta_1; \delta_2) = \sup_{\substack{(x, y) \in R_+^2 \\ |h_1| \leq \delta_1, |h_2| \leq \delta_2}} \frac{|f(x + h_1, y + h_2) - f(x, y)|}{\rho(x, y) \rho(|h_1|, |h_2|)}. \quad (3)$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f \in C_\rho^K(R_+^2)$ . Then for (3) the equality

$$\lim_{\substack{\delta_1 \rightarrow 0 \\ \delta_2 \rightarrow 0}} \Omega(\delta_1, \delta_2) = 0, \quad (4)$$

holds. Also the following properties is valid:

- 1)  $\Omega(0; 0) = 0$ ;
- 2)  $\Omega(\delta_1; \delta_2)$  is non-increasing with respect to  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$ ;
- 3)  $\Omega(\delta_{11} + \delta_{12}, \delta_{21} + \delta_{22}) \leq \Omega(\delta_{11}, \delta_{21}) + \Omega(\delta_{12}, \delta_{22})$  is semiadditive;
- 4)  $\Omega(\delta_1, \delta_2)$  is continuous.

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(\delta_1, \delta_2) &\leq \sup_{\substack{0 < x \leq x_0, 0 < y \leq y_0 \\ |h_1| \leq \delta_1, |h_2| \leq \delta_2}} \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{\rho(x, y)\rho(|h_1|, |h_2|)} \\
&+ \sup_{\substack{0 < x \leq x_0, y > y_0 \\ |h_1| \leq \delta_1, |h_2| \leq \delta_2}} \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{\rho(x, y)\rho(|h_1|, |h_2|)} + \\
&+ \sup_{\substack{x > x_0, 0 < y \leq y_0 \\ |h_1| \leq \delta_1, |h_2| \leq \delta_2}} \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{\rho(x, y)\rho(|h_1|, |h_2|)} \\
&+ \sup_{\substack{x > x_0, y > y_0 \\ |h_1| \leq \delta_1, |h_2| \leq \delta_2}} \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{\rho(x, y)\rho(|h_1|, |h_2|)} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$I_1 \leq \sup_{\substack{0 < x \leq x_0, 0 < y \leq y_0 \\ |h_1| \leq \delta_1, |h_2| \leq \delta_2}} |f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)| \leq \omega(\delta_1, \delta_2),$$

where

$$\omega(\delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup_{\substack{(x, y) \in R_+^2 \\ |h_1| \leq \delta_1, |h_2| \leq \delta_2}} |f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|,$$

is usual modulus of continuity of function  $f$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{(1+|h_1|^m+|h_2|^m)(1+x^m+y^m)} &= \left| \frac{f(x+h_1, y+h_2)}{(1+|h_1|^m+|h_2|^m)(1+x^m+y^m)} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{f(x, y)}{(1+|h_1|^m+|h_2|^m)(1+x^m+y^m)} \right| = \left| \frac{f(x+h_1, y+h_2)}{(1+|h_1|^m+|h_2|^m)(1+x^m+y^m)} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{K_f}{1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m} + \frac{K_f}{1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m} \frac{f(x, y)}{(1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m)(1 + x^m + y^m)} \Big| \\
& \leq \left| \frac{f(x + h_1, y + h_2)}{(1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m)(1 + x^m + y^m)} - \frac{K_f}{1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m} \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{(1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m)(1 + x^m + y^m)} - \frac{K_f}{1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m} \right| \\
& = \frac{1}{1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m} \left( \left| \frac{f(x + h_1, y + h_2)}{1 + x^m + y^m} - K_f \right| + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1 + x^m + y^m} - K_f \right| \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m} \left( \left| \frac{f(x + h_1, y + h_2)}{1 + x^m + y^m} - \frac{f(x + h_1, y + h_2)}{1 + (x + |h_1|^m) + (y + |h_2|^m)^m} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{f(x + h_1, y + h_2)}{1 + (x + |h_1|^m) + (y + |h_2|^m)^m} - K_f \right| + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1 + x^m + y^m} - K_f \right| \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m} \left( \left| \frac{f(x + h_1, y + h_2)}{1 + x^m + y^m} - \frac{f(x + h_1, y + h_2)}{1 + (x + |h_1|^m)(y + |h_2|^m)^m} \right| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left| \frac{f(x + h_1, y + h_2)}{1 + (x + |h_1|^m) + (y + |h_2|^m)^m} - K_f \right| + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1 + x^m + y^m} - K_f \right| \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{1 + |h_1|^m + |h_2|^m} \left( \frac{|(x + h_1)^m + (y + h_2)^m - x^m - y^m|}{(1 + x^m + y^m)(1 + (x + |h_1|^m) + (y + |h_2|^m)^m)} |f(x + h)| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left| \frac{f(x + h_1, y + h_2)}{1 + (x + |h_1|^m) + (y + |h_2|^m)^m} - K_f \right| + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1 + x^m + y^m} - K_f \right| \right) \\
& \leq \frac{|(x + h_1)^m + (y + h_2)^m - x^m - y^m|}{1 + x^m + y^m} \frac{|f(x + h_1, y + h_2)|}{1 + (x + |h_1|^m) + (y + |h_2|^m)^m} \\
& \quad + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1 + (x + |h_1|^m) + (y + |h_2|^m)^m} - K_f \right| + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1 + x^m + y^m} - K_f \right|. \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

By the mean theorem, we get

$$\frac{|f(x + h_1, y + h_2)|}{1 + (x + |h_1|^m) + (y + |h_2|^m)^m} \frac{1}{1 + x^m + y^m} |(x + h_1)^m + (y + h_2)^m - x^m - y^m| \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2)|}{1+(x+|h_1|)^m+(y+|h_2|)^m} \frac{1}{1+x^m+y^m} |\xi^{m-1}mh_1+\eta^{m-1}mh_2| \leq \\
&\leq \frac{m(x^{m-1}+(x+h_1)^{m-1}+y^{m-1}+(y+h_2)^{m-1})}{1+x^m+y^m} \\
&\quad \left( \left| \frac{f(x+h_1, y+h_2)}{1+(x+|h_1|)^m+(y+|h_2|)^m} - K_f \right| + |K_f| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

(5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{\left(1+(x+|h_1|)^m+(y+|h_2|)^m\right)(1+x^m+y^m)} \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{m(x^{m-1}+(x+h)^{m-1}+y^{m-1}+(y+h_2)^{m-1})}{1+x^m+y^m}\right) \\
&\quad \times \left( \left| \frac{f(x+h_1, y+h_2)}{1+(x+|h_1|)^m+(y+|h_2|)^m} - K_f \right| + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1+x^m+y^m} - K_f \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{m(x^{m-1}+(x+h)^{m-1}+y^{m-1}+(y+h_2)^{m-1})}{1+x^m+y^m} |K_f| \right) \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{m(x^{m-1}+y^{m-1}+2^{m-2}(x^{m-1}+y^{m-1}+|h_1|^{m-1}+|h_2|^{m-1}))}{1+x^m+y^m}\right) \\
&\quad \times \left| \frac{f(x+h_1, y+h_2)}{1+(x+|h_1|)^m+(y+|h_2|)^m} - K_f \right| \\
&+ \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1+x^m+y^m} - K_f \right| + \frac{m(x^{m-1}+y^{m-1}+(x+h_1)^{m-1}+(y+h_2)^{m-1})}{x^m+y^m} |K_f|. \\
&\frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{\left(1+(x+|h_1|)^m+(y+|h_2|)^m\right)(1+x^m+y^m)} \leq \\
&\leq m2^m \left| \frac{f(x+h_1, y+h_2)}{1+(x+|h_1|)^m+(y+|h_2|)^m} - K_f \right| \\
&+ \left| \frac{f(x+h_1, y+h_2)}{1+x^m+y^m} - K_f \right| + (1+2^m) \left( \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} \right) |K_f|.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate  $I_2$ . We have

$$\frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{(1+|h_1|^m + |h_2|^m)(1+x^m+y^m)} \leq \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{(1+|h_1|^m + |h_2|^m)(1+y^m)}.$$

Analogously to (5) for  $0 < x \leq x_0$  and large  $y \in R_+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{(1+|h_1|^m + |h_2|^m)(1+y^m)} &\leq m2^m \left| \frac{f(x+h_1, y+h_2)}{1+(y+h_2)^m} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. -K_f \right| + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1+y^m} - K_f \right| + \frac{m2^m}{y} |K_f| \end{aligned}$$

Analogously to (5) for  $0 < y \leq y_0$  and large  $x \in R_+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{(1+|h_1|^m + |h_2|^m)(1+x^m+y^m)} &\leq \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{(1+|h_1|^m + |h_2|^m)(1+x^m)} \\ &\leq m2^m \left| \frac{f(x+h_1, y+h_2)}{1+(x+h_1)^m} - K_f \right| + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1+x^m} - K_f \right| + \frac{m2^m}{x} |K_f|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we estimate  $I_4$  for  $x, y \rightarrow \infty$ . Analogously to (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(x+h_1, y+h_2) - f(x, y)|}{(1+|h_1|^m + |h_2|^m)(1+x^m+y^m)} &\leq \\ &\leq m2^m \left| \frac{f(x+h_1, y+h_2)}{1+(x+h_1)^m + (y+h_2)^m} - K_f \right| + \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{1+x^m+y^m} - K_f \right| + m2^m \left( \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} \right) |K_f| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the definition of  $C_p(R_+)$  for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can choose  $x_0 = x_0(\varepsilon)$  and  $y_0 = y_0(\varepsilon)$  such that the inequalities

$$I_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}; \quad I_3 < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}; \quad I_4 < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Thus

$$\Omega(\delta_1, \delta_2) \leq \omega(\delta_1, \delta_2) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\overline{\lim}_{\substack{\delta_1 \rightarrow +0 \\ \delta_2 \rightarrow +0}} \Omega(\delta_1, \delta_2) < \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we get  $\overline{\lim}_{\substack{\delta_1 \rightarrow +0 \\ \delta_2 \rightarrow +0}} \Omega(\delta_1, \delta_2) = 0$ .

Note that the property 2) is obvious. The properties 3) and 4) is proved analogously to the one-dimensional case.

This completes the proof.

### References

- [1] A. Aral, *Approximation by Ibragimov-Gadjiev operators in polynomial weighted space*, Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb., 2003, 35-44.
- [2] A.D. Gadjiev, N. Ispir, *On a sequence of linear positive operators in weighted spaces*, Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb., **XI (XIX)**, 1999, 45-55.
- [3] E.A. Gadjieva, T. Kh. Gasanova, *Approximation by two dimensional Bernstein-Chlodowsky polynomials in triangle with mobile boundary*, Trans. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci. Mathematics, 2000, 47-51.
- [4] A.D. Gadjiev, G. Atakut, *On approximation of unbounded functions by the Generalized Baskakov Operators*, Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci. Mathematics, 2003, 33-42.
- [5] A.D. Gadjiev, *On P. P. Korovkin type theorems*, Mat. Zametki, **20**, 1976, 781-786 (in Russian).
- [6] G.H. Kirov, *A Generalization of the Bernstein polynomials*, Math. Balkanica, **6**, 1992, 147-153.
- [7] A.N. Mammadova, A.E. Abdullayeva, *Approximation theorems for Benstein-Khladovsky and generalized Szasz operator*, Adv. Appl. Math. Sci., **12(3)**, 2013, 137-149.
- [8] O. Szasz, *Generalization of S. Bernsteins polynomials to the infinite interval*, Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, **45**, 1950, 239-244.

Aynur N. Mammadova

*Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, Az 1141, Baku, Azerbaijan*

*E-mail: ay.mammadova@yahoo.com*

Received 19 October 2016

Accepted 12 December 2016