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#### Abstract

In the study, on the basis of real indicators covering the period from 01.01 .2013 to 10.01.2017 [10], an econometric analysis of changes in the USD/AZN rate was conducted. As a result of study, the dependence of several factors provided a serious influence on the change in the USD/AZN rate and the relationship of interdependence with their endogenous variability were gained by carrying out empirical analysis. Verification of the optimality and adequacy of the model is tested using the tools of the software package Eviews. To build a regression equation for the model and test its coefficient of determination, F-Fisher statistics, t - Student criterion, etc., the execution of the Quick $\rightarrow$ Equation order of the Eviews software package is considered, to check the stationarity of factors, the execution of the test order Quick $\rightarrow$ Series statistics $\rightarrow$ Unit root and as a result, conclusions were drawn and recommendations were made for a predictive-analytical computing system.
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The exchange rate in the system of international economic relations is a tool of dependence on the value indicators of world and national markets. The exchange rate, as an important component of the world monetary system, is one of the factors affecting the macroeconomic position of each country. The dynamics of the exchange rate, amplitude and frequency of its changes are clear evidence of the economic and political stability of the country. Formation of the exchange rate is a multifactorial process. These factors can be predictable and unpredictable internal and external factors, structural and opportunistic factors. The factors shaping exchange rates are fairly mobile, and their mutual influence can either strengthen or even neutralize the effect on the exchange rate. It should be noted that multifactor dependencies and other macroeconomic processes relevant to the case research were studied in relation to some fundamental economic indicators (for example, $[7$, 8, 9]). However, for the first time, an analysis of the correlation-regression dependence of the influence of factors with delay on the change in the USD / AZN exchange rate and the construction of the corresponding models are being studied.

[^0]To build an econometric optimal model for changes in the USD / AZN exchange rate, at first each of the factors that can influence it was considered separately, and a general regression equation was established (Table 1).

Table 1

Dependent Variable: USD_AZN
Method: Least Squares
Date: 03/26/18 Time: 08:26
Sample: 2014M02 2017M10
Included observations: 45

| Variable |  | Coefficien <br> t |  | Std. Error | t-Statistic |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | Prob. | C | 1.096385 | 1.150521 | 0.952946 | 0.3478 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| GDP | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.847511 | 0.4030 |
| TRADE_BALANCE | 0.004072 | 0.007142 | 0.570128 | 0.5726 |
| REPO_INTEREST | -0.012209 | 0.023162 | -0.527117 | 0.6017 |
| OIL | 0.000560 | 0.001666 | 0.336206 | 0.7389 |
| EXPORT | -0.004078 | 0.007136 | -0.571378 | 0.5717 |
| INFLATION | 0.018750 | 0.008356 | 2.244049 | 0.0319 |
| INPORT | 0.003977 | 0.007132 | 0.557633 | 0.5810 |
| GBP_EUR | 0.452617 | 0.531777 | 0.851141 | 0.4010 |
| FED | -0.044484 | 0.097009 | -0.458554 | 0.6497 |
| INTEREST | 0.023441 | 0.028851 | 0.812476 | 0.4225 |
| COUNTER_REPO_INTER | 0.038649 | 0.007609 | 5.079250 | 0.0000 |
| EUR_USD | -0.938357 | 0.461383 | -2.033791 | 0.0503 |
| R-squared | 0.975141 | Mean dependent var | 1.254932 |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.965819 | S.D. dependent var | 0.396210 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 0.073251 | Akaike info criterion | -2.152987 |  |
| Sum squared resid | 0.171705 | Schwarz |  | -1.631063 |
| Log likelihood | 61.44222 | criterion |  |  |
| F-stannan-Quinn criter. | -1.958419 |  |  |  |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 104.6065 | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.537828 |  |

Table 1 summarizes both its own grades and the probable grades of several tests. Let's analyze some tests in the table separately. As you can see, the coefficient of determination ( $R$-squared) and the adjusted coefficient of determination (Adjusted $R$-squared) are very large. This means that the factor signs of the coefficients of the established regression equation can explain $96-97 \%$ of the signs of the result. Let's take a look at the $F$-Fisher test. Since the probability value ( F -statistic $=104.6$, the probability value $p=0$ ) is much less than $\alpha=0.05$, we can consider the factors of the model as valid. Let's take a look at the Durbin-Watson test ( $D W=2.54$ ). If we compare the results obtained here with tabular prices, we must say that the existence of negative autocorrelation of residuals ( $d_{l}=0.79, d_{u}=2.044,4-d_{l}=2.21$ and $4-d_{u}=1.956 ; 4-d_{l}<2.54<4$ ) accepted.

As a result of the study, let's analyze the question of whether the model in Table 2 was the optimal model that was established with the introduction of the Least Squares Method.

| Dependent Variable: USD_AZN_D |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
| Date: 10/29/18 Time: 12:38 |  |  |  |  |
| Sample (adjusted): 2014M03 2017M10 |  |  |  |  |
| Included observations: 44 after adjustments |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| C | 0.000537 | 0.022135 | 0.024280 | 0.9808 |
| EUR USD $D(-1)$ | -1.281899 | 0.311269 | -4.118302 | 0.0002 |
| FED_D(-1) | 0.233846 | 0.113924 | 2.052647 | 0.0470 |
| INFLATION_D(-1) | 0.050949 | 0.005287 | 9.636359 | 0.0000 |
| OIL | 0.003686 | 0.001505 | 2.449618 | 0.0190 |
| OIL(-1) | -0.003686 | 0.001441 | -2.557816 | 0.0146 |
| R-squared | 0.798188 | Mean depende | nt var | 0.020143 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.771634 | S.D. dependen |  | 0.099869 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.047725 | Akaike info crit |  | -3.120601 |
| Sum squared resid | 0.086552 | Schwarz criterion |  | -2.877302 |
| Log likelihood | 74.65321 | Hannan-Quinn | criter. | -3.030374 |
| F-statistic | 30.05882 | Durbin-Watson |  | 2.043172 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |  |  |  |

The analytical form of the model is as follows:

$$
y_{t}=0.0005-1.28 x_{1, t-1}+0.23 x_{2, t-1}+0.051 x_{3, t-1}+0.0037 x_{4, t}-0.0037 x_{4, t-1}
$$

Here: $x_{1}$ is the first difference in the course of the EUR / USD exchange rate, $x_{2}$ is the 1st difference FED, $x_{3}$ is the first difference of inflation, and $x_{4}$ is the indicator of oil prices. In addition, $t$ represents the value of the indicator itself, and $t-1$ represents the value of the delay from the 1st power.

Let us explain the results obtained in Table 2. If we look at the $t$-Student criteria for each of the factors of the model individually, we will see that the probability of all factors outside the constant c is less than $5 \%$. This means that the model is individually significant for each factor. In general, let's look at the F-Fisher test statistics to check the importance of the model. As you can see, the probability is close to 0 , which means that the model is usually considered important. In addition, since the Durbin Watson test model is close to 2 , it can be said that there is no autocorrelation model (other tests were considered to check for the presence of autocorrelation). The coefficient of determination ( $R^{2}=79.8188 \%$ ) means the disclosure of about $80 \%$ of the model, which is not considered to be quite important. The main reason for this is that there is another factor that can affect fluctuations in the exchange rate of the US dollar / manat. Whether the constructed model is optimal is tested by the following tests.

The correlation coefficients of all factors were calculated in the multicollinearity test, and the following results were obtained as a result of the Quick $\rightarrow$ Group statistics $\rightarrow$ Correlations command of the Eviews software test (Table 3):

Table 3

|  | Trade_ ${ }^{\text {b }}$ alance | GDP | $\begin{gathered} \text { RRPO,WIE } \\ \text { REST } \end{gathered}$ | Oll | EXPort | וMPort | $\underset{N}{\text { Welatio }}$ | Gspetur | fed | NTEREST | EvR uso | COWTER_REPO IMETERST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trade_balance | 1,000 | 0,039 | -0,361 | 0,858 | 0,931 | -0,211 | -0,594 | $-0,03 C$ | -0,391 | -0,354 | 0,803 | -0,471 |
| GDP | 0,039 | 1,000 | 0,555 | 0,004 | 0,068 | 0,078 | 0,386 | -0,590 | 0,564 | 0,584 | 0,027 | 0,456 |
| REPO_INTEREST | -0,361 | 0,555 | 1,000 | -0,322 | -0,398 | $-0,087$ | 0,833 | $-0,782$ | 0,796 | 0,990 | -0,408 | 0,892 |
| OIL | 0,858 | 0,004 | -0,322 | 1,00C | 0,881 | 0,037 | -0,596 | -0,120 | -0,376 | -0,305 | 0,902 | -0,400 |
| EXPORT | 0,931 | 0,068 | -0,398 | 0,881 | 1,000 | 0,16C | -0,644 | $-0,065$ | -0,352 | -0,365 | 0,872 | -0,486 |
| IMPORT | -0,211 | 0,078 | -0,087 | 0,037 | 0,160 | 1,00C | $-0,116$ | $-0,096$ | 0,118 | -0,020 | 0,162 | -0,02 |
| inflation | -0,594 | 0,386 | 0,833 | -0,596 | -0,644 | -0,116 | 1,000 | -0,597 | 0,843 | 0,846 | -0,582 | 0,908 |
| GBP_EUR | -0,030 | -0,590 | -0,782 | -0,120 | -0,065 | -0,096 | -0,597 | 1,000 | -0,694 | -0,825 | -0,154 | -0,763 |
| FED | -0,391 | 0,564 | 0,796 | -0,376 | -0,352 | 0,118 | 0,843 | -0,694 | 1,000 | 0,840 | -0,316 | 0,821 |
| INTEREST | -0,354 | 0,584 | 0,99 | -0,305 | $-0,365$ | -0,020 | 0,846 | -0,825 | 0,840 | 1,000 | -0,366 | 0,915 |
| EUR_USD | 0,803 | 0,027 | -0,408 | 0,902 | 0,872 | 0,162 | -0,582 | -0,154 | -0,316 | -0,366 | 1,000 | -0,421 |
| COUNTE_RPPO_NEI ERES | -0,471 | 0,454 | 0,892 | -0,400 | $-0,486$ | -0,026 | 0,908 | -0,763 | 0,821 | 0,919 | -0,421 | 1,00C |

Let's explain the results. In (Table 3), the highest value is the correlation coefficient of interest rates with repo percentage. That is, these indicators explain $99 \%$ of each other. The high correlation coefficient is evidence of the multicollinearity problem in the embedded model, demonstrating a strong correlation between the indicators. To eliminate multicollinearity, at least one of these factors should be excluded. To do this, review the $t$-Student values for both indicators in (Table 1). Note that among these two factors, the value of the $t$-Student criterion is higher at the repo rate. Therefore, this factor should be excluded from the model. Once the factor was removed, the model was re-modeled, and the results were closer to the results in Table 1. Thus, this rule excludes several other factors from the model.

Stationarity. One of the most important tasks is to test the stationarity of an optimal econometric model. Thus, for each factor, the stationary test in the Eviews software package was checked by the Quick $\rightarrow$ Series statistics $\rightarrow$ Unit root tests command to determine that several factors (including FED, Inflation, EUR / USD, etc.), are considered to be non- stationary, oil (at the level of $10 \%$ significance) and the trade balance are considered stationary.

Granger test. The overall result, including all factors included in the regression equation, was first used to process this test for a computer package. The main goal here is to check, with the removal of multicollinearity, whether Granger is the cause of the USD / AZN indicators of all factors, including the excluded factors. The Eviews software package revealed Granger's causal relationship for 5 factors that directly or indirectly affect the change in the USD / AZN exchange rate, so the test results can be compiled in the following table (Table 4) compactly. The ( + ) sign is a causal link, and (-) indicates the absence of this link).

| Granger Causality Tests |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EUR/USD | $\overrightarrow{-}$ | USD/AZN | EUR/USD | $\overrightarrow{-}$ | USD/AZN |
| USD/AZN | $\xrightarrow{-}$ | EUR/USD | USD/AZN | $\overrightarrow{-}$ | EUR/USD |
| FED | $\xrightarrow{+}$ | USD/AZN | FED | $\overrightarrow{+}$ | USD/AZN |
| USD/AZN | $\xrightarrow{+}$ | FED | USD/AZN | $\xrightarrow{ }$ | FED |
| Inflation | $\overrightarrow{+}$ | USD/AZN | İnflation | $\overrightarrow{+}$ | USD/AZN |
| USD/AZN | $\overrightarrow{-}$ | Inflation | USD/AZN | $\overrightarrow{ }$ | Inflation |
| Oil | $\overrightarrow{+}$ | USD/AZN | Oil | $\overrightarrow{+}$ | USD/AZN |
| USD/AZN | $\overrightarrow{-}$ | Oil | USD/AZN | $\rightarrow$ | Oil |
| Trade balance | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | USD/AZN | Trade balance | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | USD/AZN |
| USD/AZN | $\overrightarrow{-}$ | Trade balance | USD/AZN | $\xrightarrow{ }$ | Trade balance |
| EUR/USD | $\overrightarrow{-}$ | FED | EUR/USD | $\overrightarrow{ }$ | FED |
| FED | $\xrightarrow{ }$ | EUR/USD | FED | $\rightarrow$ | EUR/USD |
| EUR/USD | $\xrightarrow[+]{+}$ | Inflation | EUR/USD | $\overrightarrow{+}$ | Inflation |
| Inflation | $\xrightarrow{+}$ | EUR/USD | İnflation | $\xrightarrow{+}$ | EUR/USD |
| EUR/USD | $\overrightarrow{+}$ | Oil | EUR/USD | $\overrightarrow{+}$ | Oil |

Note that the check of this test is carried out on the basis of the probable value of $\alpha$ (prob) and is estimated by the probability $\alpha=5 \%$. If we look at the values of the probabilities, we get that FED $(\alpha=0.13 \%)$, Oil $(\alpha=4.64 \%)$, Inflation $\left(\alpha=1,256 \cdot 10^{-9} \%\right)$ can be counted as a Granger-cause of USD / AZN. In addition, we note that the oil exchange rate $(\alpha=0.69 \%)$ and the EUR / USD exchange rate are the Granger-cause of oil ( $\alpha=0.23 \%$ ) and inflation ( $\alpha=4.51 \%$ ).

Testing heteroscedasticity. Let's look at the implementation of the White test [3, pp. 386-387] to test heteroscedasticity (Table 5).

Table 5

| F-statistic | 1.710014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prob. } \\ & F(18,25) \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.1061 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obs*R-squared | 24.27976 |  |  | 0.1461 |
| Scaled explained ss | 42.40716 | Prob. Chi-Square(18) <br> Prob. Chi-Square(18) |  | 0.0010 |
| Test Equation: <br> Dependent Variable: RESID~2 <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 10/29/18 Time: 13:08 <br> Sample: 2014M03 2017M10 <br> Included observations: 44 <br> Collinear test regressors dropped from specification |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| - C | 0.011034 | 0.013201 | 0.835844 | 0.4112 |
| EUR_USD-D $(-1)^{*}$ FED $\mathrm{D}^{\text {d }}(-1)$ | 1.800454 | 1.572769 | 1.144767 | 0.2631 |
|  | -6.915193 | 6.724561 | -1.028349 | 0.3136 |
| EUR USD-D $(-1) *$ *NFLATION_D $(-1)$ | 0.159528 | 0.061246 | 2.604691 | 0.0153 |
|  | -0.025398 | 0.013356 | -1.901649 | 0.0688 |
| EUR USD-D (-1)*OIL | 0.024083 | 0.013136 | 1.833325 | 0.0787 |
| EUR_USD-D(-1) | 0.085939 | 0.131043 | 0.655809 | 0.5179 |
|  | 7.341197 | 8.748055 | 0.839180 | 0.4093 |
| FED_D $(-1) *$ INFLATION_D(-1) | -0.135257 | 0.153497 | -0.881172 | 0.3866 |
| FED-D $(-1) *$ OIL | -0.035316 | 0.042686 | -0.827330 | 0.4159 |
| INFLATION_D $(-1)^{2}$ | 0.000993 | 0.002324 | 0.427231 | 0.6729 |
| INFLATION-D $(-1) * O I L$ <br> INFLATION D(-1)*OTL (-1) | -0.001207 | 0.000845 | -1.427448 | 0.1658 |
|  | 0.001109 | 0.000696 | 1.594613 | 0.1234 |
| INFLATION-D (-1) | 0.013920 | 0.012300 | 1.131683 | 0.2685 |
|  | $6.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.16E-05 | 1.927163 | 0.0654 |
| OIL*OIL( -1 ) | -0.000121 | $6.48 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{O5}$ | -1.858851 | 0.0749 |
| OIL | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000701 | 0.037124 | 0.9707 |
| OIL $(-1)^{\wedge} 2$ | $6.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.45E-05 | 1.796556 | 0.0845 |
| OIL(-1) | -0.000378 | 0.000730 | -0.518380 | 0.6088 |
| R-squared | 0.551813 | Mean dependent var |  | 0.001967 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.229118 | S.D. dependent var |  | 0.004306 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.003781 | Akaike info crid |  | -8.019412 |
| Sum squared resid | 0.000357 | Schwarz |  | -7.248967 |
| Log likelihoodF-statistic | 195.4271 | Hannan-Quinn criter. Durbin-Watson stat |  |  |
|  | 1.710014 |  |  | 1.768087 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.106141 |  |  |  |

The model is considered to be homoscedastic, since the significance level of trial prices in the upper right-hand corner of the table exceeds $5 \%$ significance level.

To test the autocorrelation of the residual model, 2 tests are used for the $Q$-statistical (AR) and Serial $L_{m}$ tests (MA). To verify the accuracy of the hypothesis of the absence of autocorrelation, consider the following tables (Tables 6 and 7 ):

Table 6

|  | AC | PAC | Q-Stat | Prob |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | -0.024 | -0.024 | 0.0277 | 0.868 |
| 2 | -0.078 | -0.078 | 0.3173 | 0.853 |
| 3 | -0.121 | -0.126 | 1.0458 | 0.790 |
| 4 | 0.000 | -0.014 | 1.0458 | 0.903 |
| 5 | 0.186 | 0.169 | 2.8325 | 0.726 |
| 6 | -0.147 | -0.159 | 3.9856 | 0.679 |
| 7 | 0.009 | 0.028 | 3.9899 | 0.781 |
| 8 | -0.026 | -0.003 | 4.0277 | 0.855 |
| 10 | -0.005 | -0.042 | 4.0294 | 0.909 |
| 11 | -0.047 | -0.084 | 4.1620 | 0.940 |
| 12 | -0.106 | 0.022 | 4.2033 | 0.964 |
| 13 | -0.125 | -0.163 | 4.9027 | 0.961 |
| 14 | 0.077 | 0.149 | 5.9191 | 0.949 |
| 15 | 0.027 | -0.010 | 6.3210 | 0.958 |
| 16 | 0.011 | -0.048 | 6.3714 | 0.973 |
| 17 | -0.094 | -0.034 | 7.0399 | 0.983 |
| 18 | 0.074 | 0.092 | 7.4618 | 0.983 |
| 19 | 0.026 | -0.065 | 7.5173 | 0.981 |
| 20 | 0.006 | 0.013 | 7.5204 | 0.995 |

Table 7

| F-statistic Obs*R-squared | $\begin{aligned} & 0.250479 \\ & 1.259484 \end{aligned}$ | Prob. F(4,34) <br> Prob. Chi-Square(4) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9074 \\ & 0.8682 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Equation: |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent Variable: RESID <br> Method: Least Squares <br> Date: 10/29/18 Time: 13:16 <br> Sample: 2014M03 2017M10 <br> Included observations: 4 <br> Presample missing value lagged residuals set to zero. |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| C | -0.001815 | 0.023200 | -0.078220 | 0.9381 |
| EUR_USD_D(-1) | -0.136491 | 0.358360 | -0.380876 | 0.7057 |
| FED_D(-1) | -0.020787 | 0.126753 | -0.163999 | 0.8707 |
| INFLATION_D(-1) | 0.000974 | 0.005747 | 0.169497 | 0.8664 |
| OIL | 0.000492 | 0.001665 | 0.295244 | 0.7696 |
| OIL(-1) | -0.000458 | 0.001595 | -0.287320 | 0.7756 |
| RESID(-1) | -0.051468 | 0.177744 | -0.289564 | 0.7739 |
| RESID(-2) | -0.119908 | 0.192764 | -0.622047 | 0.5381 |
| RESID(-3) | -0.148864 | 0.179426 | -0.829668 | 0.4125 |
| RESID(-4) | -0.031735 | 0.186675 | -0.170003 | 0.8660 |
| R-squared | 0.028625 | Mean dependent var |  | 5.78E-17 |
| Adjusted R-squared | -0.228504 | S.D. dependent var |  | 0.044865 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.049727 | Akaike info criterion |  | -2.967825 |
| Sum squared resid | 0.084074 | Schwarz criterion |  | -2.562327 |
| Log likelihood | 75.29214 | Hannan-Quinn criter. |  | -2.817447 |
| F-statistic | 0.111324 | Durbin-Watson stat |  | 1.977868 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.999211 |  |  |  |

Here, the null hypothesis is that there is no autocorrelation, and an alternative hypothesis is the existence of autocorrelation.

Table 6 shows that this model was tested for an autoregressive model with 20 lags
and received more than $5 \%$ for each lag (the lowest probability was observed at the 6 th delay $\alpha=67.9 \%$ ). This means that the model we establish indicates acceptance of the null hypothesis as a result of the $Q$-statistical test (i.e. there is no autocorrelation in the model we established).

Now let's explain the results of Table 7. Here the null hypothesis is the absence of autocorrelation of residuals, and the alternative hypothesis is the existence of autocorrelation of residues. Remind that the results of this test, as a rule, are checked with $5 \%$ probable accuracy. To verify the test, 4 lag cases were considered. When choosing the optimal variant, the condition is assumed that the probable value, like the $Q$-statistical test, will be more than $5 \%$. As can be seen from the table, the probable values are rather large than the $5 \%$ probability values. If we specify the result with the hypothesis, the results will be the adoption of the null hypothesis and the failure of the alternative hypothesis. That is, there is no autocorrelation of residuals on the model.

To determine which lags are included in the model, the VAR is selected in the Eviews software package instead of the Equation tool, and by executing the Lag sturucture $\rightarrow$ Lag length criteria command in an open window, a new table is formed (Table 8).

Table 8


4 th of the star symbols indicate an inevitable delay to the 1 st degree, and 1 to a delay to the 5 th degree. Since the first lag is taken basic by the 4 th criteria, the model was re-estimated using the least squares method, introducing the 1st lag (Table 9).

| Dependent Variable: Method: Least Square Date: 10/21/18 Time: Sample (adjusted): 20 Included observations: | ZN_D $3 \text { 2017M10 }$ <br> ter adjustmen |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| C | 0.038211 | 0.048931 | 0.780918 | 0.4406 |
| USD_AZN_D(-1) | -0.074854 | 0.086008 | -0.870312 | 0.3906 |
| EUR_USD_D | 0.054378 | 0.359104 | 0.151426 | 0.8806 |
| EUR_USD_D(-1) | -1.435419 | 0.353779 | -4.057385 | 0.0003 |
| FED_D | -0.106016 | 0.122313 | -0.866763 | 0.3925 |
| FED_D(-1) | 0.210132 | 0.127133 | 1.652850 | 0.1081 |
| INFLATION_D | -0.004672 | 0.005978 | -0.781536 | 0.4402 |
| INFLATION_D(-1) | 0.049029 | 0.005947 | 8.243755 | 0.0000 |
| OIL | 0.003844 | 0.001667 | 2.305641 | 0.0278 |
| OIL(-1) | -0.004556 | 0.001916 | -2.377944 | 0.0236 |
| TRADE_BALANCE | 8.98E-06 | $3.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.239448 | 0.8123 |
| TRADE_BALANCE (-1) | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.73E-05 | 0.520867 | 0.6060 |
| R -squared | 0.814241 | Mean dependent var |  | 0.020143 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.750386 | S.D. dependent var Akaike info criterion |  | 0.099869 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.049896 |  |  | -2.930759 |
| Sum squared resid | 0.079667 | Schwarz criterion |  | -2.444162 |
| Log likelihood | 76.47669 | Hannan-Quinn criter. |  | -2.750305 |
| F-statistic | 12.75146 | Durbin-Watson stat |  | 1.996700 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |  |  |  |

Although the results are considered normal by many criteria, the results of the $t$ Student test are not considered acceptable. To eliminate this drawback, we need to remove some factors from the model. After subtracting the negative factors, we get the results of the optimal model, i.e. Table 2.

Forecasting. The following operations must be performed sequentially to make predictions through the built model:

First, the regression equation for the model is again set. The main difference between this regression equation and the original regression equation is that the equation is not executed for all observed moments, but from the time it starts to the moment when the observation prices at that moment are used for forecasting. The results for the newly created regression equation are shown below (Table 10):

Table 10

Dependent Variable: USD_AZN_D
Method: Least Squares
Date: 10/21/18 Time: 20:58
Sample (adjusted): 2014M03 2016M06
Included observations: 28 after adjustments

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C | 0.008925 | 0.019400 | 0.460057 | 0.6500 |
| EUR_USD_D(-1) | -0.972143 | 0.283976 | -3.423321 | 0.0024 |
| FED_D(-1) | 0.640711 | 0.476624 | 1.344271 | 0.1926 |
| INFLATION_D(-1) | 0.042808 | 0.011207 | 3.819926 | 0.0009 |
| $\quad$ OIL | 0.001839 | 0.001208 | 1.521712 | 0.1423 |
| OIL(-1) | -0.001931 | 0.001166 | -1.656244 | 0.1119 |
| R-squared | 0.925163 | Mean dependent var | 0.025246 |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.908155 | S.D. dependent var | 0.115460 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 0.034991 | Akaike info criterion | -3.680029 |  |
| Sum squared resid | 0.026937 | Schwarz | -3.394556 |  |
| Log likelihood | 57.52040 | criterion |  |  |
| Hannan-Quinn criter. | -3.592757 |  |  |  |
| F-statistic | 54.39447 | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.136338 |  |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |  |  |  |

Analysis of the results shows that there have been some changes in the values of the indicators. This change is a result of the difference in moments when the moments used in the model were not used in the prediction.

Now let's look at the prediction results for the remaining moments:
Table 11


Each test interval is two times longer than the standard error ( $\sigma^{2} \approx 0,08$ ). Note that the closer the standard error is to zero, the more accurate the model prediction can be.

Now let's look at the following chart to compare the forecast of the USD / AZN exchange rate curve (Chart 1):

Chart 1


Here, the USD / AZN exchange rate curve is shown in blue, and the projected exchange rate curve is shown in red.

As you can see, the curve model obtained using the forecast was located at some distance from the curve itself. This difference is due to the fact that the model is not fully explained by the factors mentioned.

## Conclusion

Thus, as a result of comparative testing of many tests using the Eviews software package, the optimal regression model was tested, which shows that the model covering the time segment 01.01.2013-01.10.2017 changed significantly depending on four factors. A separate analysis of the results of each test shows that the model residues are homoscedastic, do not depend on autocorrelation, and can be considered to be generally significant. At the end of the model, the most optimistic version was predicted.
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# Some Differential Properties of Generalized NikolskiiMorrey Type Spaces 
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#### Abstract

In the paper a generalized Nikolski-Morrey type spaces were introduced and studied. With help a integral representation are obtained Sobolev type inequalities for functions from this spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

In the paper, we introduce a generalized Nikolski-Morrey type spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and help of method inetgral representation we study differential-difference properties of functions from this spaces. Let $G \subset R^{n} ; 1 \leq p^{i}<\infty ; l^{i} \in(0, \infty)^{n}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$; $l_{j}^{0} \geq 0, l_{j}^{i} \geq 0(i \neq j=1,2, \ldots, n), l_{i}^{i} \geq 0(i=1,2, \ldots, n) ; \beta \in[0,1]^{n} ;[t]_{1}=\min \{1, t\}$, and let vector-functions $\varphi(t)=\left(\varphi_{1}(t), \ldots, \varphi_{n}(t)\right)$, with Lebesgue measurable functions $\varphi_{j}(t)>0,(t>0), \lim _{t \rightarrow+0} \varphi_{j}(t)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi_{j}(t)=L \leq \infty, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Denote by $\mathbb{A}$ the set of vector functions $\varphi$. Let $m^{0}=\left(m_{1}^{0}, \ldots, m_{n}^{0}\right), m_{j}^{0} \in N_{0}(j=1, \ldots, n), m^{i}=$ $\left(m_{1}^{i}, \ldots, m_{n}^{i}\right), m_{j}^{i} \in N_{0}(i \neq j=1, \ldots, n), m_{i}^{i} \in N(i=1, \ldots, n) k^{0}=\left(k_{1}^{0}, \ldots, k_{n}^{0}\right), k_{j}^{i} \in$ $N_{0}(j=1, \ldots, n, i=1, \ldots, n)$.

Definition 1. The space type $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$ we denote the spaces of all functions $f \in$ $L^{l o c}(G) \quad\left(m_{j}^{i}>l_{j}^{i}-k_{j}^{i} \geq 0, i \neq j=1, \ldots, n ; m_{i}^{i}>l_{i}^{i}-k_{i}^{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, n\right)$ with the finite norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<l i}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sup _{0<h<h_{0}} \frac{\left\|\Delta^{m_{i}}\left(\varphi(h), G_{\varphi(h)}\right) D^{k_{i}} f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_{j}(h)^{l_{j}^{i}-k_{j}^{i}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G}=|f|_{L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}(G)}=\sup _{x \in G, t>0}\left(\left|\varphi\left([t]_{1}\right)\right|^{-\beta}\|f\|_{p^{i}, G_{\varphi(t)}(x)}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left|\varphi\left([t]_{1}\right)\right|^{-\beta}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}\left([t]_{1}\right)\right)^{-\beta_{j}}, \Delta^{m_{i}}\left(\varphi(h), G_{\varphi(h)}\right) f=$ ?, $h_{0}$ it is positive fixed number, and let for any $x \in R^{n}$

$$
G_{\varphi(t)}(x)=G \cap I_{\varphi(t)}(x)=G \cap\left\{y:\left|y_{j}-x_{j}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{j}(t), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

For any $t>0$,suppose $\left|\varphi\left([t]_{1}\right)\right| \leq C$, then the embeddings $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<i>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right) \rightarrow \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$ and hold, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\left.\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}}^{<l i}\right\rangle}\left(G_{\varphi}\right) \leq c\|f\|_{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<l i}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the spaces $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$ and is Banach space. The space (1) when $l^{0}=$ $(0, \ldots, 0), l^{i}=\left(0, \ldots, 0, l_{i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right), p^{i}=p(i=0,1, \ldots, n)$ coincides with the space $H_{p, \varphi, \beta}^{l}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$ introduced and studied in [1], in the case $\beta_{j}=0(j=1, \ldots, n)$ it coincides with generalized Nikolski space $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$.The spaces of such type with different norms introduced and studied [3]-[13].
Lemma 1. Let $G \subset R^{n}, 1 \leq p^{i} \leq \infty$, and $f \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$. Then we can construct the sequence $h_{s}=h_{s}(x)(s=1,2, \ldots)$ of infinitely differentiable finite in $R^{n}$ functions for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f-h_{s}\right\|_{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n}}^{L_{p^{i}}^{<i>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $G=\bigcup_{\lambda=1}^{M} G^{\lambda}$ and for obtaining equality (5) we estimate the norm

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|f-h_{s}\right\|_{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}}^{<l>}>\left(G_{\varphi}\right)}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \omega_{i}^{l^{i}}\left(f-h_{s}\right) .  \tag{6}\\
\omega_{i}^{l^{i}}\left(f-h_{s}\right)=\sup _{0<h<h_{0}} \frac{\left\|\Delta^{m_{i}}\left(\varphi(h), G_{\varphi(h)}\right) D^{k_{i}} f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_{j}(h)^{l_{i}-k_{i}}} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

The sequence $h_{s}(x)(s=1,2, \ldots)$ is determined by the equality

$$
h_{s}(x)=\left.F(x, \varphi(t))\right|_{t=\frac{1}{s}}=\sum_{\lambda}^{M} \eta_{\lambda}(x) f_{\varphi^{\lambda}(t)}(x),
$$

here the averaging functions are determined as follows:

$$
f_{\varphi^{\lambda}(t)}(x)=\int_{R^{n}} f\left(x+\varphi^{\lambda}(t) y\right) K_{\lambda}(y) d y,
$$

where $K_{\lambda}(y) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{n}\right)(\lambda=1,2, \ldots, M) \sup p K_{\lambda}(\cdot) \subset[-1 ; 1]$

$$
\int_{R^{n}} K_{\lambda}(y) d y=1,
$$

the functions $\eta_{\lambda}=\eta_{\lambda}(x)(\lambda=1,2, \ldots, M)$ determine the expansion of a unit in the domain $G$, i.e.

1) $1 \leq \eta_{\lambda}(x) \leq 1$ in $R^{n}$;
2) $\eta_{\lambda}(x)=0$ in $G \backslash G_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda=1,2, \ldots, M$;
3) $\left|D^{\alpha} \eta_{\lambda}(x)\right| \leq C_{\lambda}, C_{\lambda}=$ const for all $\lambda=1,2, \ldots, M$ and $\alpha \geq 0$.

Obviously,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f(\cdot)-h_{s}(\cdot)\right\|_{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}}^{<l i}}\left(G_{\varphi}\right) & \leq \sum_{\lambda}^{M}\left\|\eta_{\lambda}(\cdot)\left(f(\cdot)-f_{\varphi^{\lambda}(t)}(\cdot)\right)\right\| \leq \\
& \leq C \sum_{\lambda}^{M}\left\|\left(f(\cdot)-f_{\varphi^{\lambda}(t)}(\cdot)\right)\right\|_{\left.\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}}^{<l i}\right\rangle}\left(G_{\varphi}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

As much as small for rather small, $t$, as a consequence of continuity of $L_{p^{-}}$average functions, belonging to the space $L_{p}\left(G_{\varphi}^{\lambda}\right)$,from (6),(7) and (8) it follows

$$
\left\|f(\cdot)-h_{s}(\cdot)\right\|_{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}}^{<l i}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)}<\varepsilon,
$$

in other words,

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f-h_{s}\right\|_{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n}}^{L_{p^{i}}^{\ll i>}>\left(G_{\varphi}\right)}=0 .
$$

Assuming that $\varphi_{j}(t) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are also differentiable on $[0, T]$, we can show that for $f \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$ determined in $n$ - dimensional domains, satisfying the condition of flexible $\varphi$-horn, it holds the following integral representation $(\forall x \in U \subset G)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\nu} f(x) & =(-1)^{|\nu|+\left|l^{0}\right|} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(T)\right)^{-\nu_{j}-1} \int_{R^{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K_{0}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(T)}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(T, x))}{\varphi(t)}\right) \\
& \times \zeta_{i}\left(\frac{u}{\varphi_{i}(T)}, \frac{\rho_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}(T, x)\right)}{\varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\varphi(T), x)\right) \Delta_{i}^{m}\left(\varphi_{i}(\delta) u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times f\left(x+y+u_{1}+\ldots+u_{n}\right) d y d u+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|\nu|+\left|l^{i}\right|} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{R^{n}}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K_{i}^{(\nu)} \times \\
& \times\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(t)}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t, x))}{\varphi(t)}\right) \zeta_{i}\left(\frac{u}{\varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{\rho_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}(t, x)\right)}{\varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\varphi(t), x)\right) \Delta_{i}^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(\delta) u\right) \\
& \times f\left(x+y+u_{1}+\ldots+u_{n}\right) d y d u \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(t)\right)^{-\nu_{j}-2} \frac{\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi_{i}(t)} d t d u d y, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Phi_{i}(\cdot, y) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{n}\right)$ be such that

$$
S\left(\psi_{i}\right) \subset I_{\varphi(t)}=\left\{y:\left|y_{j}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{j}(t), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

for any $0<T \leq 1$ assume that

$$
V=\bigcup_{0<t \leq T}\left\{y: \frac{y}{\varphi(t)} \in S\left(\psi_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

It is clear that $V \subset I_{\varphi(t)}$ and suppose that $U+V \subset G$.
Lemma 2. Let $1 \leq p^{i} \leq p \leq r \leq \infty ; ~ 0<\eta, t<T \leq 1, \quad \nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{n}\right), \nu_{j} \geq 0$ are integers, $j=1,2, \ldots, n ; \Delta_{i}^{m^{i}}(h) \in L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}(G)$ and let

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(x)= \prod_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\left|\nu_{j}\right|-1} \int_{R^{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K_{0}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(t)}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t), x)}{\varphi(t)}\right) \\
& \times \zeta_{i}\left(\frac{u}{\varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{\rho_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), x\right)}{2 \varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), x\right)\right) \\
& \times \Delta^{m^{0}}\left(\varphi_{i}(\delta) u\right) f(x+y+u) d x d u d y  \tag{10}\\
& F_{\eta}^{i}(x)=\int_{0}^{\eta} L_{i}(x, t) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(t)\right)^{-\nu_{j}-2} \prod_{j \in m^{i}} \frac{\varphi_{j}^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi_{j}(t)} d t  \tag{11}\\
& F_{\eta T}^{i}(x)=\int_{\eta}^{T} L_{i}(x, t) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(t)\right)^{\nu_{j}-2} \prod_{j \in m^{i}} \frac{\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi_{i}(t)} d t  \tag{12}\\
& Q_{T}^{i}=\int_{0}^{T} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(t)\right)^{-\nu_{j}-\left(1-\beta_{j} p\right)\left(\frac{1}{p^{i}}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \prod_{j \in l^{i}} \frac{\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{\left.\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{1-l_{i}}} d t<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
L_{i}(x, t)=\int_{R^{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} M_{i}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(t)}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t), x)}{\varphi(t)}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times \zeta_{i}\left(\frac{u}{\varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{\rho_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), x\right)}{2 \varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), x\right)\right) \Delta_{i}^{m_{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(\delta) u\right) f\left(x+y+u e_{i}\right) d u d y \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $\bar{x} \in U$ the following inequalities are true

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{\bar{x} \in U}\|F\|_{q U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} \leq C_{1}\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{0}} \Delta^{m^{0}}\left(\varphi_{i}(T), G_{\varphi(T)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{0}, \varphi, \beta ; G} \\
\times \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(t)\right)^{-\nu_{j}-\left(1-\beta_{j} p\right)\left(\frac{1}{p^{i}}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{j}\left([\xi]_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta_{j} \frac{p}{q}}  \tag{14}\\
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\bar{x} \in U}\left\|F_{\eta}^{i}\right\|_{q U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} \leq C_{2}\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{i}} \Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(T), G_{\varphi(T)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G} \\
& \times\left|Q_{T}^{i}\right| \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{j}\left([\xi]_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta_{j} \frac{p^{i}}{p}} \\
& \sup _{\bar{x} \in U}\left\|F_{\eta T}^{i}\right\|_{q U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} \leq C_{3}\left\|\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{i}} \Delta^{m_{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G} \\
& \times\left|Q_{\eta T}^{i}\right| \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{j}\left([\xi]_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta_{j} \frac{p^{i}}{p}}
\end{aligned}
\end{gather*}
$$

is hold, where $U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})=\left\{x:\left|x_{j}-\bar{x}_{j}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \psi_{j}(\xi), j=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ and $\psi \in A, C_{1}, C_{2}$ are the constants independent of $\varphi, \xi, \eta$ and $T$.

## Corollary 1.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|F\|_{p, \psi, \beta^{1} ; U} \leq C_{1}^{\prime}\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{0}} \Delta^{m^{0}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{0}, \varphi, \beta ; G}  \tag{17}\\
& \left\|F_{\eta}^{i}\right\|_{p, \psi, \beta^{1} ; U} \leq C_{2}^{\prime}\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{i}} \Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G}  \tag{18}\\
& \left\|F_{\eta, T}^{i}\right\|_{p, \psi, \beta^{1} ; U} \leq C_{3}^{\prime}\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{i}} \Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2 in [1].

## 2. Main results

Prove two theorems on the properties of the functions from the space $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{\ll i}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$.
Theorem 1. Let $G \subset R^{n}$ satisfy the condition of flexible $\varphi$-horn, $1 \leq p^{i} \leq p \leq \infty$, $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{n}\right), \nu_{j} \geq 0$ be entire $j=1,2, \ldots, n, Q_{T}^{i}<\infty(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and let $f \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{\prime},,, \beta}^{\left.<l^{i}\right\rangle}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$. Then the following embeddings hold

$$
D^{\nu}: \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right) \rightarrow L_{q, \psi, \beta^{1}}(G)
$$

i.e. for $f \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$ there exists a generalized derivative $D^{\nu} f$ and the following inequalities are true

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|D^{\nu} f\right\|_{p, G} \leq \\
\leq C_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|Q_{T}^{i}\right| \sup _{0<t<t_{0}}\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{l_{j}^{i}} \Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p, \varphi, \beta ; G}  \tag{20}\\
\left\|D^{\nu} f\right\|_{q, \psi, \beta^{1} ; G} \leq C_{2}\|f\|_{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<i>}>\left(G_{\varphi}\right)}, p^{i} \leq p<\infty . \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, if

$$
Q_{T, 0}^{i}=\int_{0}^{T} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(t)\right)^{-\nu_{j}-\left(1-\beta_{j} p\right) \frac{1}{p}} \prod_{j \in l^{i}} \frac{\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{1-l_{i}}} d t<\infty,
$$

then $D^{\nu} f(x)$ is continuous on $G$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in G}\left|D^{\nu} f(x)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|Q_{T, 0}^{i}\right|_{0<t<t_{0}}\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{l_{j}^{i}} \Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$0<T \leq \min \left\{1, T_{0}\right\}, T_{0}$ is a fixed number; $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are the constants independent of $f, C_{1}$ are independent also on $T$.

Proof. At first note that in the conditions of our theorem there exists a generalized derivative $D^{\nu} f$ on $G$. Indeed, from the condition $Q_{T}^{i}<\infty$ for all $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ it follows that for $f \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right) \rightarrow \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}}^{<l^{i}>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)$, there exists $D^{\nu} f \in L_{p}(G)$ and for it integral representation (9) with the same kernels is valid.

Based around the Minkowsky inequality, from identities (9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{\nu} f\right\|_{q, G} \leq\|F\|_{q, G}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|F_{i}\right\|_{p, G} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of inequality (14) for $U=G, M_{i}=K_{i}^{i}, t=T$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{p, G} \leq C_{1}\left|Q_{T}^{0}\right|\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{0}} \Delta^{m^{0}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{0}, \varphi, \beta ; G} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by means inequality (15) for $\eta=T, M_{i}=K_{i}^{i}, U=G$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{i}\right\|_{q, G} \leq C_{2}\left|Q_{T}^{i}\right|\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{i}} \Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (25) and (24) in (23), we get inequality (20). By means of inequalities (17), (18) and (19) for $\eta=T$ we get inequality (21).

Now let conditions $Q_{T}^{i}<\infty(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ be satisfied, then based around identities (9) from inequality (23) we get

$$
\left\|D^{\nu} f-f_{\varphi(T)}^{(\nu)}\right\|_{\infty, G} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|Q_{T, 0}^{i}\right| \sup _{0<t<t_{0}}\left\|\frac{\Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{l_{j}^{i}}}\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G} .
$$

As $T \rightarrow 0$, the left side of this inequality tends to zero, since $f_{\varphi(T)}^{(\nu)}(x)$ is continuous on $G$ and the convergence on $L_{\infty}(G)$ coincides with the uniform convergence. Then the limit function $D^{\nu} f$ is continuous on $G$.

Theorem 1 is proved.
Let $\gamma$ be an $n$-dimensional vector.
Theorem 2. Let all the conditions of theorem 1 be fulfilled. Then for $Q_{T}^{i}<\infty$ $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ the derivative $D^{\nu} f$ satisfies on $G$ the Holder generalized condition, i.e. the following inequality is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta(\gamma, G) D^{\nu} f\right\|_{q, G} \leq C\|f\|_{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta}^{<l i}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)} \cdot|H(|\gamma|, \varphi ; T)|, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $f,|\gamma|$ and $T$.
In particular, if $Q_{T, 0}^{i}<\infty,(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in G}\left|\Delta(\gamma, G) D^{\nu} f(x)\right| \leq C\|f\|_{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L_{p^{\prime}, \varphi, \beta}^{<i>}\left(G_{\varphi}\right)} \cdot\left|H_{0}(|\gamma|, \varphi, T)\right| . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(|\gamma|, \varphi, T)=\max _{i}\left\{|\gamma|, Q_{|\gamma|}^{i}, Q_{|\gamma|, T}^{i}\right\}\left(H_{0}(|\gamma|, \varphi, T)=\max _{i}\left\{|\gamma|, Q_{|\gamma|, 0}^{i}, Q_{|\gamma|, T, 0}^{i}\right\}\right)$
Proof. According to lemma 8.6 from [2] there exists a domain

$$
G_{\omega} \subset G(\omega=\zeta r(x), \zeta>0 r(x)=\rho(x, \partial G), x \in G)
$$

and assume that $|\gamma|<\omega$, then for any $x \in G_{\omega}$ the segment connecting the points $x, x+\gamma$ is contained in $G$. Consequently, for all the points of this segment, identities (9) with the same kernels are valid. After same transformations, from (9) and (4) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\Delta(\gamma, G) D^{\nu} f(x)\right| \leq C_{1} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(t)\right)^{-1-\nu_{j}} \times \\
& \times \int_{R^{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|K_{0}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{y-\gamma}{\varphi(t)}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t), x)}{2 \varphi(t)}\right)-K_{0}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(t)}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t), x)}{2 \varphi(T)}\right)\right| d y d z \times \\
& \times\left|\Delta^{m^{0}}(\varphi(\delta) u)\left(x+y+u_{1}+\ldots+u_{n}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\zeta^{0}\left(\frac{u}{\varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{\rho_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), x\right)}{2 \varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), x\right)\right)\right| d u d y+ \\
& +C_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\int_{0}^{|\gamma|} \int_{R^{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|\zeta^{i}\left(\frac{u}{\varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{\rho_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}(t, x)\right)}{\varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{1}{2} \rho^{\prime}(\varphi(t), x)\right)\right| \times-\right. \\
& \times\left|\Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(\delta) u\right) f\left(x+y+u_{1}+\ldots+u_{n}\right)\right| \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(t)\right)^{\nu_{j}-2} \prod_{j \in m^{i}} \frac{\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi_{i}(t)} d y d u d t \\
& +\int_{|\gamma|}^{T} \int_{R^{n}}^{T} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|K_{i}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(t)}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t, x))}{\varphi(t)}\right)\right|\left|\zeta_{i}\left(\frac{u}{\varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{\rho_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}(t, x)\right)}{\varphi_{i}(t)}, \frac{1}{2} \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\varphi(t), x)\right)\right| \\
& \left.\times \int_{0}^{1}\left|\Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(\delta) u\right) f\left(x+y+u_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \gamma\right)\right| \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(t)\right)^{\nu_{j}-2} \prod_{j \in m^{i}} \frac{\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi_{i}(t)} d v d u d y d t\right\} \\
& =C_{1} F(x, \gamma)+C_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(F_{1}(x, \gamma)+F_{2}^{i}(x, \gamma)\right), \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<T \leq\left\{1, T_{0}\right\}$ we also assume that $|\gamma|<T$. Consequently, $|\gamma|<\min (\omega, T)$. If $x \in G \backslash G_{\omega}$ then by definition

$$
\Delta(\gamma, G) D^{\nu} f(x)=0
$$

Based around (28) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\Delta(\gamma, G) D^{\nu} f\right\|_{q, G} \leq\left\|F_{1}^{i}(\cdot, \gamma)\right\|_{q, G_{\omega}} \\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\|E(\cdot, \gamma)\|_{q, G_{\omega}}+\left\|F_{2}^{i}(\cdot, \gamma)\right\|_{q, G_{\omega}}\right),  \tag{29}\\
& F(x, \gamma) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{j}(t)\right)^{-\nu_{j}-2} \int_{0}^{|\gamma|} d \zeta \int_{R^{n}} \int_{R^{n}}\left|f\left(x+y+u_{1}+\ldots+u_{n}\right)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\times\left|D_{j} K^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(T)}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t), x)}{2 \varphi(t)}\right) \Omega^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{z}{\varphi(T)}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t), x)}{2 \varphi(t)}\right)\right| d y d z
$$

Taking into account $\xi e_{\gamma}+G_{\omega} \subset G$, based around the generalized Minkowsky inequality, from inequality (19) for $U=G$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(\cdot, \gamma)\|_{p, G_{\vartheta}} \leq C_{1}|\gamma|\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{0}} \Delta^{m^{0}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of inequality (16), for $U=G, \eta=|\gamma|$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{1}^{i}(\cdot, \gamma)\right\|_{q, G_{\omega}} \leq C_{2}\left|Q_{|\gamma|}^{i}\right|\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{i}} \Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by means of inequality (10) for $U=G, \eta=|\gamma|$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{2}^{i}(\cdot, \gamma)\right\|_{q, G_{\omega}} \leq C_{3}\left|Q_{|\gamma|, T}^{i}\right|\left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i}(t)\right)^{-l_{j}^{i}} \Delta^{m^{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}(t), G_{\varphi(t)}\right) f\right\|_{p^{i}, \varphi, \beta ; G} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequalities (29) -(32) we get the required inequality.
Now suppose that $|\gamma| \geq \min (\omega, T)$. Then

$$
\left\|\Delta(\gamma, G) D^{\nu} f\right\|_{p, G} \leq 2\left\|D^{\nu} f\right\|_{p, G} \leq C(\vartheta T)\left\|D^{\nu} f\right\|_{p, G}|H(|\gamma|, \varphi ; T)|
$$

Estimating for $\left\|D^{\nu} f\right\|_{p, G}$ by means of inequality (20), in this case we get estimation (26).

Theorem 2 is proved.
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# Boundedness of the Fractional Maximal Operator in Local and Global Morrey-type Spaces on the Heisenberg Group 
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#### Abstract

We study the boundedness of the fractional maximal operator $M_{\alpha}$ on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ in local and global Morrey-type spaces $L M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ and $G M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$, respectively. We give a characterization of strong and weak type boundedness for the operator $M_{\alpha}$ in local Morreytype spaces $L M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we establish the norm inequalities for the fractional maximal operator in local Morrey-type spaces on Heisenberg group. The Heisenberg group [6, 7, 15, 17] appears in quantum physics and many fields of mathematics, including harmonic analysis, the theory of several complex variables and geometry. We begin with some basic notation. The Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{n}$ a non-commutative nilpotent Lie group with the product spaces $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$ that have the multiplication

$$
x y=\left(x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}, x_{2 n+1}+y_{2 n+1}+2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} y_{n+k}-x_{n+k} y_{k}\right)
$$

where $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{2 n+1}\right)$, and $y=\left(y^{\prime}, y_{2 n+1}\right)$. By the definition, the identity element on $\mathbb{H}_{n}$ is $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$, while the inverse element of $x=\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)$ is $x^{-1}=\left(-x^{\prime},-t\right)$.

The corresponding Lie algebra is generated by the left-invariant vector fields:
$X_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+2 x_{n+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2 n+1}}, X_{n+j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+j}}-2 x_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2 n+1}}, X_{2 n+1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2 n+1}}, j=1, \ldots, n$.

The only non-trivial commutator relations are

$$
\left[X_{j}, X_{n+j}\right]=-4 X_{2 n+1}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n
$$

The non-isotropic dilation on $\mathbb{H}_{n}$ is defined as $\delta_{t}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{2 n+1}\right)=\left(t x^{\prime}, t^{2} x_{2 n+1}\right)$ for $t>0$. The Haar measure $d x$ on this group coincides with the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$. It is easy to check that $d\left(\delta_{t} x\right)=r^{Q} d x$. In the above, $Q=2 n+2$ is the homogeneous dimension of $\mathbb{H}_{n}$. The norm of $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{2 n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{H}_{n}$ is given by $|x|_{\mathbb{H}}=\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{4}+x_{2 n+1}^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}$, where $\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} x_{k}^{2}$. The norm satisfies the triangle inequality and leads to the left-invariant distance $d(x, y)=\left|x y^{-1}\right|_{\mathbb{H}}$. With this norm we define the Heisenberg ball, $B(x, r)=\{y \in$ $\left.\mathbb{H}_{n}:\left|x y^{-1}\right|_{\mathbb{H}}<r\right\}$, where $x$ is the center and $r$ is the radius. The volume of $B(x, r)$ is $d_{n} r^{2 n+2}$, where $d C_{n}$ is the volume of the unit ball $B_{1} \equiv B(e, 1)$. Let $S_{H}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{H}_{n}\right.$ : $\left.|x|_{\mathbb{H}}=1\right\}$ be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{H}_{n}$ equipped with the normalized Haar surface measure $d \sigma$.

The fractional maximal function $M_{\alpha} f, 0<\alpha<Q$ on the Heisenberg groups of a function $f \in L_{1}^{\operatorname{loc}}\left(\mathbb{H}_{n}\right)$ is defined by

$$
M_{\alpha} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}|B(x, t)|^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{Q}} \int_{B(x, t)}|f(y)| d y
$$

If $\alpha=0$, then $M \equiv M_{0}$ is the maximal operator on the Heisenberg groups. It is well known that the fractional maximal operator on the Heisenberg groups play an important role in harmonic analysis (see $[7,16]$ ).

The main purpose of [10] is to give some sufficient conditions for the boundedness of fractional integral operators and singular integral operators defined on homogeneous Lie groups $\mathbb{G}$ in local Morrey-type space $L M_{p \theta, w_{1}}(\mathbb{G})$. In a series of papers by Burenkov V., Guliyev H. and Guliyev V. etc. (see, for example [2, 3, 4]) be given some necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of fractional maximal operators, fractional integral operators and singular integral operators in local Morrey-type spaces $L M_{p \theta, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

In this paper, we study the boundedness of the fractional maximal operator $M_{\alpha}$ on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ in local Morrey-type spaces $L M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$. Also we give a characterization of strong and weak type boundedness for the operator $M_{\alpha}$ in local Morrey-type spaces $L M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.

By $A \lesssim B$ we mean that $A \leq C B$ with some positive constant $C$ independent of appropriate quantities. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we write $A \approx B$ and say that $A$ and $B$ are equivalent. For a number $p, p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$ denotes the conjugate exponent of $p$.

## 2. Local and global Morrey-type spaces on the Heisenberg group

Let $0<p, \theta \leq \infty$. Denote by $\Omega_{\theta}$ a set of all non-negative measurable functions $w(r)$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that $w(t) \neq 0$ on the set of positive measure and $\|w(r)\|_{L_{\theta}\left(t_{1}, \infty\right)}<\infty$ for some $t_{1}>0$. The set $\Omega_{p, \theta}$ consists of the functions $w(r) \in \Omega_{\theta}$ such that $\left\|w(r) r^{Q / p}\right\|_{L_{\theta}\left(0, t_{2}\right)}<\infty$
for some $t_{2}>0$ (see [2]). Let $w_{1} \in \Omega_{\theta}, w_{2} \in \Omega_{\theta, p}$. Recall that in 1994 the doctoral thesisis [10] (see also [11]) by Guliyev introduced the local Morrey-type space $L M_{p \theta, w_{1}}$ and in [1] (see also $[2,3,4]$ ) by Burenkov, Guliyev introduced the global Morrey-type space $G M_{p \theta, w_{1}}$.

Definition 1. Let $0<p, \theta \leq \infty$ and let $w$ be a non-negative measurable function on $(0, \infty)$. We denote by $L M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$, $G M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$, the local Morrey-type spaces, the global Morreytype spaces on the Heisenberg group respectively, the spaces of all functions $f \in L_{p}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ with finite quasinorms

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|f\|_{L M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}=\|w(r)\| f\left\|_{L_{p}(B(0, t))}\right\|_{L_{\theta}(0, \infty)}, \\
\|f\|_{G M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{H}^{n}}\|w(r)\| f\left\|_{L_{p}(B(x, t))}\right\|_{L_{\theta}(0, \infty)}
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively.
Note that

$$
\|f\|_{L M_{p \infty, 1}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}=\|f\|_{G M_{p \infty, 1}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}=\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}
$$

Furthermore, $G M_{p \infty, r^{-\lambda / p}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} \equiv M_{p, \lambda}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right), 0 \leq \lambda \leq Q$.
For a measurable set $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ and a function $v$ non-negative and measurable on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$, let $L_{p, v}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ be the weighted $L_{p^{-}}$-space of all functions $f$ measurable on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ for which $\|f\|_{L_{p, v}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}=$ $\|v f\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}<\infty$.

If $0<p \leq \theta \leq \infty$, then $\|f\|_{L M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L_{p, W}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}$, and if $0<\theta \leq p \leq \infty$, then $\|f\|_{L_{p, W}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L M_{p \theta, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}$, where for all $x \in \mathbb{H}^{n} W(x)=\|w\|_{L_{\theta}\left(|x|_{\mathbb{H}}, \infty\right)}$.

In particular, for $0<p \leq \infty\|f\|_{L M_{p p, w}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}=\|f\|_{L_{p, V}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}$, where for all $x \in \mathbb{H}^{n}$ $V(x)=\|w\|_{L_{p}\left(|x|_{\mathbb{H}}, \infty\right)\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}$.

We shall use the following theorem stating necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L_{p_{2}, v_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} \leq c\|f\|_{L_{p_{1}, v_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are functions non-negative and measurable on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ and $c>0$ is independent of $f$ (see $[5,14]$ ).

Given a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^{n}, \chi_{\Omega}$ will denote the characteristic function of $\Omega$.
Theorem 1. Let $0 \leq \alpha<Q, 1<p_{1} \leq p_{2}<\infty$. Moreover, let $v_{1}$, $v_{2}$ be non-negative and measurable on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$. Then inequality (1) holds if, and only if, the following equivalent conditions are satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}=\sup _{B \subset \mathbb{H}^{n}}|B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1}\left\|v_{1}^{-1}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{\prime}}(B)}\left\|v_{2}\right\|_{L_{p_{2}}(B)}<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{B \subset \mathbb{H}^{n}}\left\|M_{\alpha}\left(\chi_{B} v_{1}^{p_{1} /\left(1-p_{1}\right)}\right)\right\|_{L_{p_{2}, v_{2}}(B)}\left\|v_{1}^{1 /\left(1-p_{1}\right)}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(B)}^{-1}<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the sharp (minimal possible) constant $c^{*}$ in (1), satisfies the inequality $c \mathcal{J} \leq$ $c^{*} \leq c \mathcal{J}$, where $c, c^{*}>0$ are independent of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$.

## 3. Boundedness of the fractional maximal operator in local Morrey-type spaces on Heisenberg group

Let $0<p, \theta \leq \infty$. Denote by $\Omega_{\theta}$ a set of all non-negative measurable functions $w(r)$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that $w(t) \neq 0$ on the set of positive measure and $\|w(r)\|_{L_{\theta}\left(t_{1}, \infty\right)}<\infty$ for some $t_{1}>0$. Let $w_{1} \in \Omega_{\theta}, w_{2} \in \Omega_{\theta, p}$. Recall that in 1994 the doctoral thesisis [10] (see also [11]) by Guliyev V.S. introduced the local Morrey-type space $L M_{p \theta, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ is given by

$$
\|f\|_{L M_{p \theta, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)}=\left\|w_{1}(r)\right\| f\left\|_{B(0, r)}\right\|_{L_{\theta}(0, \infty)} .
$$

To obtain necessary and sufficient conditions on $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ under which $M_{\alpha}$ is bounded for other parameter values and to obtain simpler conditions for the case $p=\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}$ we reduce the problem of the boundedness of $M_{\alpha}$ in the local Morrey-type spaces to the problem of the boundedness of the Hardy operator in weighted $L_{p}$-spaces on the cone of non-negative non-increasing functions.

Lemma 1. Let $0 \leq \alpha<Q, 1<p_{1} \leq p_{2}<\infty$ and $-\infty<\gamma<\infty$. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L_{p_{2}}(B(0, r))} \leq c(r)\|f\|_{L_{p_{1},\left(|x|_{\mathbb{H}}+r\right)} \gamma\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(r)>0$ is independent of $f$ holds for all $f \in L_{p_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \geq-\frac{Q}{p_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad Q\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) \leq \alpha \leq \frac{Q}{p_{1}}+\gamma \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (5) holds, then the minimal constant $c(r)$ in (4) satisfies

$$
c(r) \asymp r^{\alpha-Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)-\gamma} .
$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 1 to the pair of functions $v_{2}(x)=\chi_{B(0, r)}(x), v_{1}(x)=$ $\left(|x|_{\mathbb{H}}+r\right)^{\gamma}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\sup _{R>0} R^{\alpha-Q}\left(\int_{0}^{R} t^{Q-1} \chi_{(0, r)}(t) d t\right)^{1 / p_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{R} t^{Q-1}(t+r)^{-\gamma p_{1}^{\prime}} d t\right)^{1 / p_{1}^{\prime}} \\
& =r^{Q / p_{2}+Q / p_{1}^{\prime}-\gamma} \sup _{R>0} R^{\alpha-Q}\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{R}{r}} \tau^{Q-1} \chi_{(0,1)}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / p_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{R}{r}} \tau^{Q-1}(\tau+1)^{-\gamma p_{1}^{\prime}} d \tau\right)^{1 / p_{1}^{\prime}} \\
& =r^{\alpha+Q / p_{2}-Q / p_{1}-\gamma} \sup _{\rho>0} \rho^{\alpha-Q}\left(\int_{0}^{\rho} \tau^{Q-1} \chi_{(0,1)}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / p_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{\rho} \tau^{Q-1}(\tau+1)^{-\gamma p_{1}^{\prime}} d \tau\right)^{1 / p_{1}^{\prime}} \\
& \equiv r^{\alpha+Q / p_{2}-Q / p_{1}-\gamma} K,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K=\max \left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}$,

$$
K_{1}=\sup _{0<\rho \leq 1} \rho^{\alpha-Q}\left(\int_{0}^{\rho} \tau^{Q-1} \chi_{(0,1)}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / p_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{\rho} \tau^{Q-1}(\tau+1)^{-\gamma p_{1}^{\prime}} d \tau\right)^{1 / p_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

and

$$
K_{2}=\sup _{1<\rho \leq \infty} \rho^{\alpha-Q}\left(\int_{0}^{\rho} \tau^{Q-1} \chi_{(0,1)}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / p_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{\rho} \tau^{Q-1}(\tau+1)^{-\gamma p_{1}^{\prime}} d \tau\right)^{1 / p_{1}^{\prime}} .
$$

Next,

$$
K_{1}<\infty \Leftrightarrow \sup _{0<\rho \leq 1} \rho^{\alpha+Q / p_{2}-Q / p_{1}}<\infty \Leftrightarrow \alpha+Q / p_{2}-Q / p_{1} \geq 0 .
$$

Moreover,

$$
K_{2}<\infty \Longleftrightarrow \sup _{1<\rho<\infty} \rho^{\alpha-Q}\left(\int_{1}^{\rho} \tau^{Q-1-\gamma p_{1}^{\prime}} d \tau\right)^{1 / p_{1}^{\prime}}<\infty
$$

If $\gamma>Q / p_{1}^{\prime}$, then $\int_{1}^{\infty} \tau^{Q-1-\gamma p_{1}^{\prime}} d \tau<\infty$ and $K_{2}<\infty$ since $\alpha<Q$.
If $\gamma=Q / p_{1}^{\prime}$, then $K_{2}<\infty \Leftrightarrow \sup _{1 \leq \rho<\infty} \rho^{\alpha-Q} \ln \rho<\infty$. Therefore again $K_{2}<\infty$ since $\alpha<Q$.

If $\gamma<Q / p_{1}^{\prime}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{2}<\infty \Longleftrightarrow \sup _{1 \leq \rho<\infty} \rho^{\alpha-Q+Q / p_{1}^{\prime}-\gamma}<\infty \Longleftrightarrow \\
\alpha-Q+\frac{Q}{p_{1}^{\prime}}-\gamma \leq 0 \Longleftrightarrow \gamma \geq \alpha-\frac{Q}{p_{1}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Inequality $\alpha<Q$, implies that $\alpha p_{1}-Q<Q\left(p_{1}-1\right)$. Hence $K_{2}<\infty \Leftrightarrow \gamma \geq \alpha-Q / p_{1}$.
Corollary 1. Let $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty$ and $Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha<Q$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L_{p_{2}}(B(0, r))} \leq c r^{Q / p_{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} \frac{|f(x)|^{p_{1}}}{\left(|x|_{\mathbb{H}}+r\right)^{Q-\alpha p_{1}}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r>0$ and for all $f \in L_{p_{1}}^{l o c}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.
Proof. In the case $1<p_{1} \leq p_{2}<\infty$ (6) follows by Lemma 1 with $\gamma=\alpha-Q / p_{1}$.
If $0<p_{2}<p_{1}<\infty$, by Hölder's inequality and (6) for $p_{2}=p_{1}$ we have

$$
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L_{p_{2}}(B(0, r))} \leq\left(d_{n} r^{Q}\right)^{1 / p_{2}-1 / p_{1}}\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(B(0, r))} \leq c r^{Q / p_{2}}\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(B(0, r))},
$$

where $d_{n}$ is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ and $c>0$ depends only on $Q, p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$.
The following lemma was proved in [2].
Lemma 2. Let $\beta>0$ and $\varphi$ be a function non-negative and measurable on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$. Then for all $r>0$

$$
\beta 2^{-\beta} \int_{r}^{\infty}\left(\int_{B(0, t)} \varphi(x) d x\right) \frac{d t}{t^{1+\beta}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{H}^{n}} \frac{\varphi(x) d x}{\left(|x|_{\mathbb{H}}+r\right)^{\beta}} \leq \beta \int_{r}^{\infty}\left(\int_{B(0, t)} \varphi(x) d x\right) \frac{d t}{t^{1+\beta}} .
$$

Corollary 2. Let $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty$ and $Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha<Q / p_{1}$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L_{p_{2}}(B(0, r))} \leq c r^{Q / p_{2}}\left(\int_{r}^{\infty}\left(\int_{B(0, r)}|f(x)|^{p_{1}} d x\right) \frac{d t}{t^{Q-\alpha p_{1}+1}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r>0$ and for all $f \in L_{p_{1}}^{l o c}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.
Proof. Inequality (7) follows from inequality (6) and Lemma 2.

Corollary 3. Let $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty$ and $Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha \leq Q / p_{1}$, then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L_{p_{2}}(B(0, r))} \leq c r^{\alpha-Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)}\|f\|_{L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r>0$ and for all $f \in L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.
Proof. If $0<p_{2}<\infty$, inequality (8) follows by inequality (6). For $0<p_{2} \leq \infty$ and $\alpha=Q / p_{1}$ it also follows directly from the definition of $M_{\alpha} f$. Indeed, Hölder's inequality implies that

$$
\left\|M_{Q / p_{1}} f\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq\|f\|_{L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} .
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|M_{Q / p_{1}} f\right\|_{L_{p_{2}}(B(0, r)} \leq d_{n}^{1 / p_{2}} r^{Q / p_{2}}\|f\|_{L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} .
$$

Let $H$ be the Hardy operator

$$
H g=\int_{0}^{r} g(t) d t, \quad 0<r<\infty .
$$

Lemma 3. Let $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty, Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha<Q / p_{1}, 0<\theta \leq \infty$ and $w \in \Omega_{\theta}$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L M_{p_{2} \theta, w}} \leq c\|H g\|_{L_{\theta / p_{1}, v}(0, \infty)}^{1 / p_{1}}
$$

for all $f \in L_{p_{1}}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=\int_{B\left(0, t^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)}\right)}|f(y)|^{p_{1}} d y \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)=\left[w\left(r^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)}\right) r^{\left(Q / p_{2}+1 / \theta\right) /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)-1 / \theta}\right]^{p_{1}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Corollary 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L M_{p_{2} \theta, w}}=\|w(r)\| M_{\alpha} f\left\|_{L_{p_{2}}(B(0, r))}\right\|_{L_{\theta}(0, \infty)} \\
& \leq c\left\|w(r) r^{Q / p_{2}}\left(\int_{r}^{\infty}\left(\int_{B(0, t)}|f(x)|^{p_{1}} d x\right) \frac{d t}{t^{Q-\alpha p_{1}+1}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}}\right\|_{L_{\theta}(0, \infty)} \\
& =c\left(Q-\alpha p_{1}\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\left\|w(r) r^{Q / p_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{r^{\alpha p_{1}-Q}}\left(\int_{B\left(0, \tau^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)}\right)}|f(x)|^{p_{1}} d x\right) d \tau\right)^{1 / p_{1}}\right\|_{L_{\theta}(0, \infty)} \\
& =c\left(Q-\alpha p_{1}\right)^{-1 / p_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(w(r) r^{Q / p_{2}}\right)^{\theta}\left(\int_{0}^{r^{\alpha p_{1}-Q}} g(\tau) d \tau\right)^{\theta / p_{1}} d r\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\
& =c\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(w\left(\rho^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)}\right) \rho^{Q /\left(p_{2}\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)\right)}\right)^{\theta} \rho^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\rho} g(\tau) d \tau\right)^{\theta / p_{1}} d \rho\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\
& \left.=c\|H g\|_{L_{\theta / p_{1}, v}^{1 /(0, \infty)}}^{1 / p_{1}}\right)^{2}=c \|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c>0$ depends only on $Q, p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $\alpha$.
Corollary 4. Let $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty, Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha<Q / p_{1}, 0<\theta \leq \infty$ and $w \in \Omega_{p_{1}, \theta}$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{G M_{p_{2} \theta, w}} \leq c \sup _{x \in \mathbb{H}^{n}}\|H(g(x, \cdot))\|_{L_{\theta / p_{1}, v}(0, \infty)}^{1 / p_{1}}
$$

for all $f \in L_{p_{1}}^{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$, where $v$ is defined by (10) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, t)=\int_{B\left(x, t^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)}\right)}|f(y)|^{p_{1}} d y=\int_{B\left(0, t^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)}\right)}\left|f\left(y^{-1} \cdot x\right)\right|^{p_{1}} d y \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty, Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha<Q / p_{1}, 0<$ $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \leq \infty, w_{1} \in \Omega_{\theta_{1}}, w_{2} \in \Omega_{\theta_{2}}$. Assume that $H$ is bounded from $L_{\theta_{1} / p_{1}, v_{1}}(0, \infty)$ to $L_{\theta_{2} / p_{1}, v_{2}}(0, \infty)$ on the cone of all non-negative functions $\varphi$ non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and satisfying $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t)=0$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{1}(r)=\left[w_{1}\left(r^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)}\right) r^{1 /\left(\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right) \theta_{1}\right)-1 / \theta_{1}}\right]^{p_{1}}  \tag{12}\\
v_{2}(r)=\left[w_{2}\left(r^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)}\right) r^{\left(Q / p_{2}+1 / \theta_{2}\right) /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)-1 / \theta_{2}}\right]^{p_{1}} . \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $M_{\alpha}$ is bounded from $L M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ and from $G M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $G M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$. (In the latter case we assume that $w_{1} \in \Omega_{p_{1}, \theta_{1}}, w_{2} \in \Omega_{p_{2}, \theta_{2}}$. .)

Proof. By Lemma 3 applied to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$

$$
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} \leq c\|H g\|_{L_{\theta_{2} / p_{1}, v_{2}}(0, \infty)}^{1 / p_{1}}
$$

where $c>0$ is independent of $f$.
Since $g$ is non-negative, non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g(t)=0$ and $H$ is bounded from $L_{\theta_{1} / p_{1}, v_{1}}(0, \infty)$ to $L_{\theta_{2} / p_{1}, v_{2}}(0, \infty)$ on the cone of functions containing $g$, we have

$$
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} \leq c\|g\|_{L_{\theta_{1} / p_{1}, v_{1}}(0, \infty)}^{1 / p_{1}}
$$

where $c>0$ is independent of $f$.
Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} & \leq c\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} v_{1}(t)^{\theta_{1} / p_{1}}\|f\|_{L_{p_{1}}\left(B\left(0, t^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)}\right)\right.}^{\theta_{1}} d t\right)^{1 / \theta_{1}} \\
& =c Q^{\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} v_{1}\left(r^{\alpha p_{1}-Q}\right)^{\theta_{1} / p_{1}} r^{\alpha p_{1}-Q-1}\|f\|_{L_{p_{1}}(B(0, r))}^{\theta_{1}} d r\right)^{1 / \theta_{1}} \\
& =c Q^{\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(w_{1}(r)\|f\|_{L_{p_{1}}(B(0, r))}\right)^{\theta_{1}} d r\right)^{1 / \theta_{1}} \\
& =c Q^{\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}}\|f\|_{L M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c>0$ is independent of $f$.
In order to obtain explicit sufficient conditions on weight functions ensuring the boundedness of $M_{\alpha}$, first we shall apply the following statement.
Lemma 4. [2] Let $0<\theta_{1} \leq \infty, 0<\theta_{2} \leq \infty, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be functions positive and measurable on $(0, \infty)$. Then the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{2}(r)\right\| t^{-\left(1-\theta_{1}\right)_{+} / \theta_{1}} v_{1}^{-1}(t)\left\|_{L_{\theta_{1} /\left(\theta_{1}-1\right)_{+}}(0, r)}\right\| \|_{L_{\theta_{2}}(0, \infty)}<\infty \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a sufficient conditions for the boundedness of $H$ from $L_{\theta_{1}, v_{1}}(0, \infty)$ to $L_{\theta_{2}, v_{2}}(0, \infty)$ in the case $1 \leq \theta_{1} \leq \infty$ and the boundedness $H$ from $L_{\theta_{1}, v_{1}}(0, \infty)$ to $L_{\theta_{2}, v_{2}}(0, \infty)$ on the cone of all non-negative functions $\varphi$ non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$ in the case $0<\theta_{1}<\infty$.

If $\theta_{1}=\infty$, then condition (14) is also necessary for the boundedness of $H$ from $L_{\infty, v_{1}}(0, \infty)$ to $L_{\theta_{2}, v_{2}}(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2 and Lemma 4 imply a sufficient condition for the boundedness of $M_{\alpha}$ from $L M_{p_{1} \infty, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.

Theorem 3. Let $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty, Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha<Q, 0<\theta_{2} \leq \infty$, $w_{2} \in \Omega_{\theta_{2}}$.

1. For $\alpha<Q / p_{1}$, let $w_{1} \in \Omega_{\theta_{1}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{2}(r) r^{Q / p_{2}}\right\| w_{1}^{-1}(t) t^{\alpha-Q / p_{1}-1 / \min \left\{p_{1}, \theta_{1}\right\}}\left\|_{L_{s}(r, \infty)}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{2}}(0, \infty)}<\infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=p_{1} \theta_{1} /\left(\theta_{1}-p_{1}\right)_{+} . \quad\left(\right.$ If $\theta_{1} \leq p_{1}$, then $s=\infty$.) Then $M_{\alpha}$ is bounded from $L M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.
2. For $\alpha=Q / p_{1}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2}(r) r^{\alpha-Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)} \in L_{\theta_{2}}(0, \infty) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $M_{\alpha}$ is bounded from $L_{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.
Corollary 5. Let $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty, Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha<Q / p_{1}, 0<\theta_{2} \leq \infty$, $w_{1} \in \Omega_{\infty}, w_{2} \in \Omega_{\theta_{2}}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{2}(r) r^{Q / p_{2}}\left(\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{w_{1}^{p_{1}}(t) t^{Q+1-\alpha p_{1}}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{2}}(0, \infty)}<\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $M_{\alpha}$ is bounded from $L M_{p_{1} \infty, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ and from $G M_{p_{1} \infty, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $G M_{p \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$. (In the latter case we assume that $w_{1} \in \Omega_{p_{1}, \infty}, w_{2} \in \Omega_{p_{2}, \theta_{2}}$. .)

Corollary 6. Let $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty, Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha<Q / p_{1}, w_{1} \in \Omega_{\infty}$, $w_{2} \in \Omega_{\infty}$ and let for some $c>0$ for all $r>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{w_{1}^{p_{1}}(t) t^{Q+1-\alpha p_{1}}} \leq \frac{c}{w_{2}^{p_{1}}(r) r^{\frac{Q p_{1}}{p_{2}}}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $M_{\alpha}$ is bounded from $L M_{p_{1} \infty, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \infty, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ and from $G M_{p_{1} \infty, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $G M_{p_{2} \infty, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$. (In the latter case we assume that $w_{1} \in \Omega_{p_{1}, \infty}, w_{2} \in \Omega_{p_{2}, \infty}$.)

Remark 1. Note that, the Corollary 6 was proved in [8], see also [9, 12, 13].
For the majority of cases the necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H \varphi\|_{L_{\frac{\theta_{2}}{p_{1}}, v_{2}}}(0, \infty) \leq c\|\varphi\|_{L_{\frac{\theta_{1}}{p_{1}, v_{1}}}(0, \infty)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ is independent of $\varphi$, for all non-negative decreasing functions $\varphi$ are known, for detailed information see [18], [19]. Application of any of those conditions gives sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the fractional maximal operator from $L M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ and from $G M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $G M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.

However, there is no guarantee that the application of the necessary and sufficient conditions on $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ ensuring the validity of (19) implies the necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of $M_{\alpha}$ from $L M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.

Fortunately for certain values of the parameters this is the case, namely for $1<p_{1}<\infty$, $0<p_{2}<\infty, Q\left(1 / p_{1}-1 / p_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha<Q / p_{1}, 0<\theta_{1} \leq \theta_{2}<\infty, \theta_{1} \leq p_{1}$.

Note that in this case the necessary conditions (coinciding with the sufficient ones) for the validity of inequality (19) for decreasing functions are obtained by taking $\varphi=\chi_{(0, t)}$ with an arbitrary $t>0$.

Since in the proof of Theorem 2 inequality (19) is applied to the function $\varphi=g$, where $g$ is given by (9), it is natural to choose, as test functions, functions $f_{t}, t>0$, for
which $\int_{B\left(0, u^{1 /\left(\alpha p_{1}-Q\right)}\right)}\left|h_{t}(y)\right|^{p_{1}} d y$ is equal or close to $B(t) \chi_{(0, t)}(u), u>0$, where $B(t)$ is independent of $u$. The simplest choice of $f$ satisfying this requirement is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t}(x)=\chi_{B(0,2 t) \backslash B(0, t)}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}^{n}, t>0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(B(0, r))}=0, \quad 0<r \leq t, \quad\left\|f_{t}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}}(B(0, r))} \leq c t^{n / p_{1}}, \quad t<r<\infty \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ depends only on $Q$ and $p_{1}$.
For functions $F, G$ defined on $(0, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$ we shall write $F \asymp G$ if there exist $c, c^{\prime}>0$ such that $c F(r, t) \leq G(r, t) \leq c^{\prime} F(r, t)$ for all $r, t \in(0, \infty)$.

Lemma 5. If $0 \leq \alpha<Q, 0<p<\infty$, then

$$
\left\|M_{\alpha} f_{t}\right\|_{L_{p}(B(0, r))} \asymp t^{\alpha} r^{Q / p} \begin{cases}\left(\frac{t}{r+t}\right)^{\min \{Q-\alpha, Q / q\}}, & p \neq \frac{Q}{Q-\alpha} \\ \left(\frac{t}{r+t}\right)^{Q / p} \ln \left(e+\frac{r}{t}\right), & p=\frac{Q}{Q-\alpha}\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 1. (1) Let $1<p_{1} \leq \infty, 0<p_{2} \leq \infty, 0 \leq \alpha<Q, 0<\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \leq \infty$, $w_{1} \in \Omega_{\theta_{1}}$ and $w_{2} \in \Omega_{\theta_{2}}$. If $M_{\alpha}$ is bounded from $L M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$, then there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that for all $t>0$,
(2) Let $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty, 0<\theta_{1} \leq \theta_{2} \leq \infty, \theta_{1} \leq p_{1}, Q\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right)_{+} \leq \alpha<\frac{Q}{p_{1}}$, $w_{1} \in \Omega_{\theta_{1}}, w_{2} \in \Omega_{\theta_{2}}$ and the equality $\left\|\frac{w_{2}(r) r^{Q / p_{2}}}{(t+r)^{Q / p_{1}-\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{2}(0, \infty)}} \leq C_{2}\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{1}(t, \infty)}}\left(C_{2}>0\right)$ be true for all $t>0$; then $M_{\alpha}$ is bounded from $L M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$. If also $w_{1} \in \Omega_{p_{1}, \theta_{1}}, w_{2} \in \Omega_{p_{2}, \theta_{2}}$, then $M_{\alpha}$ is bounded from $G M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $G M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$.
(3) In particular, for $1<p_{1}<\infty, 0<p_{2}<\infty, 0<\theta_{1} \leq \theta_{2} \leq \infty, \theta_{1} \leq p_{1}$, $Q\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) \leq \alpha<\frac{Q}{p_{1}}, w_{1} \in \Omega_{\theta_{1}}, w_{2} \in \Omega_{\theta_{2}}$ the operator $M_{\alpha}$ is bounded from $L M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ to $L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ if and only if for all $t>0$,

$$
\left\|w_{2}(r) r^{Q / p_{2}}(t+r)^{-Q / p_{2}}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{2}(0, \infty)}} \leq C_{3}\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{1}}(t, \infty)}
$$

Here the constant $C_{3}>0$ is independent of $t$.
Note that, in the Euclidean setting Theorem 1 was proved in [2].
Proof. Sufficiency. It is known [19] that for $\theta_{1} \leq \theta_{2} \leq \infty$ the necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of (19) for all non-negative decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ functions $\varphi$ has the form: for some $c>0$

$$
\left\|v_{2}(r) \min \{t, r\}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{2} / p_{1}}(0, \infty)} \leq c\left\|v_{1}(r)\right\|_{L_{\theta_{1} / p_{1}}(0, t)}
$$

for all $t>0$. Applying this condition to the functions $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ given by (12) and (13) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{2}(r) \frac{r^{Q / p_{2}}}{(t+r)^{Q / p_{1}-\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{2}}(0, \infty)} \leq c\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{1}}(t, \infty)} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, taking into account equalities (12) and (13) and replacing $r^{-\frac{p_{2}}{Q}}$ by $\rho$ and $t^{-\frac{p_{2}}{Q}}$ by $\tau$, we get that for some $c>1$

$$
\left\|w_{2}(\rho) \rho^{Q / p_{2}} \min \left\{\tau^{\alpha-Q / p_{1}}, \rho^{\alpha-Q / p_{1}}\right\}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{2}}(0, \infty)} \leq c\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{1}}(\tau, \infty)}
$$

for all $\tau>0$.
Hence (22) follows since

$$
\rho^{Q / p_{2}} \min \left\{\tau^{\alpha-Q / p_{1}}, \rho^{\alpha-Q / p_{1}}\right\} \asymp \frac{\rho^{Q / p_{2}}}{(\rho+\tau)^{Q / p_{1}-\alpha}}
$$

Necessity. Assume that, for some $c>0$ and for all $f \in L M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\alpha} f\right\|_{L M_{p_{2} \theta_{2}, w_{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} \leq c\|f\|_{L M_{p_{1} \theta_{1}, w_{1}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (23) take $f=f_{t}$, where $f_{t}$ is defined by (20). Then by (21) the right-hand side of (23) does not exceed a constant multiplied by $t^{Q / p_{1}}\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{1}}(t, \infty)}$. Furthermore by Lemma 5 the left-hand side of inequality (23) is greater than or equal to a constant multiplied by

$$
t^{\alpha+\min \left\{Q-\alpha, Q / p_{2}\right\}}\left\|w_{2}(r) \frac{r^{Q / p_{2}}}{(t+r)^{\min \left\{Q-\alpha, Q / p_{2}\right\}}}\right\|_{L_{\theta_{2}}(0, \infty)}
$$

This works foe the case $\alpha=\frac{n}{p_{2}^{\prime}}$ too, since $\ln \left(e+\frac{r}{t}\right) \geq 1$.
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# Open Currency Position Risk and Value at Risk Analysis in Commercial Banks 

A. Huseynov


#### Abstract

In this article, Risk of Open Currency Position which the banks are most commited and Value at Risk method which is used to measure market risk are investigated. Variance Covariance and Historical Simulation Methods used in calculating risk expense were thoroughly investigated and analyzed its effects on banks.
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## 1. Open Currency Position Risk

If the bank has a disparity between the total balance sheet assets and the total of the balance sheet liabilities in one currency, then the bank's balance position in that currency is open. If the balance sheet assets in the currency exceed the total amount of the total liabilities, then the bank position will be long in that currency, in the contrary, if the balance sheet liabilities in the currency exceed the total amount of the total assets, then the bank position becomes short.

If the position of the bank in one currency is long, then the bank will suffer losses as a result of a decrese of exchange rate. On the contrary, if the position in one currency is short, then exchange rate of that currency will lead to the bank loss. If the position of the bank in any currency is open to the likelihood of an event that could lead to loss, then there would be a currency risk. This currency risk is called the Open Currency Position risk and this risk arises from an open position on the balance of the currency. Open Currency Position risk is one of the most exposed risks of banks.

## 2. Value at Risk Method

Banks open currency position either cumpulsorily or voluntarily in different currencies. Hence, banks are exposed to risks due to their open position. It is very important for banks to predict the effects of changes in exchange rates on the currency position within a certain period of time. The Bank should calculate to what extent it will expose its open position,
and hence to what extent the exposure to risk. The method used to measure the open currency position risk is called the Value at Risk Method. Value at Risk is the maximum exposure to probable loss of a certain amount during the valuation period. Exposure to Risk is a method that measures the maximum loss of volatility in the financial markets, i.e. fluctuations in volatility.

As we mentioned, a value at risk is an expected maximum loss with a certain confidence level in a certain period of time As it is seen from the definition, Value at Risk includes two factors, such as the Time Span and the Level of Confidence. The time interval is a period it takes to close the position. Degree of precision of curency posiotn risk depends on confidence level. Degree to which extend a real risk exposure is less than calculated Value at risk is determined by confidence level. Here, the normal distribution and the features of this distribution are important.

Normal distribution is such a distribution that is symmetrically average, and the average, median and mod are equal. These values are crossed at the same point as indicated by a curve. Mode is the most frequently number in a series. The median is the number in the middle of a sequence of numbers when it is put in order from smaller or larger in series. As noted above, the right and left sides of the intersection of the curve of the normal distribution are symmetric to each other. The normal distribution curve infinitly stretches to the left and right but does not cut the bottom line. Below is a schedule of normal distribution:


The standard deviation is taken at " 0 " at the hinge point of the normal distribution curve.


The standard distribution is such a distribution that the average value for that distribution is " 0 " and the variance is " 1 ". $68 \%, 95 \%$ and $99 \%$ of values in normal distribution fall accordingly within $(-1,+1),(-3,+3)$ and, $(-2,+2)$ standard deviation:


Different levels of confidence are selected for estimating Value at Risk. The volatility of the market should be taken into consideration when selecting the level of confidence. In emerging economies, financial markets are highly volatile, thus the level of confidence should be taken higher in these countries, as the financial markets are less volatile in developed countries, so the level of confidence should be lower. However, the $99 \%$ confidence level is selected as a standard. The Basel Committee recommends that the level of confidence should be chosen as high as possible. The higher the risk level, the higher the value at risk.

The methods for calculating the value at risk are divided into two classes, including parametric and nonparametric methods. The Variance-Covariance method is used in the parametric method, however the Historical Simulation method is in the non-parametric method. parametric methods depend on the degree of confidence under the hypothesis that revenue is normally distributed. In non-parametric method, income is not dependent on any parameter. In other words, revenue is not based on any hypothesis. We will stay on these two methods which are commonly used to measure value at risk.

## 3. Parametric method: Variance - Covariance method

This method determines the parameters affecting the currency position and calculates the maximum loss value from the fluctuations that occur at a certain level of confidence.

Let's assume that the bank's total assets are 3,750,000 EUR and total liabilities are $5,730,000$ EUR. Euro currency position is open and short. The open position is $-1,980,000$ EUR. Therefore, there will be loss as a result of the increase in exchange rate of the Euro.

We need exchange rates of at least two months to calculate value at risk with parametric method. We obtain the latest two-month euro exchange rate on the Central Bank's website. In order to apply the Variance-Covariance method it is important that the distribution of the exchange rates ought to be normal. Otherwise, the value at risk will yield an erronous result. We'll use skewness and kurtosis to detect whether the distribution is a normal distribution. Skewness is a number that shows the symmetry of distribution. If skewness is equal to zero the distribution will be symmetric, if it is smaller than zero, ie negative numbers, the distribution will be right-handed and ultimately, if it is more than zero, that is, positive numbers, the distribution will be left-handed. The number of kurtosis is a value associated with the sharpness or the cavity of the distribution.If the kurtosis is 3 , then the distribution will be a normal distribution, if the distribution is less than 3 then distribution will be spike and if it is greater than 3 then it will be concave. In order to calculate skewness, we can use SKEW in the Excel program and KURT to calculate kurtosis. If we calculate the skewness and kurtosis values, we get the following:

| Date | Rate | Skewness | Kurtosis | Mode | Median | Average |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03.09 .2018 | 1.9729 | -0.080875 | -0.685801 | 1.972900 | 1.967050 | 1.965113 |
| 04.09 .2018 | 1.9727 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05.09 .2018 | 1.9712 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06.09 .2018 | 1.9778 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07.09 .2018 | 1.9770 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.09 .2018 | 1.9630 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.09 .2018 | 1.9722 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.09 .2018 | 1.9699 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.09 .2018 | 1.9762 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Date | Rate | Skewness | Kurtosis | Mode | Median | Average |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.09 .2018 | 1.9883 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.09 .2018 | 1.9781 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.09 .2018 | 1.9876 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19.09 .2018 | 1.9848 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.09 .2018 | 1.9852 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21.09 .2018 | 2.0024 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.09 .2018 | 1.9967 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.09 .2018 | 1.9960 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.09 .2018 | 1.9996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27.09 .2018 | 1.9976 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.09 .2018 | 1.9799 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01.10 .2018 | 1.9712 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02.10 .2018 | 1.9668 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03.10 .2018 | 1.9681 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04.10 .2018 | 1.9494 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05.10 .2018 | 1.9567 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08.10 .2018 | 1.9571 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09.10 .2018 | 1.9546 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.10 .2018 | 1.9561 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.10 .2018 | 1.9655 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.10 .2018 | 1.9729 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.10 .2018 | 1.9635 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.10 .2018 | 1.9673 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.10 .2018 | 1.9649 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.10 .2018 | 1.9550 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19.10 .2018 | 1.9479 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.10 .2018 | 1.9570 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23.10 .2018 | 1.9474 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.10 .2018 | 1.9496 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.10 .2018 | 1.9398 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.10 .2018 | 1.9325 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.10 .2018 | 1.9366 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.10 .2018 | 1.9348 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31.10 .2018 | 1.9281 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01.11 .2018 | 1.9284 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02.11 .2018 | 1.9396 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05.11 .2018 | 1.9353 |  |  |  |  |  |

As you can see, skewness is -0.080875 and kurtosis -0.685801 . Skewness is different from " 0 " and kurtois is " 3 ". At the same time, we have noted that in normal distribution
the average, median and mod are equal. Here, all of the values are different from each other. Given all this, we can say that distribution is not a normal distribution. Nevertheless, we can normalize this distribution. We use the NORMDIST function in the Excel program. This function converts distribution to a normal distribution with a specified average value (standard average) and standard deviation. However, each distribution can not be converted to a normal distribution. In order to use the NORMDIST function, we need to calculate the average value and standard deviation values. To calculate the average, we sum up these amounts and divide them into their number. The average number is calculated by the following formula:

$$
\bar{r}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}}{n}
$$

Where, $n$ - number of values, $r_{i}$ - values and $\bar{r}$ - average of the values. After obtaining the mean, we need to calculate the variance to calculate the standard deviation. Variance is a statistical value that shows how far each of these exchange rates is from the average. To calculate the variance, we calculate the sum of the squares of the differences from average of all the rates and then divide their number to one minus. The following formula is used to calculate variance:

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-\bar{r}\right)^{2}}{n-1}
$$

Where, $\sigma^{2}$ - the variance of these rates. Then we need to calculate the standard deviation. Standard deviation indicates a deviation of the estimated figure from from the mean of the set of values Standard deviation is the root of the variance and is calculated by the following formula:

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-\bar{r}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}
$$

Where, $\sigma$ - the standard deviation of given rates. The detailed description of the calculation is as follows:

| Date | Rate | Average | Square of <br> deviation | Variance | Standard <br> deviation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03.09 .2018 | 1.9729 | 1.965113 | 0.000061 | 0.000388 | 0.019710 |
| 04.09 .2018 | 1.9727 |  | 0.000058 |  |  |
| 05.09 .2018 | 1.9712 |  | 0.000037 |  |  |
| 06.09 .2018 | 1.9778 |  | 0.000161 |  |  |
| 07.09 .2018 | 1.977 |  | 0.000141 |  |  |
| 10.09 .2018 | 1.963 |  | 0.000004 |  |  |
| 11.09 .2018 | 1.9722 |  | 0.000050 |  |  |
| 12.09 .2018 | 1.9699 |  | 0.000023 |  |  |
| 13.09 .2018 | 1.9762 |  | 0.000123 |  |  |
| 14.09 .2018 | 1.9883 |  | 0.000538 |  |  |
| 17.09 .2018 | 1.9781 |  | 0.000169 |  |  |
| 18.09 .2018 | 1.9876 |  | 0.000506 |  |  |
| 19.09 .2018 | 1.9848 |  | 0.000388 |  |  |
| 20.09 .2018 | 1.9852 |  | 0.000403 |  |  |
| 21.09 .2018 | 2.0024 |  | 0.001390 |  |  |
| 24.09 .2018 | 1.9967 |  | 0.000998 |  |  |
| 25.09 .2018 | 1.996 |  | 0.000954 |  |  |
| 26.09 .2018 | 1.9996 |  | 0.001189 |  |  |
| 27.09 .2018 | 1.9976 |  | 0.001055 |  |  |
| 28.09 .2018 | 1.9799 |  | 0.000219 |  |  |
| 01.10 .2018 | 1.9712 |  | 0.000037 |  |  |
| 02.10 .2018 | 1.9668 |  | 0.000003 |  |  |
| 03.10 .2018 | 1.9681 |  | 0.000009 |  |  |
| 04.10 .2018 | 1.9494 |  | 0.000247 |  |  |
| 05.10 .2018 | 1.9567 |  | 0.000071 |  |  |
| 08.10 .2018 | 1.9571 |  | 0.000064 |  |  |
| 09.10 .2018 | 1.9546 |  | 0.000111 |  |  |
| 10.10 .2018 | 1.9561 |  | 0.000081 |  |  |
| 11.10 .2018 | 1.9655 |  | 0.000000 |  |  |
| 12.10 .2018 | 1.9729 |  | 0.000061 |  |  |
| 15.10 .2018 | 1.9635 |  | 0.000003 |  |  |
| 16.10 .2018 | 1.9673 |  | 0.000005 |  |  |
| 17.10 .2018 | 1.9649 |  | 0.000000 |  |  |


| Date | Rate | Average | Square of <br> deviation | Variance | Standard <br> deviation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.10 .2018 | 1.955 |  | 0.000102 |  |  |
| 19.10 .2018 | 1.9479 |  | 0.000296 |  |  |
| 22.10 .2018 | 1.957 |  | 0.000066 |  |  |
| 23.10 .2018 | 1.9474 |  | 0.000314 |  |  |
| 24.10 .2018 | 1.9496 |  | 0.000241 |  |  |
| 25.10 .2018 | 1.9398 |  | 0.000641 |  |  |
| 26.10 .2018 | 1.9325 |  | 0.001064 |  |  |
| 29.10 .2018 | 1.9366 |  | 0.000813 |  |  |
| 30.10 .2018 | 1.9348 |  | 0.000919 |  |  |
| 31.10 .2018 | 1.9281 |  | 0.001370 |  |  |
| 01.11 .2018 | 1.9284 |  | 0.001348 |  |  |
| 02.11 .2018 | 1.9396 |  | 0.000651 |  |  |
| 05.11 .2018 | 1.9353 |  | 0.000889 |  |  |

We can calculate variance and standard deviation by using Excel functions, VAR and STDEV. Let us Normalize distribution with NORMDIST function:

| Rate | Normalization |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 1.9281 | 3.567192 |
| 1.9284 | 3.667930 |
| 1.9325 | 5.246246 |
| 1.9348 | 6.294925 |
| 1.9353 | 6.537772 |
| 1.9366 | 7.192703 |
| 1.9396 | 8.821054 |
| 1.9398 | 8.934681 |
| 1.9474 | 13.486201 |
| 1.9479 | 13.786019 |
| 1.9494 | 14.670538 |
| 1.9496 | 14.786353 |
| 1.9546 | 17.418853 |
| 1.955 | 17.600747 |
| 1.9561 | 18.073221 |
| 1.9567 | 18.312725 |
| 1.957 | 18.427399 |
| 1.9571 | 18.464853 |
| 1.963 | 19.907433 |


| Rate | Normalization |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 19.954187 |
| 1.9635 | 20.018521 |
| 1.9649 | 20.015891 |
| 1.9655 | 19.948059 |
| 1.9668 | 19.899468 |
| 1.9673 | 19.796030 |
| 1.9681 | 19.450303 |
| 1.9699 | 19.107172 |
| 1.9712 | 19.107172 |
| 1.9712 | 18.792848 |
| 1.9722 | 18.620038 |
| 1.9727 | 18.548090 |
| 1.9729 | 18.548090 |
| 1.9729 | 17.149081 |
| 1.9762 | 16.756785 |
| 1.977 | 16.347095 |
| 1.9778 | 16.189329 |
| 1.9781 | 15.201675 |
| 1.9799 | 12.289117 |
| 1.9848 | 12.045393 |
| 1.9852 | 10.591266 |
| 1.9876 | 10.173373 |
| 1.9883 | 6.022602 |
| 1.996 | 5.699944 |
| 1.9967 | 5.300748 |
| 1.9976 | 4.478074 |
| 1.9996 | 3.476943 |
| 2.0024 |  |
|  |  |

The distribution table will be as follows:


With The Variance-Covariance Method (VAR) The Value at Risk is calculated as follows:

$$
V A R=\text { Open Position } * \sigma * \alpha
$$

Where, $\sigma$-standard deviation, $\alpha$ - the level of assurance. Here, $\alpha$ is equal to 1,645 , at $95 \%$ confidence level in normal distribution, as noted. Similarly, the value that corresponds to $99 \%$ confidence level in normal distribution is 2,326 . In our case, the open position is $-1,980,000$ EUR and standard deviation is 0.019710 . Therefore,

$$
V A R 95 \%=64196.55 \text { and } \quad V A R 99 \%=90772.75
$$

The Value at Risk we obtained means that the maximum amount of our loss at $99 \%$ confidence level within 1 day will be AZN 90772.75 . The probability exceeding of our loss from this amount is $1 \%$. If we multiply the calcaulated Value at Risk for 1 day by the square root of holding period we will get a value at risk for that period The formula will be:

$$
V A R=\text { Open position } * \sigma * \alpha * \sqrt{t}
$$

Where, $t$ - time interval, or, in other words, a retention period.

## 4. Non-parametric method: Historical Simulation method

This method is based on the assumption that the history repeats itself. This method uses Historical Values to calculate Value at Risk. That is, we can calculate the amount of loss for tomorrow by using the prior days' rates and losses, assuming that tomorrow will be like the days we left behind. There will also be a level of confidence.

Suppose that the total assets of the Bank is $9,750,000$ GBP and the total liabilities is $7,350,000 \mathrm{GBP}$. Pound currency position is open and long. The open position is $2,400,000$ GBP. Therefore, there will be loss as a result of the decrease of the exchange rate of the Pound.

With a Historical Simulation Method, we need exchange rates for at least the last two months to calculate the value at risk. We obtain the latest two-month exchange rate currencies from the Central Bank's website. Then we calculate the daily fluctuations of these exchange rates. The following formula is used to calculate the change:

$$
\left(\text { rate }_{\text {current day }}-\text { rate }_{\text {the day before }}\right) / \text { rate }_{\text {the day before }}
$$

Then the open position is re-evaluated by multiplying to the change of these exchange rates. These re-evaluations are then sorted from large to small. After the rankings the total rows are multiplied by $95 \%$ or $99 \%$. There are 45 rows in our example. If we multiply this figure to $95 \%$ or $99 \%$, we'll get approximately 43 and 44 respectively. The amount
in row 43 with probability $95 \%$, and the amount in row 44 with probability $99 \%$ are The Value at Risk. The detailed illustration of the calculation is as follows:

| Date | Rate | Change | Impact | Ranking | Open position | Value at Risk (95\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -20201.66 |
| 03.09.2018 | 2.1980 |  |  |  | 2400000 |  |
| 04.09.2018 | 2.1865 | $0.523 \%$ | $12556.87$ | 28795.16 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Value at Risk } \\ & (99 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| 05.09.2018 | 2.1861 | $0.018 \%$ | -439.06 | 25884.10 |  | -22608.31 |
| 06.09.2018 | 2.1953 | 0.421\% | 10100.18 | 23178.90 |  |  |
| 07.09.2018 | 2.1984 | 0.141\% | 3389.06 | 22331.57 |  |  |
| 10.09.2018 | 2.1951 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & 0.150 \% \end{aligned}$ | -3602.62 | 18663.53 |  |  |
| 11.09.2018 | 2.2163 | 0.966\% | 23178.90 | 15288.02 |  |  |
| 12.09.2018 | 2.2116 | $0.212 \%$ | -5089.56 | 14071.80 |  |  |
| 13.09.2018 | 2.2172 | 0.253\% | 6077.05 | 13381.90 |  |  |
| 14.09.2018 | 2.2302 | 0.586\% | 14071.80 | 12606.06 |  |  |
| 17.09.2018 | 2.2239 | $0.282 \%$ | -6779.66 | 11263.58 |  |  |
| 18.09.2018 | 2.2363 | 0.558\% | 13381.90 | 10234.31 |  |  |
| 19.09.2018 | 2.2354 | $0.040 \%$ | -965.88 | 10100.18 |  |  |
| 20.09.2018 | 2.2354 | 0.000\% | 0.00 | 8782.47 |  |  |
| 21.09.2018 | 2.2562 | 0.930\% | 22331.57 | 6077.05 |  |  |
| 24.09.2018 | 2.2236 | $1.445 \%$ | $34677.78$ | 5705.83 |  |  |
| 25.09.2018 | 2.2275 | 0.175\% | 4209.39 | 5229.47 |  |  |
| 26.09.2018 | 2.2392 | 0.525\% | 12606.06 | 5047.88 |  |  |
| 27.09.2018 | 2.2364 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & 0.125 \% \end{aligned}$ | -3001.07 | 4209.39 |  |  |
| 28.09.2018 | 2.2243 | $0.541 \%$ | $12985.15$ | 3389.06 |  |  |
| 01.10.2018 | 2.2141 | $0.459 \%$ | $11005.71$ | 2882.82 |  |  |
| 02.10.2018 | 2.2157 | 0.072\% | 1734.34 | 2643.05 |  |  |
| 03.10.2018 | 2.2094 | $0.284 \%$ | -6824.03 | 1734.34 |  |  |


| Date | Rate | Change | Impact | Ranking | Open position | Value at Risk (95\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04.10.2018 | 2.1978 | $0.525 \%$ | $12600.71$ | 0.00 |  |  |
| 05.10.2018 | 2.2118 | 0.637\% | 15288.02 | -439.06 |  |  |
| 08.10.2018 | 2.2290 | 0.778\% | 18663.53 | -965.88 |  |  |
| 09.10.2018 | 2.2278 | $0.054 \%$ | -1292.06 | -1292.06 |  |  |
| 10.10.2018 | 2.2373 | 0.426\% | 10234.31 | -2715.05 |  |  |
| 11.10.2018 | 2.2478 | 0.469\% | 11263.58 | -3001.07 |  |  |
| 12.10.2018 | 2.2505 | 0.120\% | 2882.82 | -3602.62 |  |  |
| 15.10.2018 | 2.2293 | $0.942 \%$ | $22608.31$ | -5060.27 |  |  |
| 16.10.2018 | 2.2346 | 0.238\% | 5705.83 | -5089.56 |  |  |
| 17.10.2018 | 2.2393 | 0.210\% | 5047.88 | -6779.66 |  |  |
| 18.10.2018 | 2.2267 | $0.563 \%$ | $13504.22$ | -6824.03 |  |  |
| 19.10.2018 | 2.2135 | $0.593 \%$ | $14227.33$ | $11005.71$ |  |  |
| 22.10 .2018 | 2.2216 | 0.366\% | 8782.47 | $12489.16$ |  |  |
| 23.10 .2018 | 2.2029 | $0.842 \%$ | $20201.66$ | $12556.87$ |  |  |
| 24.10.2018 | 2.2077 | 0.218\% | 5229.47 | $12600.71$ |  |  |
| 25.10 .2018 | 2.1907 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & 0.770 \% \end{aligned}$ | $18480.77$ | $12985.15$ |  |  |
| 26.10 .2018 | 2.1793 | $0.520 \%$ | $12489.16$ | $13504.22$ |  |  |
| 29.10 .2018 | 2.1817 | 0.110\% | 2643.05 | $14227.33$ |  |  |
| 30.10 .2018 | 2.1771 | $0.211 \%$ | -5060.27 | $18409.81$ |  |  |
| 31.10 .2018 | 2.1604 | $0.767 \%$ | $18409.81$ | $18480.77$ |  |  |
| 01.11.2018 | 2.1837 | 1.079\% | 25884.10 | $20201.66$ |  |  |
| 02.11.2018 | 2.2099 | 1.200\% | 28795.16 | $22608.31$ |  |  |
| 05.11.2018 | 2.2074 | $0.113 \%$ | -2715.05 | $34677.78$ |  |  |

As mentioned above, the Value at Risk that we have obtained likewise means that the maximum amount of loss we will have is 22608.31 AZN at $99 \%$ confidence level within 1 day. The probability of the loss exceeding this amount is $1 \%$.
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# Boundary Value Problems for Cauchy-Riemann Inhomogeneous Equation with Nonlocal Boundary Conditions in a Rectangle 
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#### Abstract

A boundary value problem for a first order elliptic type equation with nonlocal boundary conditions in a rectangular domain is considered. The problem statement is such that four points of the boundary simultaneously move along the boundaries( every point is situated in one of sides of the rectangle). These points move so that the Carleman conditions are fulfilled, i.e. the neighboring points either move away from one boundary point or they approach to one of the boundary points. Carleman has called such problems the well-psed problems.
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## 1. Introduction

As is known from the course of mathematical functions equations and partial equations, boundary value problems with local conditions are mainly considered for elliptic type equations [7], [8], [10],[11] .

Further, a boundary value problem with local boundary conditions Dirichlet condition was considered for a first order elliptic type equation (Cauchy-Riemann equation) though such problems are ill-posed [2],[4].

Note that for an ordinary linear differential equation, the number of both initial and boundary conditions coincide with the order of the equation under consideration [12],[5], while for a partial equation the number of initial conditions coincides with the highest order of time derivative contained in the considered equation. As for a local boundary condition (if the number of space variables is greater than a unit with arbitrary boundaries) their number coincides with the half of higher derivatives in space variables contained in the considered equations [9],[6].

Note that linear local boundary conditions with global addends (integrals) are also encountered in the the paper [6], while nonlocal ones in our case [14](with sewing of

[^1]boundary values) for many-dimensional boundary value problems are encountered in [3]. Note that [14] contains over 250 works devoted mainly to boundary value problems.

In [14] one can find boundary value problems both for elliptic (both of even and odd orders), parabolic type equations and also for mixed and composite type equations.

There are also boundary value problems for fractional derivative ordinary and partial equations.

Finally, note that we considered both the Cauchy problem and a boundary value problem for a linear differential equation with continuously alternating order of derivative [1].

## 2. Problem statement

Let us consider the following boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_{2}}+i \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_{1}}=f(x), \quad x_{2} \in\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right), \quad k=1,2  \tag{1}\\
\alpha_{j 1}(t) u\left(a_{1}+t\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)+\alpha_{j 2}(t)\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+t\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right)+\alpha_{j 3}(t) u\left(b_{1}+t\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right) \\
+\alpha_{j 4}(t) u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+t\left(b_{2}-a_{1}\right)\right)=\alpha_{j}(t), \quad j=1,2 ; \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \quad b_{k}>a_{k}>0, \quad k=1,2 ; i=\sqrt{-1} f(x)$ for $x_{k} \in\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right), k=$ 1,$2 ; \quad \alpha_{j k}(t) \quad \alpha_{j}(t)$ for $j=1,2 ; \quad k=\overline{1,4}$ are continuous functions and boundary conditions (2) that are linear independent.

Remark 1. As is seen from the statements of of problems(1)-(2) the Carleman conditions [3] are fulfilled i.e. on the boundary four points move simultaneously and the neighboring points move away from one boundary point or they approach to one boundary point.

Remark 2. We show that if simultaneously more than point move along the boundary, i.e. the Carleman conditions are not fulfilled, then the problem is ill-posed, i.e. may have no solution or have a non-unique solution.

Main relations: As is known, the fundamental solution of the Caushy-Riemann equation (1) has the form ([13]: )

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x-\xi)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x_{2}-\xi_{2}+i\left(x_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For determining the main relation, we multiply equation (1) by fundamental solution (3), integrate with respect to the domain $D=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): x_{k} \in\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right), k=1,2\right.$ apply the Ostrogradsky-Gauss formula and have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{D} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_{2}} U(x-\xi) d x+i \int_{D} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_{1}} U(x-\xi) d x=\int_{D} f(x) U(x-\xi) d x \\
\int_{\Gamma} u(x) U(x-\xi)\left[\cos \left(\nu, x_{2}\right)+i \cos \left(\nu, x_{1}\right)\right] d x
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
-\int_{D} f(x) U(x-\xi) d x=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u(\xi), \quad \xi \in D  \tag{4}\\
\frac{1}{2} u(\xi), \quad \xi \in \Gamma
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Gamma=\partial D$ is the boundary of the domain $D, \nu$ is the external normal to the boundary $\Gamma$ of domain $D$.

The basic relation (4), consists of two parts. The first part corresponding to $\xi \in$ $D$ gives the general solution of equation (1) determined in domain $D$, the second part corresponding to $\xi \in \Gamma$ is a necessary condition.

For giving necessary conditions at first we write the main relation (4) in the expanded form, i.e.

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}+\tau\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)}{a_{2}-\xi_{2}+i\left(a_{1}-\tau\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right)-\xi_{1}\right)} d \tau+\frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+\tau\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right)}{b_{2}+\tau\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)-\xi_{2}+i\left(b_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)} d \tau \\
+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(b_{1}+\tau\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right)}{b_{2}-\xi_{2}+i\left(b_{1}+\tau\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)-\xi_{1}\right)} d \tau-\frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\tau\left(b_{2}-a_{1}\right)\right)}{a_{2}+\tau\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)-\xi_{2}+i\left(a_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)} d \tau \\
-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{f(x)}{x_{2}-\xi_{2}+i\left(x_{1}-\xi_{1}\right)} d x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(\xi), \quad \xi \in D \\
\frac{1}{2} u(\xi), \quad \xi \in \Gamma
\end{array}\right. \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The necessary conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u\left(a_{1}+\tau\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)=\frac{i}{\pi\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}+\tau\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)}{\tau-t} d \tau \\
+\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+\tau\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right)}{\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)(\tau-t)+i\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right)(1-t)} d \tau+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(b_{1}+\tau\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right)}{b_{2}-a_{2}+i\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right)(1-\tau-t)} d \tau \\
-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\tau\left(b_{2}-a_{1}\right)\right)}{\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right) \tau-i\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) t} d \tau-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D} \frac{f(x)}{x_{2}-a_{2}+i\left(x_{1}-a_{1}-t\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right)\right)} d x  \tag{6}\\
u\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+t\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}+\tau\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)(1-t)+i\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)(1-\tau)} d \tau \\
+\frac{i}{\pi\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+\tau\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right)}{\tau-t} d \tau+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(b_{1}+\tau\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right)}{\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right) t+i\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right) \tau} d \tau \\
-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\tau\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right)}{\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)(1-\tau-t)+i\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)} d \tau-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D} \frac{f(x)}{x_{2}-b_{2}-t\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)+i\left(x_{1}-b_{1}\right)} d x \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
u\left(b_{1}+t\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}+\tau\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)}{a_{2}-b_{2}+i\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)(1-\tau-t)} d \tau \\
+\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+\tau\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right)}{\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) \tau+i\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) t} d \tau-\frac{1}{\pi\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(b_{1}+\tau\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right)}{\tau-t} d \tau \\
-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\tau\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)\right)}{\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)(1-\tau)+i\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)(1-t)} d \tau-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D} \frac{f(x)}{x_{2}-b_{2}+i\left(x_{1}-b_{1}-t\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)\right)} d x, \\
+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+t\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}+\tau\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)}{\left(b_{2}-b_{2}\right) t+i\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \tau} d \tau}{\left.a_{2}\right)(1-\tau-t)+i\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right)} d \tau+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(b_{1}+\tau\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right)}{\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)(1-t)-i\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)(1-\tau)} d \tau  \tag{8}\\
-\frac{i}{\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right) \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\tau\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)\right)}{\tau-t} d \tau-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D} \frac{f(x)}{x_{2}-a_{2}-t\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)+i\left(x_{1}-a_{1}\right)} d x .
\end{gather*}
$$

This establishes the following statement:
Theorem 1. If $f(x)$ is a continuous function, then every solution of equation (1), determined in domain $D$ satisfies necessary singular conditions (6)-(9)

Remark 3. As was mentioned above, every solution of equation (1) determined in domain $D$ is found from the main relation (5) for $\xi \in D$, i.e. the first expression of the main relation (5)

Regularization: Proceeding from (6)-(9), we create the following linear combination:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{j 1}(t)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) u\left(a_{1}+t\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)+\alpha_{j 2}(t)\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) u\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+t\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\alpha_{j 3}(t)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) u\left(b_{1}+t\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right)+\alpha_{j 4}(t)\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+t\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\alpha_{j 1}(\tau) u\left(a_{1}+\tau\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)+\alpha_{j 2}(\tau) u\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+t\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha_{j 3}(\tau) u\left(b_{1}+\tau\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right)+\alpha_{j 4}(\tau) u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\tau\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)\right)\right] \frac{d \tau}{\tau-t}+\ldots \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where, when obtaining (10) it was supposed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j k}(t) \in H^{\mu}(0,1), \quad j=1,2 ; \quad k=\overline{1,4} ; \mu \in(0,1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H^{\mu}(0,1)$ is a Holder class with the exponent $\mu \in(0,1)$, the dots $(\cdots)$ denotes the sum of nonsingular addends.

Taking boundary condition (2) into account in (10), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{j 1}(t)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) u\left(a_{1}+t\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)+\alpha_{j 2}(t)\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) u\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+t\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right) \\
+\alpha_{j 3}(t)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) u\left(b_{1}+t\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right)+\alpha_{j 4}(t)\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+t\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)\right) \\
=\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\alpha_{j}(\tau)}{q-t} d t+\ldots, j=1,2 ; t \in[0,1] . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

As is seen from (12), as the first part does not contain an unknown function, then it exists in the Cauchy sense.

If we suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}(t) \in C^{(1)}(0,1), \quad j=1,2 ; \quad \alpha_{j}(0)=\alpha_{j}(1) \quad j=1,2 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the integral in the right hand side of (12) exists in the ordinary sence .
This establishes
Theorem 2. Under conditions of theorem 1, if conditions (11) and (12) hold, then relations (13) are regular.

Fredholm property: Now combining the given boundary condition (2) with regular expressions (12), we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{j 1}(\tau) u\left(a_{1}+t\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)+\alpha_{j 2}(t) u\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+t\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right) \\
+\alpha_{j 3}(\tau) u\left(b_{1}+t\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right)+\alpha_{j 4}(t) u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+t\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)\right)=\alpha_{j}(t), \quad j=1,2 ; t \in[0,1], \\
\alpha_{j 1}(t)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) u\left(a_{1}+t\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right), a_{2}\right)+\alpha_{j 2}(t)\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) u\left(b_{1}, b_{2}+t\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right) \\
+\alpha_{j 3}(t)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) u\left(b_{1}+t\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right), b_{2}\right) \\
+\alpha_{j 4}(t)\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) u\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+t\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)\right)=\ldots j=1,2 ; t \in[0,1] . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let

$$
\Delta(t)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{11}(t) & \alpha_{12}(t) & \alpha_{13}(t) & \alpha_{14}(t)  \tag{15}\\
\alpha_{21}(t) & \alpha_{22}(t) & \alpha_{23}(t) & \alpha_{24}(t) \\
\alpha_{11}(t)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) & \alpha_{12}(t)\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) & \alpha_{13}(t)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) & \alpha_{14}(t)\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) \\
\alpha_{21}(t)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) & \alpha_{22}(t)\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) & \alpha_{23}(t)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) & \alpha_{24}(t)\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right| \neq 0,
$$

Then from (14) we get a system of normal form of Fredholm integral equations of second kind with nonsingular kernels.

We get the following statement:
Theorem 3. Let the condition of theorem 3 hold, then if condition (15) is valid, the stated boundary value problem (1)-(2) is Fredholm.
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# On the Completeness of System of Cosines in Weighted Morrey Spaces 
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#### Abstract

In this work the problem of the completeness of the classical system of cosines is considered in a weighted Morrey spaces with a power weight. These spaces, generally speaking, are not separable. Therefore, classical trigonometric systems are not complete in these spaces. Starting from the shift operator, a subspace of Morrey space in which continuous functions are dense is defined. A sufficient condition on the weight function is found, under which the cosine system is complete in this subspace.


Key Words and Phrases: Morrey space, completeness, system of cosines
2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 33B10, 46E30, 54D70

## 1. Introduction

Morrey spaces were introduced by Morrey, see [1], in the setting of partial differential equations, and presented in various books, see $[2,3,4,5]$, survey papers $[6,7,8]$ and the references therein. The splash of interest to Morrey-type spaces during the last decade has advances in many areas, which allow to consider the basis properties of systems in such spaces in order to fill the gaps in the theory of Morrey spaces. These problems arise naturally in the solution of many partial differential equations by the Fourier method.

Several authors have studied the basis properties of trigonometric systems in Banach function spaces. Well-known results concerning the basis properties of the systems of exponentials in the case of the Lebesgue space $L_{p},(1<p<\infty)$, can be found in $[9,10,11]$. Babenko [12] has proved that the degenerate system of exponentials $\left\{|t|^{\alpha} e^{i n t}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $|\alpha|<\frac{1}{2}$ forms a basis for $L_{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ but does not form a Riesz basis when $\alpha \neq 0$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of integers. This result has been generalized by Gaposhkin [13]. In [14], the conditions on the weight function $\rho$, for which the system $\left\{e^{i n t}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms an unconditional basis for the weighted Besov space have been obtained. Similar problems have been studied in $[15,16,17,18,38,39]$. The basicity of the systems of sines and cosines with degenerate coefficients have been widely analyzed. Amongst the Banach spaces where the basicity are known we mention the Lebesgue space $L_{p},(1<p<\infty)$, [19, 20]. Basis properties of the systems of sines, cosines and exponentials with the linear phase in weighted Lebesgue space have been studied in [21, 22, 23]; see also [24, 25, 26].

The basis properties of the systems of sines, cosines and exponentials in Morrey spaces are much less studied. In the paper [27], there were studied the basis properties of the system of exponentials in Morrey space. Also, in [28, 37] the basis properties of the perturbed systems of exponentials in Morrey space have been investigated. On the other hand, some approximation problems have been investigated in Morrey-Smirnov classes in [29].

We will use the standard notation. Denote the set of natural numbers by $\mathbb{N}$ and the set of nonnegative integers by $\mathbb{N}_{0}$. We denote by $L[M]$ the linear span of the set $M . \bar{M}$ will stand for the closure of the set $M \cdot\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ means sup-norm.

Our goal in this paper is the study of completeness of the system $\{\cos n t\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ in weighted Morrey space $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$ defined by a product of power weights of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(t)=\prod_{k=0}^{r}\left|t-t_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k}}, \quad t \in[0, \pi] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{0}=0, t_{r}=\pi$, and $t_{k}$ are arbitrary finite points in the interval $(0, \pi)$ for all $k=$ $1,2, \ldots, r-1$, and $\alpha_{k} \in \mathrm{R}$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots, r$. Also, we will consider the weighted Morrey space $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(t)=\prod_{k=0}^{r}\left|t-t_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k}}, \quad t \in[-\pi, \pi] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $t_{k}$ are arbitrary finite points in the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ and $\alpha_{k} \in \mathrm{R}$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots, r$.
Although the same properties of trigonometric systems, as well as their pertubations, are well studied in weighted Lebesgue spaces, the situation changes cardinally in Morrey spaces. For instance, since the functional characterization of dual spaces of Morrey spaces is not known, it avoids working with dual spaces. Another difficulty, that frustrates the "usual" attempts is that, the infinitely differentiable functions(even continuous functions) are not dense in Morrey spaces, but we still seek to prove "density" property of trigonometric functions, which are infinily differentiable. For these reasons, unlike the $L_{p}$ case, here will be used another methods to study the basis properties(especially, completeness and basisness) in weighted Morrey spaces.

In this work the problem of the completeness of the classical system of cosines is considered in a weighted Morrey spaces with a power weight. These spaces, generally speaking, are not separable. Therefore, classical trigonometric systems are not complete in these spaces. Starting from the shift operator, a subspace of Morrey space in which continuous functions are dense is defined. A sufficient condition on the weight function is found, under which the cosine system is complete in this subspace.

## 2. Preliminaries

## 2.1. (Weighted) Morrey space on an interval

For $1<p<\infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda<1$ we define the Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$ as the set of functions $f$ on $(a, b)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{p, \lambda}:=\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)}=\sup _{I \subset(a, b)}\left(\frac{1}{|I|^{\lambda}} \int_{I}|f(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty
$$

where $I \subset(a, b)$ is any interval. It is clear that $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$ are Banach spaces. Morrey spaces can be defined in a more general way (see e.g. $[5,8,29]$ ) but this is enough for our purposes. The $L_{p}(a, b)$ spaces with the Lebesgue measure correspond with the case $\lambda=0$. The weighted Morrey space $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$ is defined in the usual way

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b):=\left\{f: \nu f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)\right\}
$$

with $\|f\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}:=\|\nu f\|_{p, \lambda}$. The function $\nu$ is called the weight or weight function of this space.

It is evident that the space $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$ contains constant functions if and only if $\nu \in$ $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$. Throughout the paper, unless otherwise stated, we will assume that $1<p, q<$ $\infty, p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ and $0<\lambda<1$. Also, the letter " $c$ " denotes a positive constant, which is not necessarily the same at each occurance but is independent of the essential variable and quantities. The expression $f \sim g, t \rightarrow a$ means that in suffeciently small neighborhood $O_{\delta}$ of the point $t=a$, the inequalities $0<\delta \leq\left|\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}\right| \leq \delta^{-1}<\infty$ hold in $O_{\delta}$. If the last inequalities hold on an interval $I$, we write $f \sim g$ on $I$. For example $\sin t \sim t(\pi-t)$ on $[0, \pi]$.

We assume here some familiarity with basic concepts of basis theory and we refer to the books of Heil [30], Christensen [31], Singer [32, 33] and Bilalov B.T. [39] for basic definitions such as complete and minimal systems and basis in Banach spaces.

The following lemma has been proved by Samko [34] in the case of Morrey space on a bounded rectifiable curve. In our case it reads
Lemma 1. The power function $\left|t-t_{0}\right|^{\alpha}, t_{0} \in[a, b]$, belongs to the Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$ if and only if $\alpha \in\left[\frac{\lambda-1}{p}, \infty\right)$.

Direct application of the above lemma implies the following
Proposition 1. Let $\nu$ be given as in (1). Then
$\{\cos n t\}_{n \in \mathrm{~N}_{0}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi), 0<\lambda<1$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k} \in\left[\frac{\lambda-1}{p}, \infty\right), \text { for all } k=0,1,2, \ldots, r \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. The case $\lambda>0$ differs from the case $\lambda=0$ : when $\lambda=0$, conditions (3) must be replaced by the conditions

$$
\alpha_{k} \in\left(-\frac{1}{p}, \infty\right), \text { for all } k=0,1,2, \ldots, r .
$$

### 2.2. Auxiliary propositions

Let us start by considering the space

$$
\left(\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\right)^{\prime}=\left\{g: \sup _{\|f\|_{p, \lambda}=1}\|f g\|_{L_{1}}<+\infty\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|g\|_{\left(\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\right)^{\prime}}=\sup _{f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda},\|f\|_{p, \lambda}=1}\|f g\|_{L^{1}}
$$

It can be proved that $\left(\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\right)^{\prime}$ is a normed space and the following inequality is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f g\|_{L^{1}} \leq\|f\|_{p, \lambda}\|g\|_{\left(\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\right)^{\prime}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}$ and $g \in\left(\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\right)^{\prime}$.
The following lemma is true.
Lemma 2. $|t|^{\beta} \in\left(\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)\right)^{\prime} \Leftarrow \beta \in\left(-\frac{\lambda-1}{p}-1, \infty\right), 0 \leq \lambda<1,1<p<+\infty$.
The following lemma is also true.
Lemma 3. $|t|^{\beta} \in\left(\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)\right)^{\prime} \Leftarrow \beta \in\left(-\frac{\lambda-1}{p}-1, \infty\right), 0 \leq \lambda<1,1<p<+\infty$.
Proof. Firstly, suppose $\beta \in\left(-\frac{\lambda-1}{p}-1, \infty\right)$. Then, for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|t|^{\beta}|f(t)| d t=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{t \in\left[2^{-k-1} \pi, 2^{-k} \pi\right]}|t|^{\beta}|f(t)| d t \\
\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k \beta} \int_{t \in\left[2^{-k-1} \pi, 2^{-k} \pi\right]}|f(t)| d t \\
\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k \beta} 2^{-k\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\left(\int_{t \in\left[2^{-k-1} \pi, 2^{-k} \pi\right]}|f(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
=c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\left(\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{\lambda}{p}\right)}\|f\|_{p, \lambda} \leq c\|f\|_{p, \lambda} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, $|t|^{\beta} \in\left(\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)\right)^{\prime}$.
Conversely, suppose that $\beta \notin\left(-\frac{\lambda-1}{p}-1, \infty\right)$. That is $\beta+\frac{\lambda-1}{p} \leq-1$.
Then, $|t|^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}} \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}|t|^{\beta}|t|^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}} d t=\int_{0}^{\pi}|t|^{\beta+\frac{\lambda-1}{p}} d t=\infty .
$$

Thus $|t|^{\beta} \notin\left(\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}\right)^{\prime}$. This completes the proof.

### 2.3. Zorko subspace of weighted Morrey space

Denote by $C_{0}^{\infty}[-\pi, \pi]$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in $(-\pi, \pi)$. We observe that functions in $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)$ can not be approximated by functions in $C_{0}^{\infty}[-\pi, \pi]$, nor even by continuous functions. That is the set $C_{0}^{\infty}[-\pi, \pi]$ is not dense in $\mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)(c . f .[5,35])$. This fact still valid in the weighted setting of Morrey space. For example, let $\nu$ be given as in (2) under conditions (3). Let $\tau_{0} \neq t_{k}, \forall k=$ $\overline{0, r}, \tau_{0} \in(-\pi, \pi)$ be any points. Then, there exists sufficianly small $\delta_{0}>0$, so that

$$
t_{k} \notin O_{\delta_{0}} \subset(-\pi, \pi), \forall k=\overline{0, r},
$$

where $O_{\delta_{0}}=\left[\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}+\delta_{0}\right]$. Then it's clear that $g_{\delta_{0}}^{ \pm}(t)=\chi_{O_{\delta_{0}}}(t) \nu^{ \pm 1}(t)$ is a continuous function on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Consider the function

$$
f(t)=\left|t-\tau_{0}\right|^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}} \nu^{-1}(t) .
$$

It's obvious that $f \in L_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)$. Let $g \in C[-\pi, \pi]$ be any function. From (3) it follows that $g \in L_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|f-g\|_{L_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)} \geq\|f-g\|_{L_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}\left(O_{\delta_{0}}\right)}= \\
= & \|f \nu-g \nu\|_{L^{p, \lambda}\left(O_{\delta_{0}}\right)}=\|F-G\|_{L^{p, \lambda}}\left(O_{\delta_{0}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(t)=\left|t-\tau_{0}\right|^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p}} \in L^{p, \lambda}\left(O_{\delta_{0}}\right), G=g \nu \in C\left(O_{\delta_{0}}\right)$. For the rest one needs to follow the corresponding example of Zorko [5, 35].

Let $f(\cdot)$ be the given function on $[a, b]$. In determining the Zorko type subspace we will assume that the function $f(\cdot)$ is continued to $[2 a-b, 2 b-a]$ with the following expression (and this function is also denoted by $f(\cdot)$ )

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(2 a-x), x \in[2 a-b, a), \\
f(2 b-x), x \in(b, 2 b-a] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, following Zorko [35], we consider the subspace

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}}(a, b):=\left\{f \in \mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b):\|f(.+\delta)-f(.)\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0\right\},
$$

where $\nu$ is given as in (2) under conditions (3). We will refer to this subspace as the Zorko subspace of $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$. Also, we consider the $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}$-closure of $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$ and denote it by $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$. It is easy to see that if $\nu \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$, then $C[-a, b] \subset M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$. In fact, let $f \in C[a, b]$ be an arbitrary function and $\delta$ be an arbitrary number (with $|\delta|$ sufficiently small). It is obvious that the extended function $f(\cdot)$ is continuous on $[2 a-b, 2 b-a]$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|f(\cdot+\delta)-f(\cdot)\|_{p, \lambda, \nu}=\sup _{I \subset(a, b)}\left(\frac{1}{|I|^{\lambda}} \int_{I}|(f(t+\delta)-f(t)) \nu(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p} \leq \\
\leq \sup _{t \in[a, b]}|f(t+\delta)-f(t)|\|\nu\|_{p, \lambda} \rightarrow 0, \quad \delta \rightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we have the following
Lemma 4. If $\nu \in L^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$, then $C[a, b] \subset M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$.
Since $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$, it also contains the $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}$-closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}[a, b]$; in fact, $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(a, b)$ is precisely that closure.

Proposition 2. Let $\nu$ be given as in (2) and the following condition holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k} \in\left[-\frac{1-\lambda}{p},-\frac{1-\lambda}{p}+1\right), k=\overline{0, r} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the set $C^{\infty}[-\pi, \pi]$ is dense in $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)$.
We need the following lemma.
Lemma 5. [Minkowski inequality for integrals in weighted Morrey spaces] Let ( $X ; X_{\sigma} ; \mu$ ) be a measurable space with an $\sigma$-additive measure $\mu(\cdot)$ on a set $X, \nu=\nu(t)$ a weight function, dy a linear Lebesgue measure on an interval $(a, b)$ and $F(x, y)$ is $\mu \times d y$-measurable. If $1 \leq p<\infty$, then

$$
\left\|\int_{X} F(x, y) d \mu(x)\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} \leq \int_{X}\|F(x, y)\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} d \mu(x) .
$$

Proof. By using the Minkowski inequality for integrals in $L_{p}(a, b)$,

$$
\left\|\int_{X} F(x, y) \nu(y) d \mu(x)\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq \int_{X}\|F(x, y) \nu(y)\|_{L_{p}} d \mu(x),
$$

we have

$$
\left(\int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|\int_{X} F(x, y) \nu(y) d \mu(x)\right|^{p} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_{X}\left(\int_{B_{r}(x)}|F(x, y) \nu(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d \mu(x)
$$

where $B_{r}(x)$ is a ball with a radius $r>0$ and the center at $x \in X$. Then

$$
\left(\frac{1}{r^{\lambda}} \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|\int_{X} F(x, y) \nu(y) d \mu(x)\right|^{p} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

$$
\leq \int_{X}\left(\frac{1}{r^{\lambda}} \int_{B_{r}(x)}|F(x, y) \nu(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d \mu(x)
$$

The required result follows by taking the supremum over all $x \in(a, b)$ and $r>0$ in the last inequality.

It is now easy to provide the
Proof of Proposition 2. Let $f \in M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)$, and $\varepsilon>0$, be a sufficiently small number. Consider the function

$$
w_{\varepsilon}(t)= \begin{cases}c_{\varepsilon} e^{\left(\frac{-\varepsilon^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}-t^{2}}\right)}, & |t|<\varepsilon \\ 0, & |t| \geq \varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

where $c_{\varepsilon}$ is chosen such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_{\varepsilon}(t) d t=1$. Define the function $f_{\varepsilon}(t)$ as

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_{\varepsilon}(s) f(t-s) d s
$$

As $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small, this definition is correct. Indeed, it is enough to prove that $f \in L_{1}(-\pi, \pi)$. From $f \in M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)$ it follows that $(f \nu) \in L_{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)$. Let (5) holds. By using Lemma 2 it is easy to prove that $\nu^{-1} \in\left(L^{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)\right)^{\prime}$. Since $(f \nu) \in L_{p, \lambda}(-\pi, \pi)$, we have $f=(f \nu) \nu^{-1} \in L_{1}(-\pi, \pi)$.

It is clear that $f_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is infinitely differentiable function on $[-\pi, \pi]$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|f_{\varepsilon}-f\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}=\left\|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_{\varepsilon}(s) f(t-s) d s-f(t)\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} \\
=\left\|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_{\varepsilon}(s)[f(t-s)-f(t)] d s\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying Lemma 5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| f_{\varepsilon}- & f\left\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\right\| w_{\varepsilon}(s)[f(.-s)-f(.)] \|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} d s \\
& \leq \sup _{|s|<\varepsilon}\|[f(.-s)-f(.)]\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}(s) d s \\
= & \sup _{|s|<\varepsilon}\|[f(.-s)-f(.)]\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
By similar way we can define $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$ and prove the following
Proposition 3. Let $\nu$ be given as in (1) and the conditions (5) be satisfied. Then the set $C^{\infty}[0, \pi]$, of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in $(0, \pi)$, is dense in $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$.

## 3. Main result

In this section we will establish the completeness of system of cosines in weighted Morrey spaces.

Theorem 1. The system $\{\cos n t\}_{n \in \mathrm{~N}_{0}}$ is complete in $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi), 0<\lambda<1,1<p<+\infty$, if conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0} ; \alpha_{r} \in\left(-\frac{1-\lambda}{p},-\frac{1-\lambda}{p}+1\right), \alpha_{k} \in\left[-\frac{1-\lambda}{p},-\frac{1-\lambda}{p}+1\right), k=\overline{1, r-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied.
Proof. First, note that $\{\cos n t\}_{n \in \mathrm{~N}_{0}} \subset M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$. Indeed, by Lemma 1 under (5) we have $\nu \in L^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$. Then from Lemma 4 we have $C[0, \pi] \subset M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$, and as a result $\{\cos n t\}_{n \in \mathrm{~N}_{0}} \subset M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$. Show that under (6) the set $C_{0}^{\infty}[0, \pi]$ is also dense in $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$. Indeed, from Proposition 3, we have that the set $C^{\infty}[0, \pi]$ is dense in $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$. Let $f \in M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$ be any function and $\varepsilon>0$ be any number. Then $\exists g \in$ $C^{\infty}[0, \pi]$ :

$$
\|f-g\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

Set $E_{\delta}^{+}=(0, \delta), E_{\delta}^{-}=(\pi-\delta, \pi)$. We have

$$
\left\|g \chi_{E_{\delta}^{ \pm}}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)}=\|g\|_{L_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}\left(E_{\delta}^{ \pm}\right)} \leq\|g\|_{\infty}\|\nu\|_{L^{p, \lambda}\left(E_{\delta}^{ \pm}\right)}
$$

For sufficiently small $\delta>0$ we get

$$
\|\nu\|_{L^{p, \lambda}\left(E_{\delta}^{+}\right)} \leq C\left\|t^{\alpha_{0}}\right\|_{L^{p, \lambda}\left(E_{\delta}^{+}\right)} \rightarrow 0, \delta \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Analogously we have

$$
\|\nu\|_{L^{p, \lambda}\left(E_{\delta}^{-}\right)} \leq C\left\|(\pi-t)^{\alpha_{r}}\right\|_{L^{p, \lambda}\left(E_{\delta}^{-}\right)} \rightarrow 0, \delta \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $\delta_{0}<\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{t_{1} ; \pi-t_{r-1}\right\}$ is so that

$$
\|\nu\|_{L^{p, \lambda}\left(E_{\delta}^{+}\right)}+\|\nu\|_{L^{p, \lambda}\left(E_{\delta}^{-}\right)}<\frac{\varepsilon}{4\|g\|_{\infty}}, \forall \delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right) .
$$

Set

$$
g_{\delta_{0}}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(t), t \in(0, \pi) \backslash\left(E_{\delta_{0} / 2}^{+} \cup E_{\delta_{0} / 2}^{-}\right), \\
0, t \in\left(E_{\delta_{0} / 2}^{+} \cup E_{\delta_{0} / 2}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider

$$
G_{\delta_{0} ; \tau}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_{\varepsilon}(s) g_{\delta_{0}}(t-s) d s
$$

It is clear that

$$
\left\|G_{\delta_{0} ; \tau}-g_{\delta_{0}}\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} \rightarrow 0, \tau \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $g_{\delta_{0}}(\cdot)$ is finitly supported on $(0, \pi)$, for sufficiently small $\tau>0$ the function $G_{\delta_{0} ; \tau}$ is also finitly supported on $(0, \pi)$, and as a result $G_{\delta_{0} ; \tau} \in C_{0}^{\infty}[0, \pi]$. Let $\tau<\frac{\delta_{0}}{2}$ be so that

$$
\left\|G_{\delta_{0} ; \tau_{0}}-g_{\delta_{0}}\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|f-G_{\delta_{0} ; \tau_{0}}\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} \leq\|f-g\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}+\left\|g-g_{\delta_{0}}\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}+ \\
\left.+\left\|g_{\delta_{0}}-G_{\delta_{0} ; \tau_{0}}\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\|g\|_{L_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}\left(E_{\delta_{0} / 2}^{+} \cup E_{\delta_{0} / 2}^{-}\right.}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}<\varepsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

As $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, from here we get that $C_{0}^{\infty}[0, \pi]$ is dense in $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$.
So, for every $f \in M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $f_{\varepsilon} \in C_{0}^{\infty}[0, \pi]$ such that $\left\|f-f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}<$ $\varepsilon$. It is known that the Fourier sine series of $f_{\varepsilon}$ converges uniformly to this function on $[0, \pi]$. That is, if

$$
S_{m}(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{m} c_{n}\left(f_{\varepsilon}\right) \cos n t, m \in \mathrm{~N}
$$

where $c_{n}\left(f_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f_{\varepsilon}(t) \cos n t d t$, then there exists $m_{0}=m_{0}(\varepsilon) \in \mathrm{N}$, such that

$$
\sup _{t \in 0, \pi]}\left|f_{\varepsilon}(t)-S_{m}(t)\right|<\varepsilon, \text { for all } m \geq m_{0}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|f_{\varepsilon}-S_{m}\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}=\sup _{I \subset(0, \pi)}\left(\frac{1}{|I|^{\lambda}} \int_{I}\left|f_{\varepsilon}(t)-S_{m}(t)\right|^{p}|\nu(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq \varepsilon \sup _{I \subset(0, \pi)}\left(\frac{1}{|I|^{\lambda}} \int_{I}|\nu(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\varepsilon\|\nu\|_{p, \lambda} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\left\|f-S_{m}\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu} \leq\left\|f-f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}+\left\|f_{\varepsilon}-S_{m}\right\|_{p, \lambda ; \nu}<\left(1+\|\nu\|_{p, \lambda}\right) \varepsilon
$$

Thus, we arrive at the result since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary. Thus, if the conditions (5) are satisfied, then the system $\{\cos n t\}_{n \in \mathrm{~N}_{0}}$ is complete in $M_{\nu}^{p, \lambda}(0, \pi)$.

The theorem is proved.
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#### Abstract

In this paper we proved sufficient conditions for boundedness of Hardy type integral operator in weighted Lebesgue spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\phi$ be a fixed kernel defined on $(0, \infty)$, i.e. $\phi \in L_{1}^{\text {loc }}(0, \infty)$, then the Hardy type integral operator is defined in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\phi}(f)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{y} f(y) d y . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral operator (1) is deeply rooted in the study of one-dimensional Fourier analysis and has become an essential part of modern harmonic analysis.In particular, it is closely related to the summability of the classical Fourier series (see [8]). Many important operators in analysis are special cases of the integral operator (1), by taking suitable choice of $\phi$.

The considered integral operator (1) has been extensively studied in recent years, particularly its boundedness on the Lebesgue space as well as on the Hardy space(see $[2,3,4]$ ). We also refer to $[5,6,7]$ for some recent work in this vein. Moreover the generalized version of the considered operators on multidimensional Euclidean spaces have been studied (see [2], [8]). About boundedness of Hausdorff operator in different Lebesgue spaces we refer to [1].

In this paper we proved sufficient conditions for boundedness of integral operator (1) in weighted Lebesgue spaces.

## 2. Main Results

We recall some notation and basic facts about function spaces.
Let $\omega$ be a weight function on $R_{+}$, i.e $\omega \in L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(R_{+}\right)$and almost everywhere is a positive function. The weighted Lebesgue space $L_{p, \omega}\left(R_{+}\right)$is the class of all measurable functions $f$ defined on $R_{+}$such that

$$
\|f\|_{L_{p, \omega}\left(R_{+}\right)}=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{p} \omega(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty
$$

Theorem 1. Let $1<p<q<\infty$ and $H_{\phi}$ is a Hausdorff operator. Let $u$ be positive non-decreasing weighted function on $(0, \infty)$. Suppose that satisfying the following conditions:

1) $\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\phi(y)}{y} y^{\frac{1}{p}} d y<+\infty$ and there exists a constant $C_{1}$ such that for any $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$ the following inequality holds

$$
|\phi(t)| \leq \frac{C_{1}}{t}
$$

2) 

$$
\sup _{t>0}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{u(x)}{x^{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} u(x)^{1-p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}<\infty
$$

Then there exists $C>0$ for all $f \in L_{p, u}(0, \infty)$ the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{p} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that the function $u$ has the form

$$
u(t)=u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \psi(\tau) d \tau
$$

where $u(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+0} u(t)$ and $\psi$ is a positive function on $(0, \infty)$. Indeed, for increasing functions on $(0, \infty)$ there exists a sequence of absolutely continuous functions $\varphi_{n}(t)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(t)=u(t), 0 \leq \varphi_{n}(t) \leq u(t)$ a.e. $t>0$ and $\varphi_{n}(0)=u(0)$. Furthermore the functions $\varphi_{n}(t)$ are increasing, and besides

$$
\varphi_{n}(t)=\varphi_{n}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau
$$

Where $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\psi(t)$. Hence, using Fatou's theorem, we obtain estimate (2) for any increasing functions on $(0, \infty)$.

Let us estimate the left -hand side of inequality (2). We have

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p}\left(u(0)+\int_{0}^{x} \psi(t) d t\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

If $u(0)=0$, then $\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p}\left(\int_{0}^{x} \psi(t) d t\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.
However, if $u(0)>0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p} u(0) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p}\left(\int_{0}^{x} \psi(t) d t\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=E_{1}+E_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

First estimate $E_{1}$. By boundedness of integral operator (1) in Lebesgue spaces (see [2, 8]), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{1}=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p} u(0) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=(u(0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq C(u(0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{p} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=C\|f\|_{L_{p, u}(0, \infty)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us estimate the integral $E_{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{2}=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p}\left(\int_{0}^{x} \psi(t) d t\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t) \chi_{\{x>t\}}(x) d t\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p} d x\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|\int_{2 t}^{\infty} \frac{\phi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{y} f(y) d y\right|^{p} d x\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+2^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|\int_{0}^{2 t} \frac{\phi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{y} f(y) d y\right|^{p} d x\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=E_{21}+E_{22} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We estimate $E_{21}$. Using Theorem on boundedness of integral operator (1) in Lebesgue space, (see [1,7]) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{21}=2^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{y} f(y) \chi_{\{y>2 t\}}(y) d y\right|^{p} \chi_{\{x>t\}}(x) d x\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{y} f(y) \chi_{\{y>2 t\}}(y) d y\right|^{p} d x\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq C_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{p} \chi_{\{y>2 t\}}(x) d x\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =C_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{p}\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{x}{2}} \psi(t) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{p} u\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq C_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{p} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=C_{2}\|f\|_{L_{p, u}(0, \infty)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we estimate $E_{22}$. Note that if $x>t, y \leq 2 t$, then $\frac{x}{y} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. By virtue of condition 1) of Theorem 1 , one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{22}=2^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|\int_{0}^{2 t} \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{y} f(y) d y\right|^{p} d x\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{2 t} \frac{\left|\varphi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\right|}{y}|f(y)| d y\right)^{p} d x\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{2 t} \frac{|f(y)|}{x} d y\right)^{p} d x\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =2^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{p}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{2 t}|f(y)| d y\right)^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We get following formula in a way that made use of change of variables $\left(t=\frac{z}{2}, d t=\frac{1}{2} d z, 0<z<\infty\right)$

$$
E_{22}=2^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\left(\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{p}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t}|f(y)| d y\right)^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

As is well-known, the classical Hardy operator of function $|f|$ is determined by

$$
\int_{0}^{t}|f(y)| d y
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{2 t}^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\left(\int_{\frac{s}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{p}}\right) d s=2 \int_{t}^{\infty} \psi(s)\left(\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{p}}\right) d s \\
& =2 \int_{t}^{\infty} \psi(s)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{(s, \infty)}^{\infty}(x) x^{-p} d x\right) d s=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(s) \chi_{(t, \infty)}(s) \\
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{(s, \infty)}^{\infty}(x) x^{-p} d x\right) d s=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(s) x^{-p} \chi_{(t, \infty)}(s) \chi_{(s, \infty)}^{\infty}(x) d x d s \\
& =2 \int_{t}^{\infty} x^{-p}\left(\int_{t}^{x} \psi(s) d s\right) d x \leq 2 \int_{t}^{\infty} x^{-p}\left(\int_{0}^{x} \psi(s) d s\right) d x \leq 2 \int_{t}^{\infty} x^{-p} u(x) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we get

$$
\int_{t}^{\infty} \psi(s)\left(\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{p}}\right) d s \leq \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{u(x)}{x^{p}} d x
$$

Let $v$ and $\omega$ is weight functions defined on $(0, \infty)$. Follows by the theory of boundedness
of two-weighted Hardy operators, (see [9]) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(H f(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t: H: L_{p, v}(0, \infty) \rightarrow L_{p, \omega}(0, \infty)\right) \Leftrightarrow \\
& \Leftrightarrow A=\sup _{t>0}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \omega(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} v(x)^{1-p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}<\infty . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from inequality (3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t>0}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \psi(s)\left(\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{p}}\right) d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} u(x)^{1-p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{p}}} \\
& \leq \sup _{t>0}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{u(x)}{x^{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} u(x)^{1-p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{p}}}<\infty \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\omega(x)=\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) x^{1-p}$ and $v(x)=u(x)$ and applying (3) and (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{22} \leq C_{6}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\left(\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{p}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t}|f(y)| d y\right)^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =C_{7}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega(t)\left(\int_{0}^{t}|f(y)| d y\right)^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_{8}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(t)|^{p} u(t) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
Corollary 1. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $H_{\phi}$ - is the classical Hardy operator or RiemannLiouville operator.

Then these operators satisfy all terms of theorem 1 and these operators are bounded on $L_{p, u}(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $H_{\phi}$ - Hausdorff operator. Let $u$ be positive nonincreasing weighted function on $(0, \infty)$. Suppose that satisfying the following conditions:

1) $\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\phi(y)}{y} y^{\frac{1}{p}} d y<+\infty$ and there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that for any $\forall t \in(0,2)$ the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\phi(t)| \leq C_{1} ; \\
2) \sup _{t>0}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{u(x)}{x^{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} u(x)^{1-p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}<\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then there exists $C>0$ for all $f \in L_{p, u}(0, \infty)$ the following inequality holds

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H_{\phi} f(x)\right|^{p} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{p} u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is also similar to the proof of the corresponding Theorem 1.
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# Weak Solvability of the First Boundary Value Problem for a Class of Parabolic Equations with Discontinuous Coefficients in Paraboloid Type Domains 

N.J. Jafarov


#### Abstract

In the paper, weak solvability of the first boundary value problem is proved for a class of parabolic equations with discontinuous coefficients and given in parabolic type domains in Sobolev's weight spaces. The coefficients of these equation bear discontinuity at the vertex of $P-$ domain. At the vertex $P$ - domain touches the characteristics of the equation.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $E_{n}$ and $R_{n+1}$ be $-n$ - dimensional and $(n+1)$ dimensional Euclidean spaces of the points $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $(x, t)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, t\right)$ respectively. $D$ be a bounded domain $E_{n}$ with a boundary $\partial D, \quad 0 \in D, \quad R_{n+1}^{-}=R_{n+1} \cap\{(x, t): t<0\}$.

The domain $Q \subset R_{n+1}^{-}$is said to be a paraboloid type domain (or $P$-domain) if its cross section with each hyperplane $t=\tau(\tau<0)$ has the form:

$$
\left\{x: \frac{x}{2 \sqrt{-\tau}} \in D\right\} .
$$

The domain $D$ is called a foot of the $P$ - domain $Q$.
Let further $Q_{T}=Q \cap\{(x, t):-T<t<0\}, \quad S_{T}=\partial Q \cap\{(x, t): T<t<0\}$, $D_{T}=Q \cap\{(x, t): t=-T\}, \Gamma\left(Q_{T}\right)$ be a parabolic boundary of the domain $Q_{T}$. Consider in $Q_{T}$ the following operator

$$
L=\Delta+\lambda \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the Laplace operator and the number parameter $\lambda$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{d^{2}}<\lambda<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $d=\sup _{y \epsilon D}|y|$. It is easy to see that subject to condition (1) the operator $L$ uniformly parabolic in the domain $Q_{T}$. By analogy with the elliptic case, we call the operator $L$ the Gilbarg-Serrin parabolic operator.

Let us agree in the following denotation $u_{i}$ and $u_{i j}$ are the derivatives of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$, respectively,

$$
u_{x x}=\left(u_{i j}\right), \quad u_{x}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{2}, \quad u_{x x}^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} u_{i j}^{2} ; \quad i, j=\overline{1, n}
$$

Let the number parameter $\gamma$ satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \epsilon\left(\frac{n^{2}\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{d^{2}}\right)+2 \lambda n}{2}, \infty\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ be a space of infinitely differentiable and finite in $Q_{T}$ functions for which the following integral is finite $\int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma} u^{2} d x d t, \quad L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ be Banach space of measurable functions $u(x, t)$ given on, $Q_{T}$ with finite norm

$$
\|u\|_{L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)}=\left(\int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{2} u^{2} d x d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

$\stackrel{0^{1,0}}{W_{2, \gamma}}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $\stackrel{0}{W}_{2, \gamma}^{1,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ be Banach spaces of measurable functions $u(x, t)$ given on $Q_{T}$ with finite norms

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|u\|_{W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)}=\left(\int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(u^{2}+u_{x}^{2}\right) d x d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\|u\|_{W_{2, \gamma}^{1,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)}=\left(\int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(u^{2}+u_{x}^{2}+u_{t}^{2}\right) d x d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively.
${ }_{W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}}^{W_{2, \gamma}}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and ${ }^{0}{ }_{2, \gamma}^{1,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ be subspaces of $W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $W_{2, \gamma}^{1,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, respectively, in which $A_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ is a dense set.

In the domain $Q_{T}$ consider the first boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
L u=\Delta \mathrm{u}+\lambda \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=f+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f^{k}}{\partial x^{k}}  \tag{3}\\
\left.u\right|_{\Gamma\left(Q_{T}\right)}=0, \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f \in L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right), f^{k} \epsilon L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right) ; \quad k=\overline{1, n}$.
Therewith, it is assumed that with regard to number parameters $\lambda$ and $\gamma$, conditions (1) and (2) are fulfilled. At first give definition of the weak solution of the first boundary value problem (3)-(4).

The function $u(x, t) \epsilon W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ is said to be a weak solution of equation (3) in the domain $Q_{T}$ if for any function $v(x, t) \epsilon \stackrel{0}{W}_{2, \gamma}^{1,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ the following integral identity is fulfilled.

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{Q_{T}}(u, v)=\int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma} u v_{t} d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{i j}+\lambda \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)}\right) v_{i} u_{j} d x d t+ \\
& \quad+\lambda(n+1) \int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{4(-t)} u v_{i} d x d t+\frac{\lambda n(n+1)}{4} \int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma} u v d x d t- \\
& \quad-\gamma \int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma} u v d x d t=\int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma} f v d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k} v_{k} d x d t \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker symbol.
The function $u(x, t) \epsilon W_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ being a weak solution of equation (3) in $Q_{T}$ is called a weak solution of boundary value problem (3)-(5). Now we show the relation between equation (3) and integral identity (5). At first we represent the Hilbarg-Serrin parabolic operator in the form of a divergent operator with unbounded minor coefficients. We have

$$
L u=\Delta u+\lambda \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}\right)_{j}-\lambda(n+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{4(-t)} u_{i}-u_{t}
$$

Consider the domain $Q_{T, \delta}=Q_{T} \backslash \overline{Q_{\delta}}$ multiply the both parts of equation (3) by the function $v(x, t) \epsilon A_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and integrate the obtained equality with respect to $Q_{T, \delta}$. We get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} v \Delta u d x d t+ \\
+\lambda \int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{? ?} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}\right)_{j} v d x d t-\lambda(n+1) \int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{j} v d x d t- \\
-\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} u_{t} v d x d t=\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} f \cdot v d x d t+\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f^{i}}{\partial x_{i}} v d x d t \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Ostrogradskii's formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} v \Delta u d x d t+\lambda \int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}\right)_{j} v d x d t= \\
=-\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{i j}+\lambda \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)}\right) u_{i} v_{j} d x d t \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

In what follows we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\lambda(n+1) \int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{4(-t)} u_{i} v d x d t=\frac{\lambda n(n+1)}{4} \int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma-1} u v d x d t+ \\
+\lambda(n+1) \int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{4(-t)} v_{i} u d x d t \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} f \cdot v d x d t+\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f^{i}}{\partial x_{i}} v d x d t= \\
& =\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} f v d x d t-\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{i} v_{i} d x d t \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Pi_{R}=\left\{x:\left|x_{i}\right|<R, \quad i=\overline{1, n}\right\}, \quad \mathrm{K}_{\delta}=\Pi_{R} \times(-T,-\delta)$. For simplicity we will consider that we can continue the function $u(x, t)$ in $\mathrm{K}_{\delta} \backslash \mathrm{Q}_{T, \delta}$ so that the obtained continuation $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ be the element of the space $W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(K_{\delta}\right)$. We continue the function $v(x, t)$ by a zero to $\mathrm{K}_{\delta} \backslash \mathrm{Q}_{T, \delta}$ and denote the obtained continuation again by $v(x, t)$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{\delta}=-\int_{K_{\delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \tilde{u}_{t} v d x d t=-\delta \int_{\Pi_{R}} \widetilde{? D}(x,-\delta) v(x,-\delta) d x+ \\
+\int_{K_{\delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} v_{t} \tilde{u} d x d t-\gamma \int_{K_{\delta}}(-t)^{\gamma-1} \widetilde{u} v d x d t=-\delta^{\gamma} \int_{K_{\delta}} u(x,-\delta) v(x,-\delta) d x+ \\
+\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} v_{t} u d x d t-\gamma \int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma-1} u v d x d t
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0+} J_{\delta}=\int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma} v_{t} u d x d t-\gamma \int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma-1} u v d x d t \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, taking into account (7)-(10) in (6), and tending $\delta$ to zero we arrive at integral identity (5).

Theorem 1. If with respect to number parameters $\lambda$ and $\gamma$ conditions (1) and (2) are fulfilled, then the first boundary value problem (3)-(4) is uniquely weakly solvable in the $0^{1,0}$
space $\stackrel{0}{W}_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ for any $f(x, t) \in L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $f^{k}(x, t) \in L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right) ; \quad k=\overline{1, n}$.
Proof. At first prove the existence of the solution. To this end we consider the extending sequence of domains $\left\{D_{m}\right\}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots$; approximating from within the domain $D$, i.e. $\overline{D_{m}} \subset D_{m+1}, \overline{D_{m}} \subset D, \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} D_{m}=D$. Therewith we choose $D_{m}$ so that for any natural $m \quad \partial D_{m} \in C^{2}$. Let further $Q^{m}$ be a $P$-domain whose foot is the domain $D_{m}$,

$$
Q_{T}^{m}=Q^{m} \cap\{(x, t): t>-T\}, \quad Q_{T, \delta}^{m}=Q_{T}^{m} \backslash \bar{Q}_{\delta}^{m}, \quad \delta \in(0, T)
$$

Denote by $f^{h}$ and $f^{k, h}$ the Friedrichs averaged functions, respectively, $k=\overline{1, n}$ with a parameter $h>0$. Consider for $h>0$ and natural $m$ the family of the first boundary value problems

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta u^{m, h}+\lambda \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}^{m, h}\right)_{j}-\lambda(n+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{4(-t)} u_{i}^{m, h}-u_{t}^{m, h}= \\
=f^{h}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f^{k, h}}{\partial x_{k}} ; \quad(x, t) \in Q_{T, \delta}^{m}  \tag{11}\\
\left.u^{m, h}\right|_{\Gamma\left(Q_{T, \delta}^{m}\right)}=0 \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

As for any natural $m$ and positive $h$ and $\delta$ the coefficients and the right side of equation (11) are infinitely differentiable in $\bar{Q}_{T, \delta}^{m}$ functions, problem (11)-(12) has a unique classic solution $u^{m, h}(x, t)$. Indeed, $u^{m, h}(x, t)$ depends on $\delta$ as well, but for brevity of notation we write $u^{m, h}(x, t)$ instead of $u_{\delta}^{m, h}(x, t)$. Multiply the both sides of equation (11) by the function $(-t)^{\gamma} u^{m, h}(x, t)$ and integrate the obtained equality with respect to the domain $Q_{T, \delta}^{m}$.

We get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \Delta u^{m, h} \cdot u^{m, h} d x d t+\int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} u^{m, h} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{j}^{m, h}\right) d x d t- \\
-\lambda(n+1) \int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{4(-t)} u_{i}^{m, h} u^{m, h} d x d t-\int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} u_{i}^{m, h} u_{t}^{m, h} d x d t= \\
=\int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} f^{h} \cdot u^{m, h} d x d t+\int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f^{k, h}}{\partial x_{k}} u^{m, h} d x d t . \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Further we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}} & (-t)^{\gamma} \Delta u^{m, h} \cdot u^{m, h} d x d t+\lambda \int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} u^{m, h} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{j}^{m, h}\right) d x d t= \\
& =-\int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2} d x d t-\lambda \int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}^{m, h} u_{j}^{m, h} d x d t . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\begin{align*}
-\lambda(n+1) & \int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{4(-t)} u_{i} u d x d t \tag{15}
\end{align*}=\frac{\lambda(n+1) \cdot n}{2} \int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \frac{u^{2}}{4(-t)} d x d t,
$$

Finally, by means of arguments similar to ones that were used by deriving integral identity (5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} u u_{t} d x d t=-\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} u^{2} d x d t+i_{1}(\delta) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow \infty} i_{1}(\delta)=0$.
Taking into account (13)-(16) in (12) and tending $\delta$ to zero, we conclude

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{T, \delta}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2}+\lambda \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)^{m}} u_{i}^{m, h} \cdot u_{j}^{m, h}\right) d x d t- \\
-\frac{\lambda(n+1)-4 \gamma}{2} \cdot \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \frac{\gamma\left(u^{m, h}\right)^{2}}{4(-t)} d x d t= \\
=\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k} u_{k}^{m, h} d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} f u^{m, h} d x d t . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $u^{m, h}(x, t)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0+} u_{\delta}^{m, h}(x, t)$.
The existence of the pointwise limit is proved in the same as in [1].
If now $\frac{\lambda n(n+1)-4 \gamma}{2} \leq 0$, i.e. $\gamma \geq \frac{\lambda n(n+1)}{2}$, then from (17) it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2}+\lambda \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}^{m, h} \cdot u_{j}^{m, h}\right) d x d t \leq \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k, h} u_{k}^{m, h} d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} f^{h} u^{m, h} d x d t . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that for $\geq 0, \lambda \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}^{m, h} \cdot u_{j}^{m, h} \geq 0$. But if $-\frac{1}{d^{2}}<\lambda<0$, then

$$
\lambda \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}^{m, h} \cdot u_{j}^{m, h} \geq \lambda d^{2}\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2}
$$

Thus, if $\gamma \geq \frac{\lambda n(n+1)}{2}$, then from (18) we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2} d x d t \leq C_{1}(\lambda, n, d, \gamma) \\
\left(\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k, h} u_{k}^{m, h} d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} f^{h} u^{m, h} d x d t\right) \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now consider the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \in\left(\frac{n^{2}\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{d^{2}}\right)+2 \lambda n}{8}, \frac{\lambda n(n+1)}{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to inequality (17)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\lambda n(n+1)-4 \gamma}{2} \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \frac{\left(u^{m, h}\right)^{2}}{4(-t)} d x d t \leq \\
\leq \frac{2 \lambda n(n+1)-8 \gamma}{n^{2}} \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}^{m, h} \cdot u_{j}^{m, h} d x d t . \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

But on the other hand, from (20) it follows that there exists $\mu \in(0,1)$ for which

$$
\frac{2 \lambda n(n+1)-8 \gamma}{n^{2}}<\frac{1}{d^{2}}+\lambda-\frac{\mu}{d^{2}}
$$

So, from (18) and (21) we conclude

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2}+\frac{\mu-1}{d^{2}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}^{m, h} \cdot u_{j}^{m, h} d x d t\right) \leq \\
\quad \leq \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{i, h} u_{i}^{m, h}-f^{h} u^{m, h}\right) d x d t \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

As $\mu<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu-1}{d^{2}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}^{m, h} \cdot u_{j}^{m, h} \geq(\mu-1)\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (22)-(23) it follows that

$$
\mu \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2} d x d t \leq \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k, h} u_{k}^{m, h}-f^{h} u^{m, h}\right) d x d t
$$

The last inequality and estimation (19) allows to conclude that for $\gamma \in\left(\frac{n^{2}\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{d^{2}}\right)+2 \lambda n}{8}, \infty\right)$ the following inequality is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2} d x d t \leq C_{2}(\lambda, n, d, \gamma) \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k, h} u_{k}^{m, h}-f^{h} u^{m, h}\right) d x d t \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Friedrich's inequality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(u^{m, h}\right)^{2} d x d t \leq C_{3}(\lambda, n, d, \gamma) \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k, h} u_{k}^{m, h}-f^{h} u^{m, h}\right) d x d t \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (24)-(25) we conclude

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\left(u^{m, h}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2}\right) d x d t \leq \\
l e C_{4}(\lambda, n, d, \gamma) \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k, h} u_{k}^{m, h}-f^{h} u^{m, h}\right) d x d t . \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Further, for any $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k, h} u_{k}^{m, h}-f^{h} u^{m, h}\right) d x d t \leq \\
\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(u_{k}^{m, h}\right)^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(f^{k, h}\right)^{2} d x d t+ \\
+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(u^{m, h}\right)^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(u^{m, h}\right)^{2} d x d t . \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now choosing $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{C_{4}}$ from (26)-(27) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(\left(u^{m, h}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{x}^{m, h}\right)^{2}\right) d x d t \leq C_{5}(\lambda, n, d, \gamma) \times \\
& \times\left(\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(f^{k, h}\right)^{2} d x d t+\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma}\left(f^{h}\right)^{2} d x d t\right) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we can consider that for $f \neq 0 ; f^{k} \neq 0 ; k=\overline{1, n}$. Therefore from (28) it follows that for rather small $h>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{m, k}\right\|_{W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}^{m}\right)} \leq C_{6}(\lambda, n, d, \gamma)\left(\|f\|_{L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|f^{k}\right\|_{L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix an arbitrary natural $m$. From inequality (29) it follows that the family of functions $\left\{u^{m, h}(x, t)\right\}$ is weakly compact (with respect to $h$ ) in the space $W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}^{m}\right)$. Thus, there exists such a sequence $h_{l} \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ and the function $u^{m}(x, t) \in \stackrel{0}{W}_{0_{2, \gamma}, 0}^{\left(Q_{T}^{m}\right)}$ that the functional sequence $\left\{u^{m, h_{l}}(x, t)\right\}$ weakly converges to the function $u^{m}(x, t)$ in $W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}^{m}\right)$
as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This means that for any function $u^{m}(x, t) \in \stackrel{0}{W}_{W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}}\left(Q_{T}^{m}\right)$ it holds the limit equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} B_{Q_{T}^{m}}\left(u^{m, h_{l}}, v\right)=B_{Q_{T}^{m}}\left(u^{m}, v\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

But on the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{Q_{T}^{m}}\left(u^{m, h_{l}}, v\right)=\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} f^{h_{l}} v d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k, h_{l}} v_{k} d x d t \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} f^{h_{l}} v d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k, h_{l}} v_{k} d x d t\right)= \\
\quad=\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} f v d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k} v_{k} d x d t \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (30)-(32) we conclude that

$$
B_{Q_{T}^{m}}\left(u^{m}, v\right)=\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} f v d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k} v_{k} d x d t
$$

The last equality means that the function $u^{m}(x, t)$ is a weak solution of equation (3) in the domain $Q_{T}^{m}$. Furthermore, for the function $u^{m}(x, t)$ the following estimation is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}^{m}\right)} \leq C_{7}(\lambda, n, d, \gamma)\left(\|f\|_{L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|f^{k}\right\|_{L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any natural $m$ we continue the function $u^{m}(x, t)$ by a zero in $Q_{T} \backslash Q_{T}^{m}$ and denote the obtained continuation again by $u^{m}(x, t)$. It is easy to see that $u^{m}(x, t) \in \stackrel{0}{W}_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. Therewith, according to (33) the following estimation is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C_{8}\left(\|f\|_{L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|f^{k}\right\|_{L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (34) it follows that the family of functions $\left\{u^{m}(x, t)\right\}, \ldots . m=1,2, \ldots$ is weakly compact in the space $\stackrel{0}{W}_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. Thus, there exists such a function $u(x, t) \in \stackrel{0}{W}_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}^{m}\right)$ and sequence $m_{r} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ that $u(x, t)$ is a weak limit of $u^{m_{r}}(x, t)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ in $\stackrel{0}{1,0}_{W_{2, \gamma}}^{1,}\left(Q_{T}^{m}\right)$. This means that for any function $v(x, t) \in 0_{W_{2, \gamma}}^{1,0}$ the following limit equality is valid:

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} B_{Q_{T}}\left(u^{m_{r}}, v\right)=B_{Q_{T}}(u, v)
$$

Moreover, using the above arguments, we can show that

$$
B_{Q_{T}}(u, v)=\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} f v d x d t-\int_{Q_{T}^{m}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{k} v d x d t .
$$

From the last equality it follows that the function $u(x, t)$ is a weak solution of the first boundary value problem (3)-(4). Furthermore, for the functions $u(x, t)$ the following estimation is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{0_{W_{2, \gamma}^{1,0}}\left(Q_{T}^{m}\right)} \leq C_{9}\left(\|f\|_{L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|f^{k}\right\|_{L_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thereby the existence of the weak solution of the first boundary value problem (3)-(4) is proved. Now prove its uniqueness. It suffices to show that a homogeneous problem has only a trivial solution. Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of homogeneous problem (3)-(4), i.e. for $f \equiv 0 ; \quad f^{k} \equiv 0 ; \quad k=\overline{1, n}$. Fix an arbitrary $\delta \in(0, T)$ and consider the function $v(x, t) \in W_{2, \gamma}\left(Q_{T+\delta}\right)$ vanishing for $t \leq T$ and $t \geq-\delta$. Let further $K=$ $\Pi_{R} \times(-T-\delta, 0), \quad \Pi_{R}=\left\{x: \quad\left|x_{i}\right|<R, \quad i=\overline{1, n}\right\}$. Continue the function $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ by zero to $K \backslash Q_{T}$ and denote the obtained continuations again by $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$, respectively. It is easy to see that $u(x, t) \in 0_{W_{2, \gamma}^{1,1}}^{(K)}$, while $v(x, t) \in{ }_{W_{2, \gamma}^{1,1}}^{W_{2, \gamma}}(K)$, Denote for

$$
h \in(0, \delta] \frac{1}{h} \int_{t-h}^{t} v(x, \tau) d \tau \quad \text { by } \quad v_{\bar{h}}(x, \tau)
$$

and put into integral identity (5) instead of the function $u(x, t)$ the function $v_{\bar{h}}(x, \tau)$ and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{K}\left(u, v_{\bar{h}}\right)=0 . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the equalities $\left(v_{\bar{h}}\right)_{t}=\left(v_{t}\right)_{\bar{h}},\left(v_{\bar{h}}\right)_{i}=\left(v_{i}\right)_{\bar{h}} \quad i=\overline{1, n}$ and also

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\int_{K}(-t)^{\gamma} u\left(v_{t}\right)_{\bar{h}} d x d t=-\int_{K}\left((-t)^{\gamma} u\right)_{h} v_{t} d x d t=\int_{K}\left[\left((-t)^{\gamma} u\right)_{h}\right]_{t} v d x d t, \\
\int_{K}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{i j}+\lambda \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)}\right) u_{i} u_{i}\left(v_{j}\right)_{\bar{h}} d x d t=\int_{K}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{i j}+\lambda \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)} u_{i}\right) v_{j} d x d t,
\end{gathered}
$$ where $u_{h}(x, t)=\frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} u(x, \tau) d \tau$, assuming $v(x, t)=u_{h}(x, t)$ tending $h$ to zero, from (36) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda n(n+1)-4 \gamma}{8} \int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma-1} u^{2} d x d t-\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{i j}+\lambda \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{4(-t)}\right) u_{i} u_{j} d x d t=0 . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, behaving as in deriving estimation (24), we get that if with respect to number parameters $\lambda$ and $\gamma$ conditions (1) and (2) are fulfilled, then $\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma} u_{x}^{2} d x d t=0$. The last equality yields

$$
\int_{Q_{T, \delta}}(-t)^{\gamma-1} u^{2} d x d t=0 .
$$

With regard to arbitrariness of $\delta$ we conclude

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}(-t)^{\gamma-1} u^{2} d x d t=0
$$

Hence it follows that $u(x, t)=0$ almost everywhere in $Q_{T}$.
In fact in the course of proof we established the estimation of the weak solution of the first boundary value problem (3)-(4). We formulate this statement in the form of a separate theorem.
Theorem 2. If the conditions of the previous theorem are fulfilled then for the weak solution of the first boundary value problem (3)-(4), estimation (35) is valid.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we characterize $B M O$ space in terms of the boundedness of commutators of parabolic maximal operator in Orlicz spaces. As an application of this boundedness, we give necessary and sufficient condition for the boundedness of parabolic fractional integral and its commutators in Orlicz spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

The theory of boundedness of classical operators of the real analysis, such as the maximal operator, fractional maximal operator, Riesz potential and the singular integral operators etc, from one Lebesgue space to another one is well studied by now. These results have good applications in the theory of partial differential equations. However, in the theory of partial differential equations, along with Lebesgue spaces, Orlicz spaces also play an important role.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $r>0$, we denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball centered at $x$ of radius $r$, and by ${ }^{\text {c }} B(x, r)$ denote its complement. Let $|B(x, r)|$ be the Lebesgue measure of the ball $B(x, r)$.

Let $P$ be a real $n \times n$ matrix, all of whose eigenvalues have positive real part. Let $A_{t}=t^{P} \quad(t>0)$, and set $\gamma=\operatorname{tr} P$. Then, there exists a quasi-distance $\rho$ associated with $P$ such that
(a) $\rho\left(A_{t} x\right)=t \rho(x), \quad t>0$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$;
(b) $\rho(0)=0, \quad \rho(x-y)=\rho(y-x) \geq 0$
and $\quad \rho(x-y) \leq k(\rho(x-z)+\rho(y-z))$;
(c) $d x=\rho^{\gamma-1} d \sigma(w) d \rho$, where $\rho=\rho(x), w=A_{\rho^{-1}} x$
and $d \sigma(w)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ measure on the ellipsoid $\{w: \rho(w)=1\}$.

[^2]Then, $\left\{\mathbb{R}^{n}, \rho, d x\right\}$ becomes a space of homogeneous type in the sense of CoifmanWeiss. Thus $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, endowed with the metric $\rho$, defines a homogeneous metric space $([2,3])$. The balls with respect to $\rho$, centered at $x$ of radius $r$, are just the ellipsoids $\mathcal{E}(x, r)=$ $\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \rho(x-y)<r\right\}$, with the Lebesgue measure $|\mathcal{E}(x, r)|=v_{\rho} r^{\gamma}$, where $v_{\rho}$ is the volume of the unit ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let also ${ }^{\complement} \mathcal{E}(x, r)=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \mathcal{E}(x, r)$ be the complement of $\mathcal{E}(x, r)$. If $P=I$, then clearly $\rho(x)=|x|$ and $\mathcal{E}_{I}(x, r)=B(x, r)$. Note that in the standard parabolic case $P=(1, \ldots, 1,2)$ we have

$$
\rho(x)=\sqrt{\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\sqrt{\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{4}+x_{n}^{2}}}{2}}, \quad x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) .
$$

Let $S_{\rho}=\left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \rho(w)=1\right\}$ be the unit $\rho$-sphere (ellipsoid) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 2)$ equipped with the normalized Lebesgue surface measure $d \sigma$. The parabolic maximal function $M^{P} f$ and the parabolic fractional integral $I_{\alpha}^{P} f, 0<\alpha<\gamma$, of a function $f \in L_{1}^{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{P} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}|\mathcal{E}(x, t)|^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x, t)}|f(y)| d y, \\
I_{\alpha}^{P} f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f(y)}{\rho(x-y)^{\gamma-\alpha}} d y .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $P=I$, then $M \equiv M_{0}^{I}$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. It is well known that, the parabolic maximal function and the parabolic fractional integral operators play an important role in harmonic analysis (see $[4,15]$ ).

In this work we present the characterization for parabolic fractional integral operator $I_{\alpha}^{P}$ (Theorem 6) and its commutators $\left[b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right]$ (Theorem 7) in Orlicz spaces.

By $A \lesssim B$ we mean that $A \leq C B$ with some positive constant $C$ independent of appropriate quantities. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we write $A \approx B$ and say that $A$ and $B$ are equivalent.

## 2. On Young Functions and Orlicz Spaces

Orlicz space was first introduced by Orlicz in $[12,13]$ as a generalizations of Lebesgue spaces $L^{p}$. Since then this space has been one of important functional frames in the mathematical analysis, and especially in real and harmonic analysis. Orlicz space is also an appropriate substitute for $L^{1}$ space when $L^{1}$ space does not work.

First, we recall the definition of Young functions.
Definition 1. A function $\Phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is called a Young function if $\Phi$ is convex, left-continuous, $\lim _{r \rightarrow+0} \Phi(r)=\Phi(0)=0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(r)=\infty$.

From the convexity and $\Phi(0)=0$ it follows that any Young function is increasing. If there exists $s \in(0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(s)=\infty$, then $\Phi(r)=\infty$ for $r \geq s$. The set of Young functions such that

$$
0<\Phi(r)<\infty \quad \text { for } \quad 0<r<\infty
$$

will be denoted by $\mathcal{Y}$. If $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, then $\Phi$ is absolutely continuous on every closed interval in $[0, \infty)$ and bijective from $[0, \infty)$ to itself.

For a Young function $\Phi$ and $0 \leq s \leq \infty$, let

$$
\Phi^{-1}(s)=\inf \{r \geq 0: \Phi(r)>s\} .
$$

If $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, then $\Phi^{-1}$ is the usual inverse function of $\Phi$. It is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leq \Phi^{-1}(r) \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(r) \leq 2 r \quad \text { for } r \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\Phi}(r)$ is defined by

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\sup \{r s-\Phi(s): s \in[0, \infty)\} & , \quad r \in[0, \infty) \\
\infty & , \quad r=\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

A Young function $\Phi$ is said to satisfy the $\Delta_{2}$-condition, denoted also as $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$, if

$$
\Phi(2 r) \leq C \Phi(r), \quad r>0
$$

for some $C>1$. If $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$, then $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$. A Young function $\Phi$ is said to satisfy the $\nabla_{2}$-condition, denoted also by $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}$, if

$$
\Phi(r) \leq \frac{1}{2 C} \Phi(C r), \quad r \geq 0
$$

for some $C>1$.
Definition 2. (Orlicz Space). For a Young function $\Phi$, the set

$$
L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(k|f(x)|) d x<\infty \text { for some } k>0\right\}
$$

is called Orlicz space. If $\Phi(r)=r^{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$, then $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. If $\Phi(r)=0,(0 \leq$ $r \leq 1)$ and $\Phi(r)=\infty,(r>1)$, then $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The space $L_{\text {loc }}^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is defined as the set of all functions $f$ such that $f \chi_{\mathcal{E}} \in L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all parabolic balls $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
$L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) d x \leq 1\right\} .
$$

For a measurable set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, a measurable function $f$ and $t>0$, let $m(\Omega, f, t)=$ $|\{x \in \Omega:|f(x)|>t\}|$. In the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we shortly denote it by $m(f, t)$.
Definition 3. The weak Orlicz space

$$
W L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):\|f\|_{W L^{\Phi}}<\infty\right\}
$$

is defined by the norm

$$
\|f\|_{W L^{\Phi}}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \sup _{t>0} \Phi(t) m\left(\frac{f}{\lambda}, t\right) \leq 1\right\} .
$$

We note that $\|f\|_{W L^{\Phi}} \leq\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}$,

$$
\sup _{t>0} \Phi(t) m(\Omega, f, t)=\sup _{t>0} t m\left(\Omega, f, \Phi^{-1}(t)\right)=\sup _{t>0} t m(\Omega, \Phi(|f|), t)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(\Omega)}}\right) d x \leq 1, \quad \sup _{t>0} \Phi(t) m\left(\Omega, \frac{f}{\|f\|_{W L^{\Phi}(\Omega)}}, t\right) \leq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(\Omega)}=\left\|f \chi_{\Omega}\right\|_{L^{\Phi}}$ and $\|f\|_{W L^{\Phi}(\Omega)}=\left\|f \chi_{\Omega}\right\|_{W L^{\Phi}}$.
The following analogue of the Hölder's inequality is well known (see, for example, [14]).
Theorem 1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a measurable set and functions $f$ and $g$ measurable on $\Omega$. For a Young function $\Phi$ and its complementary function $\widetilde{\Phi}$, the following inequality is valid

$$
\int_{\Omega}|f(x) g(x)| d x \leq 2\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(\Omega)}\|g\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)} .
$$

By elementary calculations we have the following property.
Lemma 1. Let $\Phi$ be a Young function and $\mathcal{E}$ be a parabolic balls in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then

$$
\left\|\chi_{\mathcal{E}}\right\|_{L^{\Phi}}=\left\|\chi_{\mathcal{E}}\right\|_{W L^{\Phi}}=\frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(|\mathcal{E}|^{-1}\right)} .
$$

By Theorem 1, Lemma 1 and (1) we get the following estimate.
Lemma 2. For a Young function $\Phi$ and for the parabolic balls $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}(x, r)$ the following inequality is valid:

$$
\int_{\mathcal{E}}|f(y)| d y \leq 2|\mathcal{E}| \Phi^{-1}\left(|\mathcal{E}|^{-1}\right)\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(\mathcal{E})} .
$$

In [1] the boundedness of the parabolic maximal operator $M^{P}$ in $\operatorname{Orlicz}$ spaces $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ was obtained.

Theorem 2. [1] Let $\Phi$ any Young function. Then the parabolic maximal operator $M^{P}$ is bounded from $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $W L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and for $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}$ bounded in $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

We recall that the space $B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{b \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):\|b\|_{*}<\infty\right\}$ is defined by the seminorm

$$
\|b\|_{*}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r>0} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(x, r)|} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)}\left|b(y)-b_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)}\right| d y<\infty,
$$

where $b_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)}=\frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(x, r)|} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)} b(y) d y$. We will need the following properties of BMO-functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|b\|_{*} \approx \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r>0}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}(x, r)|} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)}\left|b(y)-b_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)}\right|^{p} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq p<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)}-b_{\mathcal{E}(x, t)}\right| \leq C\|b\|_{*} \ln \frac{t}{r} \quad \text { for } \quad 0<2 r<t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ does not depend on $b, x, r$ and $t$. We refer for instance to [9] and [10] for details on this space and properties.

The commutators generated by $b \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and the parabolic maximal operator $M^{P}$ is defined by

$$
M_{b}^{P}(f)(x)=\sup _{t>0}|\mathcal{E}(x, t)|^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{E}(x, t)}|b(x)-b(y)||f(y)| d y
$$

Next, we recall the notion of weights. Let $w$ be a locally integrable and positive function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The function $w$ is said to be a Muckenhoupt $A_{1}$ weight if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for any ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}$

$$
\frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}} w(x) d x \leq C \operatorname{ess} \inf _{x \in \mathcal{E}} w(x)
$$

Lemma 3. [6, Chapter 1] Let $\omega \in A_{1}$, then the reverse Hölder inequality holds, that is, there exist $q>1$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}} w(x)^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}} w(x) d x
$$

for all ellipsoids $\mathcal{E}$.
Lemma 4. Let $\Phi$ be a Young function with $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$. Then we have

$$
\frac{1}{2|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}}|f(x)| d x \leq \Phi^{-1}\left(|\mathcal{E}|^{-1}\right)\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(\mathcal{E})} \lesssim\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for some $1<p<\infty$.
Proof. The left-hand side inequality is just Lemma 2.
Next we prove the right-hand side inequality. Our idea is based on [8]. Take $g \in L_{\widetilde{\Phi}}$ with $\|g\|_{L_{\tilde{\Phi}}} \leq 1$. Note that $\widetilde{\Phi} \in \nabla_{2}$ since $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$, therefore $M$ is bounded on $L_{\widetilde{\Phi}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ from Theorem 2. Let $Q:=\|M\|_{L_{\tilde{\Phi}} \rightarrow L_{\tilde{\Phi}}}$ and define a function

$$
R g(x):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^{k} g(x)}{(2 Q)^{k}}
$$

where

$$
M^{k} g:= \begin{cases}|g| & k=0 \\ M g & k=1 \\ M\left(M^{k-1} g\right) & k \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

For every $g \in L_{\widetilde{\Phi}}$ with $\|g\|_{L_{\widetilde{\Phi}}} \leq 1$, the function $R g$ satisfies the following properties:

- $|g(x)| \leq R g(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$;
- $\|R g\|_{L_{\tilde{\Phi}}} \leq 2\|g\|_{L_{\tilde{\Phi}}}$
- $M(R g)(x) \leq 2 Q R g(x)$, that is, $R g$ is a Muckenhoupt $A_{1}$ weight with the $A_{1}$ constant less than or equal to $2 Q$.
By Lemma 3, there exist positive constants $q>1$ and $C$ independent of $g$ such that for all ellipsoids $\mathcal{E}$,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}} R g(x)^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{C}{|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}} R g(x) d \mu(x) .
$$

By Lemmas 2 and 3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|R g\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{E})} & =|\mathcal{E}|^{1 / q}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}} R g(x)^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim|\mathcal{E}|^{1 / q} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}} R g(x) d x \\
& \lesssim|\mathcal{E}|^{-1 / q^{\prime}} \frac{\|R g\|_{L_{\tilde{\Phi}}}}{\Phi^{-1}\left(|\mathcal{E}|^{-1}\right)} \lesssim \frac{|\mathcal{E}|^{-1 / q^{\prime}}}{\Phi^{-1}\left(|\mathcal{E}|^{-1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{E}}|f(x) g(x)| d x & \leq \int_{\mathcal{E}}|f(x)| R g(x) d x \leq\|f\|_{L_{q^{\prime}}(\mathcal{E})}\|R g\|_{L_{q}(\mathcal{E})} \\
& \lesssim\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}}|f(x)|^{q^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}} \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(|\mathcal{E}|^{-1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the Luxemburg-Nakano norm is equivalent to the Orlicz norm (see, for example [14, p. 61]) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(\mathcal{E})} & \leq \sup \left\{\left|\int_{\mathcal{E}} f(x) g(x) d x\right|: g \in L_{\tilde{\Phi}}, \quad\|g\|_{L_{\tilde{\Phi}}} \leq 1\right\} \\
& \lesssim\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \int_{\mathcal{E}}|f(x)|^{q^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}} \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(|\mathcal{E}|^{-1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the right-hand side inequality follows with $p=q^{\prime}$.
We have the following result from (3) and Lemma 4.
Lemma 5. Let $b \in B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\Phi$ be a Young function with $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$. Then

$$
\|b\|_{*} \approx \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{r}, r>0} \Phi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)\left\|b(\cdot)-b_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)}\right\|_{L^{\Phi}(\mathcal{E}(x, r))} .
$$

The known boundedness statements for the commutator operator $M_{b}^{P}$ on Orlicz spaces run as follows, see [5, Corollary 2.3].
Theorem 3. Let $\Phi$ be a Young function with $\Phi \in \Delta_{2} \cap \nabla_{2}$ and $b \in B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then $M_{b}^{P}$ is bounded on $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{b}^{P} f\right\|_{L^{\Phi}} \leq C_{0}\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with constant $C_{0}$ independent of $f$.

## 3. Parabolic fractional integral and its commutators in Orlicz spaces

For proving our main results, we need the following estimate.
Lemma 6. If $\mathcal{E}_{0}:=\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$, then for every $x \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$

$$
c_{0} r_{0}^{\alpha}<I_{\alpha}^{P} \chi \mathcal{E}_{0}(x),
$$

where $c_{0}=(2 k)^{\alpha-\gamma}|\mathcal{E}(0,1)|$.
Proof. If $x, y \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$, then $\rho(x-y) \leq k\left(\rho\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)\right)<2 k r_{0}$. Since $0<\alpha<\gamma$, we get $\left(2 k r_{0}\right)^{\alpha-\gamma}<\rho(x-y)^{\alpha-\gamma}$. Therefore

$$
I_{\alpha}^{P} \chi \mathcal{E}_{0}(x)=\int_{\mathcal{E}_{0}} \rho(x-y)^{\alpha-\gamma} d y>\left(2 k r_{0}\right)^{\alpha-\gamma}\left|\mathcal{E}_{0}\right|=c_{0} r_{0}^{\alpha} .
$$

The known boundedness statement for $I_{\alpha}^{P}$ in Orlicz spaces on spaces of homogeneous type runs as follows.

Theorem 4. [11] Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{Y}$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} \Phi^{-1}\left(t^{-\gamma}\right) d t \lesssim r^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right) \quad \text { for } 0<r<\infty,  \tag{6}\\
r^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right) \lesssim \Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right) \quad \text { for } 0<r<\infty . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $I_{\alpha}^{P}$ is bounded from $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $W L^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Moreover, if $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}$, then $I_{\alpha}^{P}$ is bounded from $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Theorem 5. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $I_{\alpha}^{P}$ is bounded from $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $W L^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ then condition (7) holds.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}_{0}=\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ and $x \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$. By Lemmas 6 and 1 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0}^{\alpha} & \lesssim \Psi^{-1}\left(r_{0}^{-\gamma}\right)\left\|I_{\alpha}^{P} \chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}}\right\|_{W L^{\Psi}\left(\mathcal{E}_{0}\right)} \lesssim \Psi^{-1}\left(r_{0}^{-\gamma}\right)\left\|I_{\alpha}^{P} \chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}}\right\|_{W L^{\Psi}} \\
& \lesssim \Psi^{-1}\left(r_{0}^{-\gamma}\right)\left\|\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}}\right\|_{L^{\Phi}} \lesssim \frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(r_{0}^{-\gamma}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(r_{0}^{-\gamma}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this is true for every $r_{0}>0$, we are done.
Combining Theorems 4 and 5 we have the following result.
Theorem 6. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{Y}$. If (6) holds, then the condition (7) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of $I_{\alpha}^{P}$ from $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $W L^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Moreover, if $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}$, the condition $(7)$ is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of $I_{\alpha}^{P}$ from $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Remark 1. Note that Theorem 6 in the isotropic case $P=I$ were proved in [ 7$]$.

The commutators $\left[b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right],\left|b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right|$ generated by $b \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and the operator $I_{\alpha}^{P}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right] f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{b(x)-b(y)}{\rho(x-y)^{\gamma-\alpha}} f(y) d y} \\
\left|b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right| f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|b(x)-b(y)|}{\rho(x-y)^{\gamma-\alpha}} f(y) d y, \quad 0<\alpha<\gamma,
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively.
The following lemma is the analogue of the Hedberg's trick for $\left[b, I_{\alpha}\right]$.
Lemma 7. If $0<\alpha<\gamma$ and $f, b \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $r>0$ we get

$$
\left|b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right|\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)}|f|\right)(x) \lesssim r^{\alpha} M_{b}^{P} f(x) .
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right|\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)}|f|\right)(x)=\int_{\mathcal{E}(x, r)} \frac{|f(y)|}{\rho(x-y)^{\gamma-\alpha}}|b(x)-b(y)| d y \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{E}\left(x, 2^{-j} r\right) \backslash \mathcal{E}\left(x, 2^{-j-1} r\right)} \frac{|f(y)|}{\rho(x-y)^{\gamma-\alpha}}|b(x)-b(y)| d y \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{-j} r\right)^{\alpha}\left(2^{-j} r\right)^{-\gamma} \int_{\mathcal{E}\left(x, 2^{-j} r\right)}|f(y)||b(x)-b(y)| d y \lesssim r^{\alpha} M_{b}^{P} f(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 8. If $b \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{0}:=\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$, then

$$
r_{0}^{\alpha}\left|b(x)-b_{\mathcal{E}_{0}}\right| \leq C\left|b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right| \chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}}(x)
$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$.
Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.
Theorem 7. Let $0<\alpha<\gamma, b \in B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{Y}$.

1. If $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}$ and $\Psi \in \Delta_{2}$, then the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)+\int_{r}^{\infty}\left(1+\ln \frac{t}{r}\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(t^{-\gamma}\right) t^{\alpha-1} d t \leq C \Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r>0$, where $C>0$ does not depend on $r$, is sufficient for the boundedness of $\left[b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right]$ from $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
2. If $\Psi \in \Delta_{2}$, then the condition (7) is necessary for the boundedness of $\left|b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right|$ from $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
3. Let $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}$ and $\Psi \in \Delta_{2}$. If the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty}\left(1+\ln \frac{t}{r}\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(t^{-\gamma}\right) t^{\alpha-1} d t \leq C r^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r>0$, where $C>0$ does not depend on $r$, then the condition (7) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of $\left|b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right|$ from $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. (1) For arbitrary $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, set $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ for the ball centered at $x_{0}$ and of radius $r$. Write $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ with $f_{1}=f \chi_{2 k \mathcal{E}}$ and $f_{2}=f \chi_{\mathrm{c}_{(2 k \mathcal{E}}}$, where $k$ is the constant from the triangle inequality.

For $x \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left[b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right] f_{2}(x)\right| & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|b(y)-b(x)|}{\rho(x-y)^{\gamma-\alpha}}\left|f_{2}(y)\right| d y \approx \int_{\left.\mathrm{c}_{(2 k \mathcal{E}}\right)} \frac{|b(y)-b(x)|}{\rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{\gamma-\alpha}}|f(y)| d y \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathrm{c}_{(2 k \mathcal{E})}} \frac{\left|b(y)-b_{\mathcal{E}}\right|}{\rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{\gamma-\alpha}}|f(y)| d y+\int_{\mathrm{c}_{(2 k \mathcal{E}}} \frac{\left|b(x)-b_{\mathcal{E}}\right|}{\rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{\gamma-\alpha}}|f(y)| d y \\
& =J_{1}+J_{2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $x \in \mathcal{E}$ and $y \in{ }^{\mathrm{C}}(2 k \mathcal{E})$ implies

$$
\frac{1}{2 k} \rho\left(y-x_{0}\right) \leq \rho(x-y) \leq\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right) \rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)
$$

Let us estimate $J_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1} & =\int_{{ }_{( }(2 k \mathcal{E})} \\
& \approx \frac{\left|b(y)-b_{\mathcal{E}}\right|}{\rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{\gamma-\alpha}}|f(y)| d y \approx \int_{\mathrm{C}_{(2 k \mathcal{E}}}\left|b(y)-b_{\mathcal{E}}\right||f(y)| \int_{\rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}} d y \\
& \approx \int_{2 k r}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \backslash(2 k \mathcal{E})}\left|b(y)-b_{\mathcal{E}}\right||f(y)| d y \frac{d t}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}} \\
& \lesssim \int_{2 k r}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)}\left|b(y)-b_{\mathcal{E}}\right||f(y)| d y \frac{d t}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, by (1), (4), (5) and Lemma 2 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1} \lesssim & \int_{2 r}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)}\left|b(y)-b_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)}\right||f(y)| d y \frac{d t}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}} \\
& +\int_{2 r}^{\infty}\left|b_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}-b_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \mid} \int_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)}\right| f(y) \left\lvert\, d y \frac{d t}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}}\right. \\
\lesssim & \int_{2 r}^{\infty}\left\|b(\cdot)-b_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)}\right\|_{L_{\tilde{\Phi}}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)}\|f\|_{L_{\Phi}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)} \frac{d t}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}} \\
& +\int_{2 r}^{\infty}\left|b_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}-b_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)}\right|\|f\|_{L_{\Phi}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)} \Phi^{-1}\left(\left|\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right|^{-1}\right) \frac{d t}{t^{1-\alpha}} \\
\lesssim & \|b\|_{*} \int_{2 r}^{\infty}\left(1+\ln \frac{t}{r}\right)\|f\|_{L_{\Phi}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)} \Phi^{-1}\left(\left|\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right|^{-1}\right) \frac{d t}{t^{1-\alpha}} \\
\lesssim & \|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}} \int_{2 r}^{\infty}\left(1+\ln \frac{t}{r}\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(t^{-\gamma}\right) t^{\alpha-1} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

A geometric observation shows $2 k \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}(x, \delta)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{E}$, where $\delta=(2 k+1) k r$. Using Lemma 7, we get

$$
J_{0}(x):=\left|\left[b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right] f_{1}(x)\right| \lesssim \int_{2 k \mathcal{E}} \frac{|b(y)-b(x)|}{\rho(x-y)^{\gamma-\alpha}}|f(y)| d y
$$

$$
\lesssim \int_{\mathcal{E}(x, \delta)} \frac{|b(y)-b(x)|}{\rho(x-y)^{\gamma-\alpha}}|f(y)| d y \lesssim r^{\alpha} M_{b}^{P} f(x)
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
J_{0}(x)+J_{1} \lesssim\|b\|_{*} r^{\alpha} M_{b}^{P} f(x)+\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}} \int_{2 r}^{\infty}\left(1+\ln \frac{t}{r}\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(t^{-\gamma}\right) t^{\alpha-1} d t
$$

Thus, by (8) we obtain

$$
J_{0}(x)+J_{1} \lesssim\|b\|_{*}\left(M_{b}^{P} f(x) \frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)}+\Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}\right)
$$

Choose $r>0$ so that $\Phi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)=\frac{M_{b}^{P} f(x)}{C_{0}\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}}$. Then

$$
\frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)}=\frac{\left(\Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi\right)\left(\frac{M_{b}^{P} f(x)}{C_{0}\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}}\right)}{\frac{M_{b} f(x)}{C_{0}\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}}}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
J_{0}(x)+J_{1} \leq C_{1}\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}\left(\Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi\right)\left(\frac{M_{b}^{P} f(x)}{C_{0}\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}}\right)
$$

Let $C_{0}$ be as in (5). Consequently by Theorem 3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{E}} \Psi\left(\frac{J_{0}(x)+J_{1}}{C_{1}\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}}\right) d x & \leq \int_{\mathcal{E}} \Phi\left(\frac{M_{b}^{P} f(x)}{C_{0}\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}}\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi\left(\frac{M_{b}^{P} f(x)}{\left\|M_{b}^{P} f\right\|_{L^{\Phi}}}\right) d x \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J_{0}(\cdot)+J_{1}\right\|_{L^{\Psi}(\mathcal{E})} \lesssim\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $J_{2}$, by (5), Lemma 2 and condition (8), we also get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|J_{2}\right\|_{L^{\Psi}(\mathcal{E})} & =\left\|\int_{\mathrm{c}_{(2 k \mathcal{E}}} \frac{\left|b(\cdot)-b_{\mathcal{E}}\right|}{\rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{\gamma-\alpha}}|f(y)| d y\right\|_{L^{\Psi}(\mathcal{E})} \\
& \approx\left\|b(\cdot)-b_{\mathcal{E}}\right\|_{L^{\Psi}(\mathcal{E})} \int_{\mathrm{c}_{(2 k \mathcal{E}}} \frac{|f(y)|}{\rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{\gamma-\alpha}} d y \\
& \lesssim \frac{\|b\|_{*}}{\Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)} \int_{\mathrm{c}_{(2 k \mathcal{E}}} \frac{|f(y)|}{\rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{\gamma-\alpha}} d y \\
& \approx \frac{\|b\|_{*}}{\Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)} \int_{\mathrm{c}_{(2 k \mathcal{E})}}|f(y)| \int_{\rho\left(y-x_{0}\right)}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \approx \frac{\|b\|_{*}}{\Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)} \int_{2 k r}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \backslash(2 k \mathcal{E})}|f(y)| d y \frac{d t}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\|b\|_{*}}{\Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)} \int_{2 r}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)}|f(y)| d y \frac{d t}{t^{\gamma+1-\alpha}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\|b\|_{*}}{\Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)} \int_{2 r}^{\infty}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}\left(\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)} \Phi^{-1}\left(t^{-\gamma}\right) t^{\alpha-1} d t \\
& \lesssim \frac{\|b\|_{*}}{\Psi^{-1}\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}} \int_{2 r}^{\infty} \Phi^{-1}\left(t^{-\gamma}\right) t^{\alpha-1} d t \\
& \lesssim\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J_{2}\right\|_{L^{\Psi}(\mathcal{E})} \lesssim\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (10) and (11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right] f\right\|_{L^{\Psi}(\mathcal{E})} \lesssim\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi} .} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking supremum over $\mathcal{E}$ in (12), we get

$$
\left\|\left[b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right] f\right\|_{L^{\Psi}} \lesssim\|b\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}
$$

since the constants in (12) don't depend on $x_{0}$ and $r$.
(2) We shall now prove the second part. Let $\mathcal{E}_{0}=\mathcal{E}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ and $x \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$. By Lemmas 8,5 and 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0}^{\alpha} & \lesssim \frac{\left\|\left|b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right| \chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}}\right\|_{L^{\Psi}\left(\mathcal{E}_{0}\right)}}{\left\|b(\cdot)-b_{\mathcal{E}_{0}}\right\|_{L^{\Psi}\left(\mathcal{E}_{0}\right)}} \lesssim \Psi^{-1}\left(r_{0}^{-\gamma}\right)\left\|\left|b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right| \chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}}\right\|_{L^{\Psi}\left(\mathcal{E}_{0}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \Psi^{-1}\left(r_{0}^{-\gamma}\right)\left\|\left|b, I_{\alpha}^{P}\right| \chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}}\right\|_{L^{\Psi}} \lesssim \Psi^{-1}\left(r_{0}^{-\gamma}\right)\left\|\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}}\right\|_{L^{\Phi}} \lesssim \frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(r_{0}^{-\gamma}\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(r_{0}^{-\gamma}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this is true for every $r_{0}>0$, we are done.
(3) The third statement of the theorem follows from the first and second parts of the theorem.

Remark 2. Note that Theorem 7 in the isotropic case $P=I$ were proved in [7].
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#### Abstract

In this paper a method for constructing a basis of a Banach space based on the bases of subspaces is proposed. The completeness, minimality, uniform minimality and basicity with the parentheses of the corresponding systems are also studied. The obtained abstract results are applied to the study of the basis properties of the eigenfunctions of a discontinuous differential operator of second order.


Key Words and Phrases: basis, completeness, minimality, uniformly minimality, discontinuous differential operator

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 34L10, 41A58, 46A35

## 1. Introduction

The study of the spectral properties of some discrete differential operators leads to the development of new methods for constructing bases. In this regard, many mathematicians have paid attention to the study of basis properties (completeness, minimality, basicity) of systems of functions of special types, often being eigen and associated functions of differential operators. At the same time, various methods for studying these properties were proposed. Among such works are the works of the authors [1-6]. In the case of discontinuous differential operators, from eigenfunctions arise systems that for the study of the basicity the previously known methods are not applicable.

In this work is considered an abstract approach to the problem described above. The stability of the basis properties of multiple systems in a Banach space with respect to certain transformations is studied, a method for constructing a basis for the whole space is proposed, based on the bases of subspaces, which has wide application in the spectral theory of discontinuous differential operators.

[^3]
## 2. Necessary information

Recall the definitions of some notions from the theory of basis in a Banach space. Let $X$ be a Banach space.

Definition 1. The system $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in N} \subset X$ is called uniformly minimal in $X$, if

$$
\exists \delta>0: \inf _{\forall u \in L\left\{\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \neq k}\right]}\left\|x_{k}-u\right\| \geq \delta\left\|x_{k}\right\|, \quad \forall k \in N .
$$

Definition 2. If there exists a sequence of indexes, such that $\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{k \in N} \subset N: n_{k}<$ $n_{k+1}, \forall k \in N$ and any element $x \in X$ is uniquely represented in the form

$$
x=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_{k}+1}^{n_{k+1}} c_{j} x_{j} \quad\left(n_{0}=0\right),
$$

then the system $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \epsilon N} \subset X$ is called a basis with parentheses in $X$.
For $n_{k}=k$ the system $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ forms a usual basis for $X$.
We need the following easily proved statements.
Statement 1. Let the system $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ form a basis with parentheses for $X$. If the system $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is uniformly minimal and the sequence $\left\{n_{k+1}-n_{k}\right\}_{k \in N}$ is bounded, then this system forms a usual basis for $X$.

Statement 2. Let the system $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ form a Riesz basis with parentheses for a Hilbert space $X$. If the sequence $\left\{n_{k+1}-n_{k}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is bounded and the following condition

$$
\sup _{n}\left\{\left\|x_{n}\right\|:\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right\}<\infty
$$

holds, where $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is a biorthogonal system, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ forms a usual Riesz basis for $X$.

Definition 3. The basis $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ of Banach space $X$ is called a p-basis, if for any $x \in X$ the condition

$$
\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x, \vartheta_{n}\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq M\|x\|,
$$

holds, where $\left\{\vartheta_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ - is a biorthogonal system to $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$.
Definition 4. The sequences $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ and $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ of Banach space $X$ are called a p-close, if the condition

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|u_{n}-\varphi_{n}\right\|^{p}<\infty
$$

holds.

We will also use the following results from [3,5] (see, also [6-8]).
Theorem 1. [3] Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ form a $q$-basis for a Banach space $X$, and the system $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is $p$-close to $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$, where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. Then the following properties are equivalent:
i) $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in N^{-}}$is complete in $X$;
ii) $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in N^{-}}$is minimal in $X$;
iii) $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in N^{-}}$-forms an isomorphic basis to $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ for $X$.

Let $X_{1}=X \oplus C^{m}$ and $\left\{\hat{u}_{n}\right\}_{n \in N} \subset X_{1}$ be some minimal system and $\left\{\hat{\vartheta}_{n}\right\}_{n \in N} \subset X_{1}^{*}=$ $X^{*} \oplus C^{m}$ be its biorthogonal system:

$$
\hat{u}_{n}=\left(u_{n} ; \alpha_{n 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n m}\right) ; \quad \hat{\vartheta}_{n}=\left(\vartheta_{n} ; \beta_{n 1}, \ldots, \beta_{n m}\right) .
$$

Let $J=\left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right\}$ be some set of $m$ natural numbers. Suppose

$$
\delta=\operatorname{det}\left\|\beta_{n_{i} j}\right\|_{i, j=\overline{1, m}}
$$

The following theorem is true.
Theorem 2. [5] Let the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ form a basis for $X_{1}$. In order to the system $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N_{J}}$, where $N_{J}=N \backslash J$ form a basis for $X$ it is necessary and sufficient that the condition $\delta \neq 0$ be satisfied. In this case the biorthogonal system to $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N_{J}}$ is defined by

$$
\vartheta_{n}^{*}=\frac{1}{\delta}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\vartheta_{n} & \vartheta_{n 1} & \ldots & \vartheta_{n m} \\
\beta_{n 1} & \beta_{n_{1} 1} & \ldots & \beta_{n_{m} 1} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\beta_{n m} & \beta_{n_{1} m} & \ldots & \beta_{n_{m} m}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

In particular, if Xis a Hilbert space and the system $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ forms a Riesz basis for $X_{1}$, then under the condition $\delta \neq 0$, the system $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N_{J}}$ also forms a Riesz basis for $X$. For $\delta=0$ the system $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N_{J}}$ is not complete and is not minimal in $X$.

## 3. Stability of the basis properties of systems

Suppose that the direct decomposition $X=X_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus X_{m}$ holds, where $X_{i}, i=\overline{1, m}$ are Banach spaces. For convenience, the elements of $X$ are identified with vectors: $x \in$ $X \Leftrightarrow x=\left(x_{1} ; \ldots ; x_{m}\right)$, where $x_{k} \in X_{k}, k=\overline{1, m}$. The norm in $X$ is defined by the formula $\|x\|_{X}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{X_{i}}^{2}}$. It is clear that $X^{*}=X_{1}^{*} \oplus \ldots \oplus X_{m}^{*}$ and for $f \in X^{*}$ and $x \in X$ it holds $\langle x ; f\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i} ; f_{i}\right\rangle(<\cdot ; \cdot>-$ is the value of the functional $)$, where $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right), f_{k} \in X_{k}^{*}, k=\overline{1, m}$. For $x_{k} \in X_{k}$ let us denote by $\tilde{x}_{k}$ the element from $X$, which is defined by the formula $\tilde{x}_{k}=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, x_{k}}_{k}, \ldots, 0)$.

Suppose that a system $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is given in each space $X_{i}, i=\overline{1, m}$, Consider the following system in $X$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}_{i n}=\left(a_{i 1}^{(n)} u_{1 n}, \ldots, a_{i m}^{(n)} u_{m n}\right), i=\overline{1, m}, n \in N, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i j}^{(n)}$-are some numbers. Let $A_{n}=\left(a_{i j}^{(n)}\right)_{i, j=\overline{1, m}} ; \Delta_{n}=\operatorname{det} A_{n}$.
The following theorem is proved.
Theorem 3. Let the system $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be complete (minimal) in $X_{i}, i=\overline{1, m}$. If $\Delta_{n} \neq$ $0, \forall n \in N$, then the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m} ; n \in N}$ is also complete (minimal) in $X$.

Proof. Let the system $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be complete (minimal) in $X_{i}, i=\overline{1, m}$. If for any $\vartheta \in X^{*}$

$$
<\hat{u}_{i n}, \vartheta>=0, \quad i=\overline{1, m}, n \in N,
$$

then from the representation $X^{*}=X_{1}^{*} \oplus \ldots \oplus X_{m}^{*}$ and $\vartheta=\left(\vartheta_{1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{m}\right)^{t}, \vartheta_{i} \in X_{i}^{*}, i=$ $\overline{1, m}$, implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}<u_{j n}, \vartheta_{j}>=0, \quad i=\overline{1, m} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Delta_{n}=\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}^{(n)}\right) \neq 0, n \in N$, then (2) has only trivial solution for each $n \in N$ :

$$
<u_{j n}, \vartheta_{j}>=0, j=\overline{1, m}, n \in N .
$$

Then from the completeness of the system $\left\{u_{j n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ in $X_{j}$ implies that $\vartheta_{j}=0, j=\overline{1, m}$, i.e. $\vartheta=0$.

Now let the system $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be minimal in $X_{i}$, and $\left\{\vartheta_{i n}\right\}_{n \in N} \subset X_{i}^{*}$ be conjugatebiorthogonal system. Consider the following system in $X^{*}$

$$
\hat{\vartheta}_{i n}=\left(b_{1 i}^{(n)} \vartheta_{1 n} ; b_{2 i}^{(n)} \vartheta_{2 n} ; \ldots ; b_{m i}^{(n)} \vartheta_{m n}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{m} b_{s i}^{(n)} \tilde{\vartheta}_{s n}, \quad i=\overline{1, m}, \quad n \in N,
$$

where the numbers $b_{j i}^{(n)}$ - are the elements of the inverse matrix $A_{n}^{-1}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
<\hat{u}_{i n}, \hat{\vartheta}_{l k}>=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{s=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{(n)} b_{s l}^{(k)}<\tilde{u}_{j n}, \tilde{\vartheta}_{s k}>= \\
=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{(n)} b_{j l}^{(k)}<u_{j n}, \vartheta_{j k}>=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{(n)} b_{j l}^{(k)} \delta_{n k}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{(n)} b_{j l}^{(n)} \delta_{n k}=\delta_{i l} \delta_{n k}, i ; l=\overline{1, m} ; n ; k \in N .
\end{gathered}
$$

The last expressions mean that the system $\left\{\hat{\vartheta}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m ; n} \in N}$ is conjugated to the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m ; n} \in N}$, i.e. the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m ; n} \in N}$ is minimal in $X$.

Theorem is proved.

Theorem 4. Let the system $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be minimal in $X_{i}, i=\overline{1, m}$. If $\exists n_{0} \in N, \Delta_{n_{0}}=0$ then the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m} ; n \in N}$ is not minimal in $X$.

Proof. Let for any $n_{0} \in N, \Delta_{n_{0}}=0$. We will show that the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n_{0}}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m}}$ is linear dependent. From the condition $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}^{\left(n_{0}\right)}\right)=0$ implies that, there are numbers $c_{i}, i=\overline{1, m}$, which not all equal to zero and such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{\left(n_{0}\right)} c_{i}=0, j=\overline{1, m}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \hat{u}_{i n_{0}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{\left(n_{0}\right)} \tilde{u}_{j n_{0}}= \\
=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{\left(n_{0}\right)} c_{i}\right) \tilde{u}_{j n_{0}}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n_{0}}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m}}$ is linear dependent, consequently, all of the systems $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m ; n} \in N}$ are linear dependent and especially are not minimal. Theorem is proved.

Theorem 5. Let the system $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be complete and minimal in $X_{i}$, for each $i \in 1: m$. If $\exists n_{0} \in N, \Delta_{n_{0}}=0$, then the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m ; n} \in N}$ is not complete and is not minimal in $X$.

Proof. Non-minimality of the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m ; n} \in N}$ in $X$ implies from the previous theorem. We will show that, it is not complete in $X$. From the condition $\Delta_{n_{0}}=$ $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}^{\left(n_{0}\right)}\right)=0$ implies that, there are numbers $c_{j}, j=\overline{1, m}$, which not all are equal to zero such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{\left(n_{0}\right)} c_{j}=0, j=\overline{1, m}
$$

Suppose

$$
\tilde{u}_{j n}=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, u_{j n}}_{j}, \ldots, 0) \in X, j=\overline{1, m} .
$$

Then the system $\left\{\tilde{u}_{j n}\right\}_{j=\overline{1, m} ;} n \in N$ is complete and minimal in $X$, and its conjugated system is in the following form

$$
\tilde{\vartheta}_{j n}=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, \vartheta_{j n}}_{j}, \ldots, 0), \quad j=\overline{1, m} ; n \in N,
$$

where $\left\{\vartheta_{j n}\right\}_{n \in N} \subset X_{j}^{*}$-is conjugate system to $\left\{u_{j n}\right\}_{n \in N}$. Consider the following functional

$$
\vartheta_{0}=\sum_{s=1}^{m} c_{s} \tilde{\vartheta}_{s n_{0}}
$$

It is clear that $\vartheta_{0} \in X^{*}$ and $\vartheta_{0} \neq 0$. We will show that the functional, $\vartheta_{0}$ annuls the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}$. Indeed, for $n=n_{0}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
<\hat{u}_{i n_{0}}, \vartheta_{0}>=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{\left(n_{0}\right)}<\tilde{u}_{j n_{0}}, \vartheta_{0}>=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{\left(n_{0}\right)} \sum_{s=1}^{m} c_{s}<\tilde{u}_{j n_{0}}, \tilde{\vartheta}_{s n_{0}}>= \\
=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{\left(n_{0}\right)} \sum_{s=1}^{m} c_{s} \delta_{j s}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{\left(n_{0}\right)} c_{j}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

For $n \neq n_{0}$ we have

$$
<\tilde{u}_{i n}, \vartheta_{0}>=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{(n)} \sum_{s=1}^{m} c_{s}<\tilde{u}_{j n}, \tilde{\vartheta}_{s n_{0}}>=0
$$

Thus, the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m} ;} ; n \in N$ is not complete in $X$. Theorem is proved.
Theorem 6. If all $\Delta_{n}=\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}^{(n)}\right) \neq 0, n \in N$, and for each $i \in 1: m$ the system $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ forms a basis in $X_{i}$, then the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m ; n} \in N}$ forms a basis with parentheses in $X$. If, the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n}\left\{\left\|u_{i n}\right\| ;\left\|\vartheta_{i n}\right\|\right\}<+\infty, i=\overline{1, m}, \sup _{n}\left\{\left\|A_{n}\right\|,\left\|A_{n}^{-1}\right\|\right\}<+\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

also hold, then the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m ; n} \in N}$ forms a usual basis in $X$.
Proof. Let us present the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}$ in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}_{i n}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{(n)} \tilde{u}_{j n}, i=\overline{1, m} ; n \in N \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown above, the conjugated system is in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\vartheta}_{i n}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{l i}^{(n)} \tilde{\vartheta}_{l n}, l=\overline{1, m} ; n \in N \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the numbers $b_{j i}$ are the elements of the inverse matrix $A^{-1}$. Hence we get (for $x \in X$ )

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}<x, \hat{\vartheta}_{i n}>\hat{u}_{i n}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{m} a_{i j}^{(n)} b_{l i}^{(n)}<x, \tilde{\vartheta}_{l n}>\tilde{u}_{j n}=
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{l i}^{(n)} a_{i j}^{(n)}\right)<x, \tilde{\vartheta}_{l n}>\tilde{u}_{j n}= \\
=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \delta_{l j}<x, \tilde{\vartheta}_{l n}>\tilde{u}_{j n}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}<x, \tilde{\vartheta}_{j n}>\tilde{u}_{j n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{N}(x) & =\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m}<x, \hat{\vartheta}_{i n}>\hat{u}_{i n}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m}<x, \tilde{\vartheta}_{j n}>\tilde{u}_{j n}= \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{n=1}^{N}<x, \tilde{\vartheta}_{j n}>\tilde{u}_{j n} \rightarrow x, \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m} ; n \in N}$ forms a basis with parentheses in $X$.
Now let us assume that the condition (3) be fulfilled. Then

$$
\sup _{i, n}\left\{\left\|\tilde{u}_{i n}\right\| ;\left\|\tilde{\vartheta}_{i n}\right\|\right\}<+\infty, i=\overline{1, m},
$$

And from the representations (4) and (5) we obtain

$$
\sup _{i, n}\left\{\left\|\hat{u}_{i n}\right\| ;\left\|\hat{\vartheta}_{i n}\right\|\right\}<+\infty .
$$

Consequently, the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}$ is uniformly minimal and by Statement 1 it forms a usual bases in $X$.

Theorem 7. If $X_{i}$-are Hilbert spaces, and $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is a Riesz basis in $X_{i}, i=\overline{1, m}$, then for $\Delta_{n} \neq 0, n \in N$, the system $\left\{\hat{u}_{i n}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, m} ; n \in N}$ forms Riesz basis with parentheses in $X$, and under the condition (3) it forms a usual Riesz basis in $X$.

Proof of the theorem implies from the Theorem 6 and Statement 2. Note that, in particular, when the matrixes $A_{n}$ do not depend on $n: A_{n}=A, n \in N$, the similar results were obtained in $[9,10]$.

## 4. Application to discontinuous differential operators

Consider the following model spectral problem for a second-order discontinuous differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
-y^{\prime \prime}(x)+q(x) y=\lambda y(x), x \in(-1,0) \bigcup(0,1), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
y(-1)=y(1)=0,
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y(-0)=y(+0)  \tag{7}\\
y^{\prime}(-0)-y^{\prime}(+0)=\lambda m y(0)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m \neq 0$ - is any complex number, $q(x)$ - summable complex-valued function. Such spectral problems arise when the problem of vibrations of a loaded in the middle of the string with fixed ends is solved by applying the Fourier method [11,12]. The justification of the Fourier method requires the study of the basis properties of the eigenfunctions of the spectral problem in the appropriate spaces of functions (as a rule, in Lebesgue or Sobolev spaces). Such questions for the problem (6),(7) studied by another method in [13,14]. Following two theorems are proved in [13].

Theorem 8. [13] Let

$$
d=4+\left(m q_{2}(0)\right)^{2}+\left(m q_{1}(0)\right)^{2}+8 m q_{2}(0)-2 m^{2} q_{2}(0) q_{1}(0) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
q_{1}(0)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{0} q(t) d t
$$

and

$$
q_{1}(0)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{0} q(t) d t
$$

Then the spectral problem (6), (7) has two series asymptotically simple eigenvalues $\lambda_{1, n}=$ $\rho_{1, n}^{2}, n=1,2, \ldots$ and $\lambda_{2, n}=\rho_{2, n}^{2}, n=1,2, \ldots$, where $\rho_{1, n}$ and $\rho_{2, n}$ have asymptotics

$$
\rho_{1, n}=\pi n+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{n}+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\rho_{2, n}=\pi n+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{n}+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

respectively, and the numbers $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are different complex numbers and are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=\frac{-\left(2 m q_{2}(0)+m q_{1}(0)\right)+\sqrt{d}}{-2 m \pi}, \\
& \alpha_{2}=\frac{-\left(2 m q_{2}(0)+m q_{1}(0)\right)-\sqrt{d}}{-2 m \pi},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq \arg \sqrt{d}<\pi$.
Theorem 9. [13] Let the function $q(x)$ satisfy the condition of the Theorem 8. Then the eigen functions $y_{1, n}(x)$ of the problem (6),(7), corresponding to eigen values $\lambda_{1, n}=\left(\rho_{1, n}\right)^{2}$ and the eigen functions $y_{2, n}(x)$, which correspond to eigen values $\lambda_{2, n}=\left(\rho_{2, n}\right)^{2}$ have the following asymptotics:

$$
y_{1, n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sin \pi n x+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad x \in[-1,0],  \tag{8}\\
\gamma_{1, n} \sin \pi n x+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad x \in[0,1],
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
y_{2, n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sin \pi n x+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad x \in[-1,0]  \tag{9}\\
\gamma_{2, n} \sin \pi n x+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad x \in[0,1]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the numbers $\gamma_{1, n} \gamma_{2, n}$ are defined by the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{1, n}=1+m q_{1}(0)-m \alpha_{1} \pi+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
& \gamma_{2, n}=1+m q_{1}(0)-m \alpha_{2} \pi+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By $W_{p}^{k}(-1,0) \oplus(0,1)$ we denote a space of functions whose constrictions on segments $[-1,0]$ and $[0,1]$ belong to Sobolev spaces $W_{p}^{k}(-1,0)$ and $W_{p}^{k}(0,1)$, respectively. Let's define the operator $L$ in $L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$ as follows :

$$
\begin{align*}
D(L)= & \left\{\hat{u} \in L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C: \hat{u}=(u ; m u(0)), u \in W_{p}^{2}(-1,0) \bigcup(0,1),\right.  \tag{10}\\
& u(-1)=u(1)=0, u(-0)=u(+0)\}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $\hat{u} \in D(L)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \hat{u}=\left(-u^{\prime \prime}+q(x) u ; u^{\prime}(-0)-u^{\prime}(+0)\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. Operator L, defined by the formulas (10), (11) is a linear closed operator with dense definitional domain in $L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$. Eigenvalues of the operator $L$ and of the problem (6), (7) coincide, and $\left\{\hat{y}_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are eigenvectors of the operator $L$, where $\hat{y}_{2 n-1}=\left(y_{2 n-1}(x) ; m y_{2 n-1}(0)\right), \hat{y}_{2 n}=\left(y_{2 n}(x) ; m y_{2 n}(0)\right)$.

Proof. To prove the first part of the lemma we take $\hat{y}=(y ; \alpha) \in L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$ and we define the functional $F(\hat{y})$ as follows:

$$
F(\hat{y})=m y(+0)-\alpha
$$

Let us assume

$$
U_{\nu}(\hat{y})=U_{\nu}(y), \nu=1,2,3
$$

where

$$
U_{1}(y)=y(-1), \quad U_{2}(y)=y(1), \quad U_{3}(y)=y(-0)-y(+0)
$$

Then $\mathrm{F}, U_{v}, v=1,2,3$, are bounded linear functionals on $W_{p}^{2}(-1,0) \bigcup(0,1) \oplus C$, but unbounded on $L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$. Therefore, (see, e.g. [15, pp. 27-29]) the set

$$
D(L)=\left\{\hat{y}=(y ; \alpha), y \in W_{p}^{2}(-1,0) \bigcup(0,1), \mathrm{F}(\hat{y})=U_{\nu}(\hat{y})=0, \nu=1,2,3\right\}
$$

is dense everywhere in $L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$, and $L$ is a closed operator as constriction of corresponding closed maximal operator.

The second part of the lemma is verified directly.
The lemma is proved.

Theorem 10. In conditions of the Theorem 8 eigenvectors and conjugate vectors of the operator L, linearized problem (6), (7) form basis in $L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$, and for $p=2$ this basis is a Riesz basis.

Proof. From the Lemma 1 implies that, $L$ is a dense defined closed operator with compact resolvent. Then the system $\left\{\hat{y}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of eigenvectors of the operator $L$ is minimal in $L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$, and its conjugate system $\left\{\hat{\vartheta}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the system of eigenvectors of the conjugate operator $L^{*}$ and is in the form

$$
\hat{\vartheta}_{n}=\left(\vartheta_{n}, \bar{m} \vartheta_{n}(0)\right), n=0,1, \ldots
$$

here $\vartheta_{n}(x), n=0,1, \ldots$, are eigenfunctions of the conjugate spectral problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\vartheta^{\prime \prime}+\overline{q(x)} \vartheta=\lambda \vartheta  \tag{12}\\
\vartheta(-1)=\vartheta(1)=0 ; \vartheta(-0)=\vartheta(+0) ; \vartheta^{\prime}(-0)-\vartheta^{\prime}(+0)=\lambda \bar{m} \vartheta(0) . \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

By the similar way, for the problem (12), (13) we obtain, that for $\vartheta_{n}(x)$ hold following formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vartheta_{1, n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sin \pi n x+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad x \in[-1,0], \\
\mu_{1, n} \sin \pi n x+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad x \in[0,1],
\end{array}\right.  \tag{14}\\
& \vartheta_{2, n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sin \pi n x+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad x \in[-1,0], \\
\mu_{2, n} \sin \pi n x+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad x \in[0,1],
\end{array}\right. \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{1, n}, \mu_{2, n}$ are the normalization numbers and for which holds

$$
\mu_{1, n}=a_{1}+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \mu_{2, n}=a_{2}+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

and $a_{1} a_{2} \neq 0$. Denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{1, n}(x)= \begin{cases}\sin \pi n x, & x \in[-1,0], \\
\gamma_{1, n} \sin \pi n x, & x \in[0,1],\end{cases}  \tag{16}\\
& e_{2, n}(x)= \begin{cases}\sin \pi n x, & x \in[-1,0], \\
\gamma_{2, n} \sin \pi n x, & x \in[0,1],\end{cases} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

and consider the system $\left\{\hat{e}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where

$$
\hat{e}_{0}=(0 ; 1), \hat{e}_{2 n}=\left(e_{2, n} ; 0\right), \hat{e}_{2 n-1}=\left(e_{1, n} ; 0\right), n \in N
$$

Then $\left\{\hat{e}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is basis in $L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$, besides for $1<p \leq 2$, from the formulas (16),(17) implies, that according to inequality Hausdorf-Young for trigonometric system (see., for example, [16] ) for each $\hat{f} \in L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$ the inequality

$$
\left(\sum_{B=0}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle\hat{f}, \hat{e}_{n}\right\rangle\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c\|\hat{f}\|_{L_{q} \oplus C}
$$

is fulfilled and from the formulas (8),(9) implies that

$$
\sum_{n}\left\|\hat{y}_{n}-\hat{e}_{n}\right\|_{L_{p} \oplus C}^{p}<\infty .
$$

Then by Theorem 1 the system $\left\{\hat{y}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ also forms a basis in $L_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$ isomorphic to $\left\{\hat{e}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. If $p>2(1<q<2)$, then in this case from the formulas (14),(15) implies that, the system $\left\{\hat{\vartheta}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is $q$ - close to $\left\{\hat{e}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ :

$$
\sum_{n}\left\|\hat{\vartheta}_{n}-\hat{e}_{n}\right\|_{L_{q} \oplus C}^{q}<\infty
$$

and for each $\hat{g} \in L_{q}(-1,1) \oplus C$

$$
\left(\sum_{B=0}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle g, \hat{e}_{n}\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c\|\hat{g}\|_{L_{q} \oplus C}
$$

and by Theorem 1 the system $\left\{\hat{\vartheta}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ forms a basis in $L_{q}(-1,1) \oplus C$ and consequently, the system $\left\{\hat{y}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ forms a basis in $\bar{L}_{p}(-1,1) \oplus C$ isomorphic to $\left\{\hat{e}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

As noted in the Theorem $8, \alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{2}$, because, although one of these numbers does not equal zero. With this in mind and applying the Theorem 2 and 7 , we obtain, that right is next

Theorem 11. If $\alpha_{1} \neq 0$, then for sufficiently great values of $n_{0}$ we eliminate $y_{1, n_{0}}(x)$, and if $\alpha_{2} \neq 0$, then for sufficiently great values of $n_{0}$ we eliminate $y_{2, n_{0}}(x)$ from the system of the eigen and conjugate functions of the problem (6), (7) we obtain a basis in $L_{p}(-1,1)$, and for $p=2$ we obtain a Riesz basis in $L_{2}(-1,1)$.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Science Development Foundation under the President of the Republic of Azerbaijan-Grant No EIF-BGM-4-RFTF-1/2017-21/02/1-M-19.

## References

[1] E.I. Moiseev, On basicity of systems of sines and cosines, Dokl. Akad. USSR, 275(4), 794-798, 1984.
[2] E.I. Moiseev, On basicity of a system of sines, Differ. Uravn., 23(1), 1987, 177-179.
[3] B.T. Bilalov, Bases of exponentials, cosines, and sines formed by eigenfunctions of differential operators, Differential Equations, 39(5),2003, 652-657 (translated from Differentsial'nye Uravneniya, 39(5), 2003, 619-623).
[4] B.T. Bilalov, On the basis property of systems of exponentials, cosines and sines in $L_{p}$, Dokl. Math, 379(2), 2001, 7-9.
[5] T.B. Gasymov, On necessary and sufficient conditions of basicity of some defective systems in Banach spaces, Trans. NAS Azerb., ser. phys.-tech. math. sci., math. mech., 26(1), 2006, 65-70.
[6] B.T. Bilalov, Z.G. Guseynov, $K$-Bessel and $K$-Hilbert systems and $K$-bases, Doklady Mathematics 80(3), 2009, 826-828.
[7] B.T. Bilalov, Some questions of approximation, Baku, Elm, 380 p. 2016.
[8] T.B. Gasymov, T.Z. Garayev On necessary and sufficient conditions for obtaining the bases of Banach spaces , Proc.of IMM of NAS.of Azerb., XXVI(XXXIV), 2007, 93-98.
[9] B.T. Bilalov, T.B. Gasymov, On bases for direct decomposition, Doklady Mathematics, 93(2), 2016, 183-185.
[10] B.T. Bilalov, T.B. Gasymov, On basicity a system of eigenfunctions of second order discontinuous differential operator, Ufa Mathematical Journal, 9(1), 2017, 109-122.
[11] A.N. Tikhonov, A.A. Samarskii, Equations of Mathematical Physics, Mosk. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1999.
[12] F.V. Atkinson, Discrete and Continuous Boundary Problems, Moscow, Mir, 1968.
[13] T.B. Gasymov, A.A. Huseynli, The basis properties of eigenfunctions of a discontinuous differential operator with a spectral parameter in boundary condition, Proceed. of IMM of NAS of Azerb., XXXV(XLIII), 2011, 21-32.
[14] T.B. Gasymov, A.A. Huseynli, On completeness of the system of eigenfunctions and conjugate functions of one second order discontinuous differential operator, Doklady. NAS Azerb., LXIII(1), 2012, 3-7.
[15] V.E. Lyantse, O.G. Storozh, Methods of the theory of unbounded operators, Kiev, 1983, 212 p.
[16] A. Zigmund, Trigonometric series, 2, Moscow, Mir, 1965, 537 p.

Telman B. Gasymov
Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan
Baku State University, AZ1148, Baku, Azerbaijan
E-mail: telmankasumov@rambler.ru
Guler V. Maharramova
Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan
E-mail: g.meherremova.89@mail.ru
Received 05 September 2018
Accepted 01 October 2018

# Fractal Conception Evaluation of Blood-Vessel System State in the Anterior Part of an Eye 

H.A. Nagiev, S.A. Ahmedov *


#### Abstract

The paper deals with parametrization of graphical representation in the anterior part of an eye, analysis of the systems that perform statistical analysis, information processing, diagnostics and data bank creation. Information about fractal conception, the effect of its application and complex of necessary theoretical and practical works in this field are given. The use of the fractal size of the eye vessels as an information wrapping method and the perspective of linear regression or the least square methods are studied. The efficiency of the use of fractal concept for the preservation and processing of graphical representation of the blood-vessel system of the anterior part of an eye is shown.
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## 1. Introduction

Numerous historical facts from ancient medicine can be considered as important facts of eye-diagnostics information. There is also scientific evidence that there is a correlation between the visual analysis of changes in the anterior part of an eye and internal diseases and even some psychological disorder symptoms.

Today, there is a great believance that the functional changes and hormonal shortcomings that occur in interior organs and other objective factors are revealed. The importance given to the perspective of the eye that the blood vessel structure or changes in it can be instrumental in early prediction of internal diseases is developing on a day-to-day. One of the reasons of this tendency is related to the achievements of electronic appliances and medical devices in medicine, and the other reason is the high achievement of modern information technologies and the broad range of mathematical-cybernetic methods.

As the symptomatic factors as the appearance of yellow strains in the eye is usually more common in liver pathology, swelling in the eyes in the blood-vessel cardiological diseases, redness in the eyes in hypertension have been known for a long time, now there are more complex requirements based on external showings of the eye and they consider

[^4]to study pathalogical changes more thouroughly. Local vein blockage in the eye is one of the factors that can dramatically alter the appearance of the anterior part of the eye. Today the intuitive conviction based on subjective observation of the people as "Eyes are the light of life", "The eyes are the mirror of the heart" needs to be transferred to a more serious and objective evaluation. Analysis of the existing scientific literature in this field shows that analysis of an eye-vascular system based on mathematical-cybernetic methods can be considered as a perspective direction that creates important steps in the field of medical diagnostics [2].

## 2. The most important apparatus for the automatic examination of the eye's blood-vascular system image

A positive solution to the problem of objective parametrization (characterization) of the eye's blood-vessel system can refer to the medical examination tools available in the current eye care. A positive solution to the problem of symptomatic diagnostic problem is undoubtedly dependent on the level of improvement of the analyzers and measurement systems currently used in the eye examination and treatment. The analysis of survey reviewer of technical literature, which we have undertaken in this field, does not cover the issue, but it can to a certain extent give the most important idea of the general situation.

Some of the apparatus manufactured by leading companies of advanced countries in the field of medical devices can play a major role in the diagnostics, prophylaxis and treatment prophylaxis and treatment of eye diseases and enable to carry out fundamental researches in the field of examination of blood-vascular system of the eye. Many of these equipments were designed to examine the anterior part the eye, the ultrasound examination of the eyeground, the visual field and the visual acuity. The last model apparatus manufactured in Japan, Korea, the USA, Central Europe and other countries are widely used in modern medicine. The Japanese "TOPCON" company's test, measurement, treatment complex is successfully used in the diagnostics, prevention and treatment of eye diseases. The "FOROPTER" complex is a computerized intellectual system, has the function of evaluating the patien's vision area and sharpness and is widely used in clinical practice. Germanc "VOLK" and "Reister" systems also examine the eyeground, "ALKON", "LAUREAT" systems are able to carry out the most recent cataract surgery. The "Quantel" system of France is used in the prevention and treatment of degenerative diseases of retina.

Of course it is impossible to claim that mathematical-cybernetic methods such as statistical analysis, image recognition and mathematical simulation are used as a fundamental necessity in addressing the broad spectrum of mentioned systems. On the other hand, there is no need to note that the functional issues such as simulation with differential functions, arising in operational control in the dynamics of processes during the patient's examination, and the analysis of transition state in the patient are widely used. For example, the German-made "Zeiss" brand equipment examines the activity of the blood stream in retinal vessels. For this examination, the patient is administrated intravenously $2 \mathrm{ml}-25$ \% or 5ml-10 \% fluorescein sodium salt. Fluorescein enters ocular circulation from the internal carotid artery through the eye artery. Fluoresein first enters the choroidal vessels,


Figure 1: A picture of the unique structure of the eye's blood-vessel system received from optic devices
then to arteries and veins of retina. The drug is injected within 6 seconds and appears in fluorescein vision nerve and choroid within 8 to 11 seconds. The duration of fluorescein entering the veins depends on the age of the patient, the patient's cardiovascular status, and the rate of fluorescein entering. White and black images are taken within 10 seconds after injection, 1 image in every second during 20 seconds. Then the patient is offered 10 -minute rest. Then 5-10 images are taken. In some cases, the pictures taken in 15 minutes can also be used as useful diagnostic information [9].

Thus, it can be shown from this example that during the examination, both the recording of the reactions arising from the dynamic effects in the body and the effects of intermediate stages of examination require to have and storage the graphical description by parametrizing it. With regard to the problem of blood vessel examination of the eye, it should be noted that for both diagnostic and statistical analysis, reduction of optic at and ultrasound examination results as physical measure information to a compact form, in other words, parametrization does not manifests itself to day.

## 3. Possible folding methods for the eye's blood-vasculus system image. Fractal reflection

The picture shows a blood-vessel-eye system's photo surrounded by different size square networks. The distinctive thickness of vessel and formation of their dendrites with random character forms draws attention.

If one or more dendrite aggregates are taken within each check, each of them can be regarded as an implementation of a random function, and we can suggest that we can centralize multiple implementations on square networks. If this implementation is carried out on a basis of any methods, we can obtain folding of the image either in the form of any numerical characteristic of characteristic function. Such statement of the problem first of all focuses on the Fourier spectral analysis that requires complex, graphical processing processes such as separately analyzing the image of the dendrite aggregate falling on each
network, subsequent centering and defecting the spectral composition. It should be noted that this issue itself will create an important stage and will require independent algorithmic works to find its solution.

The another direction may be calculation of general $\ell$ length of vessels with respect to $\delta$ in arbitrary $\delta+d \delta$ interval within the network of the given size. The Fourier transform of this distribution function gives a complex variable characteristic function, and in principle, such a substitution can be used as an image folding method.

It should be noted that as a mathematical description of dendritic structures, there is a scientific study showing that fractal notation of such structures is far more effective $[7,8]$. In this study, the total volume of chaotic pores channel, surface area and effective perimetry concepts were introduced and they were used to parametrize the dendritric channel system. Fig. 2.

Fractal is a fraction - dimensional object, i.e. a whole consisting of its own parts Benua Mandelbrot proposed initial guidelines for geometrical measurement or calculation of such structures [5]. These rules and formulas are now also available.

According to Mandelbrot, the fractal is a structure recurring in scale changes from the biggest to the smallest and where any geomterical object is considered. When ordinary geomterical objects (full size) are broken down into similar parts the resulting size for example total length, total surface area or total volume remain unchanged. In fractal objects (fractional dimensional) this dimension varies with the fact that the fractal dimension differs from the topological dimension. In the quantitative sense fractal property is reflected just in the mentioned difference. It should be noted that the size of the fractality may be both greater or less than the topological dimension.

Divide sequentially the identified sides of any $D$ - dimensional geometrical structure into $M$ equal parts. After divisions as each iteration the original (or any element obtained from dividend) turns into $N$ number identical element, we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=M^{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that in objects with no fractality, $D$ equals 1,2 or 3 . Now in addition to some variants of fractality that have become classic examples, the expanding diversity is successfully applied not only to non-traditional computer graphics, but to modeling of non-traditional objects, too.

The most common formula for determining the fractal dimension can be written using formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{\ln M}{\ln M} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $D$ is a fractal dimension $[5,6]$.
At present, there are numerous algorithms based on different approaches to determine the dimension of fractals in nature $[3,7,8]$.

It is clear from Fig. 1 that vascular system in the eye forms one natural fractal system. Here, the main problem is the determination of the regular fractal structure of the system equivalent to it in this or other point of view and the solution of parametrization problem on this basis. In our study, we aimed to determine the fractal dimension of blood vessels
in the eye and the length of blood vessels to parametrize the eye. At first, in order to determine the length of blood vessels, we measure by taking two points of the vessel and determine the length along any straight line. But, the result obtained at this time may be considered as an approximate result. If the distance between the points is taken smaller, the size will be adjusted. We can define the total length of blood vessels in the eye based on the formula used by Lewis Fry Richardson in measuring the geographical borders of the countries and the algorithm suggested below. We can also define the fractal dimension according to the length of blood vessels in the eye, i.e. by the length we can determine the fractal dimension. Lewis Fry Richardson has shown that the L length of the borders of the countries varies depending on the $\delta$ scale of the map, $L=L(\delta)$. We can use this formula for the blood vessel system we consider [8].

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\delta)=A \delta^{1-D} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A$ is a constant, $D$ is a fractal dimension.


Figure 2: Statistical processing of eye-vascular system image based on reflection on different dimensional networks
E. Feder has shown on the basis of different experiments that the following formula can be used to determine any $\delta$-dependent length [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i} \delta_{i}=A \delta_{i}^{1-D} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $L(\delta)=m \delta$, where $m$ sizes for different scale $\delta$ were obtained. Since we do not have a scale in the graphical description of the considered blood vascular system, if we place on the graphic representation of a square grid with the side of length $\delta_{1}$ (fig 2 . a) ), then a quadratic grid with side of length $\delta_{2}$ (fig. 2. b) ), and in this sequence a quadratic grid with side of length $\delta_{n}$, then for each $\delta_{1} \delta_{2} \ldots . \delta_{n}$ we obtain the length of vessels, $m_{1} m_{2}$ $\ldots . . m_{n}$. It is appropriate to take $n \geq 5$. Here $m_{i}$ is the sum length of the parts of vessels that the quadratic grid cuts. Here each $\delta$ value has a specific $m \delta$ length, and if we draw a graph of these values, we can see their linear dependence. For each $\lg (\delta)$ we get certain $\lg (m \delta)$. If based on

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\lg \delta ; y=\lg (m \delta) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we draw a graph, we can see linear dependence in the form of $y=a x+b$ [8]. So, by the least square method we can find the constants $a$ and $b$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=\frac{\sum y_{i} \sum x_{i}^{2}-\sum x_{i} \sum x_{i} y_{i}}{n \sum x_{i}^{2}-\sum x_{i} \sum x_{i}} \\
b=\frac{n \sum x_{i} y_{i}-\sum x_{i} \sum y_{i}}{n \sum x_{i}^{2}-\sum x_{i} \sum x_{i}}
\end{gathered}
$$

From the known $L(\delta)=m \delta$ and formula (5) we get $y=\lg (m \delta)=\lg L(\delta)$. Then we can write $y=a x+b$ in the form $\lg L=a \lg \delta+b$. Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
10^{\lg L}=10^{a \lg \delta+b} ; L=10^{b} \delta^{a} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking (3) into account, we find $A=10^{b} ; a=1-D$. From the expression $D=1-a$ we can determine the fractal dimension with respect to the length of the blood vessel according to the graphic description of the anterior of the eye. Using the quantities $D$ and $A$ being the found fractal dimension in length by means of the formula $L(\delta)=A \delta^{1-D}(3)$, we can determine $L$ length of the vessels according to the graphic description of the anterior part of the eye for any $\delta \times \delta$ - dimensional square grid.

The carried out investigations enable to determine two unique values, the length and fractal dimension of the vascular system of the anterior part of the eye. Thus, by the unique value corresponding to each graphic description of the anterior part of the eye, we can parametrize this description.

## 4. Conclusion

Modern development of information technology shows that parametrization of graphic descriptions in automation of medical diagnostics is more likely to provide possible information. The studies have shown that as the analysis of graphic description complicates, the focus is on the parametrization of description in studying diagnostic descriptive bank, statistical analysis and the dynamics of the description. Today, fractal description analysis as a separate field of science involves professionals in the field of information technology and is used in many fields including graphic descriptions. Parametrization of the graphic description of the anterior part of the eye using the fractal analysis apparatus may be used to examine the anterior part of the eye and to study the dynamics of pathological conditions. The achievements in the field of finding these parameters with certain accuracy can eventually be an important step in ensuring a positive solution to the problem of computerized diagnostics.
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# Global Bifurcation From Infinity in Nonlinear Elliptic Problems with Indefinite Weight 
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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider global bifurcation of solutions in nonlinear eigenvalue problems for semi-linear elliptic partial differential equations with indefinite weight function. We prove the existence of two pairs of unbounded continua of solutions bifurcating from the points in $\mathbb{R} \times\{\infty\}$ corresponding to the positive and negative principal eigenvalues of the linear problem and such that the continua of each pair consists of positive and negative functions, respectively, in the neighborhood of these points.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& L u \equiv-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)+c(x) u=\lambda a(x) u+g(x, u, \nabla u, \lambda) \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1}\\
& u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \nabla u=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}\right)$ and $\lambda$ is a real parameter. We assume that $L$ is uniformly elliptic in $\bar{\Omega}$ and that the $a_{i j}(x) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}), a_{i j}(x)=a_{j i}(x)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}, c(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega}), c(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Let $a(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\left|\Omega_{a}^{\sigma}\right|>0$ for $\sigma \in\{+,-\}$, where $\Omega_{a}^{\sigma}=\{x \in \Omega: \sigma a(x)>0\}$ and $\left|\Omega_{a}^{\sigma}\right|=\operatorname{meas}\left\{\Omega_{a}^{\sigma}\right\}$. Moreover, the nonlinear term $g \in C\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ and satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, u, v, \lambda)=o(|u|+|s|) \text { as }|u|+|v| \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, for every bounded interval $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Problem (1) with $a(x)>0, x \in \bar{\Omega}$, and all the coefficients and the nonlinear terms are smooth was considered by Rabinowitz [9] in a more general case, where, in particular, it was shown that there exist two unbounded continua of solutions emanating from asymptotically bifurcation point corresponding to the first eigenvalue of the linear problem obtained from (1) by setting $g \equiv 0$ and contained in the classes of positive and negative functions in near of this point. In the future, Przybycin [8] and Rynne [10] extended the results of Rabinowitz [9] to the class of nonlinearizable eigenvalue problems for elliptic partial differential equations with a definite weight.

In the papers [3, 4], problem (1) was studied in the case when the nonlinear term $g$ satisfies a $o(|u|+|\nabla u|)$ condition at $u=0$. For such a problem, the authors show the existence of two pairs of unbounded continua of solutions bifurcating from points of the line of trivial solutions corresponding to the positive and negative principal eigenvalues of linear problem, and such that the continua of each pair are contained in the classes of positive and negative functions, respectively.

The purpose of the present paper is extend the result of Rabinowitz concerning the existence of branches of positive and negative solutions, [9], to the nonlinear problem (1) with indefinite weight function $a(x)$.

## 2. The classes $P_{\sigma}^{\mu}$ and principal eigenvalues of the corresponding linear problem

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\alpha \in(0,1)$ let $C^{k, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ denote the Banach space of the functions in $C^{k}((\bar{\Omega})$ having all their derivatives of order $k$ Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha$. We let $|\cdot|_{k}$ and $|\cdot|_{k, \alpha}$ denote the standard sup-norms on spaces $C^{k}\left((\bar{\Omega})\right.$ and $C^{k, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, respectively. For $p>1$, let $W^{k, p}(\bar{\Omega})$ denote the standard Sobolev space of functions whose distributional derivatives, up to order $k$, belong to $L^{p}(\Omega)$. We let $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{k, p}$ denote the norm on $L_{p}(\Omega)$ and $W^{k, p}(\Omega)$, respectively.

It is known (see [1]) that, if $p>N$, then there exists a constant $\gamma$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{C^{1,1-n / p}} \leq \gamma\|u\|_{W^{2, p}} \text { for all } u \in W^{2, p}(\Omega) .
$$

Now let $\alpha \in(0,1)$ be the given number and $p$ be a real number such that $p>n$ and $\alpha<1-n / p$. Then $W^{2, p}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Let $E=\left\{u \in C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega}): u=0\right.$ on $\left.\partial \Omega\right\}$ be the Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^{1, \alpha}}$. A pair $(\lambda, u)$ is said to be a solution of problem (1) if $u \in W^{2, p}(\Omega)$ and $(\lambda, u)$ satisfies (1). By virtue of compactly embedding $W^{2, p}(\Omega)$ in $C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ we conclude that every solution of the nonlinear problem (1) belongs to $\mathbb{R} \times E$. Thus we may consider the structure of the set of solutions of problem (1) in $\mathbb{R} \times E$. Let $P_{\sigma}^{+}=\left\{u \in E: u>0\right.$ in $\Omega$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}<$ 0 on $\left.\partial \Omega, \sigma \int_{\Omega} a u^{2} d x>0\right\}$, where $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ is the outward normal derivative of $u$ on $\partial \Omega$.

Remark 1. It follows from the definition that for each $\sigma \in\{+,-\}$ the sets $P_{\sigma}^{+}, P_{\sigma}^{-}=$ $-P_{\sigma}^{+}$and $P_{\sigma}=P_{\sigma}^{+} \cup P_{\sigma}^{-}$are open subsets of $E$; for each $\sigma \in\{+,-\}$ the sets $P_{\sigma}^{+}$and
$P_{\sigma}^{-}$, and for each $\nu \in\{+,-\}$ the sets $P_{+}^{\nu}$ and $P_{-}^{\nu}$ are disjoint. Moreover, if $u \in \partial P_{\sigma}^{\nu}, \sigma \in$ $\{+,-\}, \nu \in\{+,-\}$, then the function $u$ has either an interior zero in $\Omega$ or $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0$ at some point on $\partial \Omega$ or $\int_{\Omega} a u^{2} d x=0[4]$.

Now we consider the linear eigenvalue problem obtained from (1) by setting $h \equiv 0$, i.e. the following spectral problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& L u=\lambda a(x) u \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{3}\\
& u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted that if the weight function $a(x)$ does not change sign in $\bar{\Omega}$, then (3) admits one principal eigenvalue [7], and if $a(x)$ changes sign in $\bar{\Omega}$, then problem (3) admits two principal eigenvalues; one positive and the other negative [3].

In [3] the authors obtained the following properties of the eigenfunctions corresponding to the principal eigenvalues of problem (3).

Theorem 1. (see [3, Lemmas 2.1-2.4, Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and Remark 2.1]) The linear eigenvalue problem (3) have positive and negative principal eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}^{+}$and $\lambda_{1}^{-}$, respectively, which are simple and given by the relations

$$
\lambda_{1}^{\sigma}=\inf \left\{R(u): u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \sigma \int_{\Omega} a u^{2} d x>0\right\} \text { for } \sigma \in\{+,-\},
$$

where $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega): u=0\right.$ on $\left.\partial \Omega\right\}$ and $R(u)$ is the Rayleigh quotient [2] defined as follows:

$$
R(u)=\frac{\int_{\Omega} a_{i j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} d x+\int_{\Omega} c u^{2} d x}{\int_{\Omega} a u^{2} d x} .
$$

Moreover, the corresponding eigenfunction $u_{1}^{\sigma}(x), x \in \bar{\Omega}, \sigma \in\{+,-\}$, can be chosen so that $u_{1}^{\sigma}(x)>0$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\frac{\partial u_{1}^{\sigma}(x)}{\partial n}<0$ for all $x \in \partial \Omega$.

Remark 2. It follows from Theorem 1 that $u_{1}^{\sigma} \in P_{\sigma}^{+}$for each $\sigma \in\{+,-\}$. It should be noted that $u_{1}^{\sigma}$ is made unique by taking $\left\|u_{1}^{\sigma}\right\|_{C^{1, \alpha}}=1$.

## 3. Global bifurcation of solutions of problem (1) from infinity

The closure of the set of nontrivial solutions of (1) will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}$. We say $(\lambda, \infty) \in \mathbb{R} \times\{\infty\}$ is a bifurcation point for problem (1) if any neighborhood of this point contains solutions of problem (1), i.e. there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(\lambda_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{L}$ such that $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda$ and $\left|u_{n}\right|_{1, \alpha} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty[6]$.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. For each $\sigma \in\{+,-\}$ and each $\nu \in\{+,-\}$ there exists a component $C_{1, \sigma}^{\nu}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ which contains $\left(\lambda_{1}^{\sigma}, \infty\right)$ and satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.8 from [9]. Moreover, the neighborhood $Q$ of [9, Corollary 1.8] can be chosen such that

$$
\left(\mathcal{C}_{1, \sigma}^{\nu} \cap Q\right) \subset\left(\mathbb{R} \times P_{\sigma}^{\nu}\right) \cup\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}^{\sigma}, \infty\right)\right\} .
$$

Proof. Step 1. We assume that $a_{i j} \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega}), c, a \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $h \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$.
It follows from the $L_{p}$ theory for uniformly elliptic partial differential equations [2] that there exists a unique $v=G(\lambda, u)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L v=\lambda a(x) u+g(x, u, \nabla u, \lambda)) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
& v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $E$ is compactly embedding in $W_{0}^{2, p}(\Omega)=W^{2, p}(\Omega) \cap\{u: u=0$ on $\partial \Omega\}$ the ArzelaAscoli Theorem imply that $G$ is compact on $\mathbb{R} \times E$.

Denote by $w=\mathcal{L} u \in W_{0}^{2, p}(\Omega)$ the solution of the following problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L w=a(x) u \text { in } \Omega, \\
& w=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from the above reasoning imply that $\mathcal{L}$ is a compact linear map on $E$. By the Theorem 1 it follows that $\lambda_{1}^{+}$and $\lambda_{1}^{-}$are simple principal characteristic values of operator $\mathcal{L}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{G}(\lambda, u)=G(\lambda, u)-\lambda \mathcal{L} u$. From the our above remarks it follows that (1) is equivalent to the following nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\lambda \mathcal{L} u+\mathcal{G}(\lambda, u) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the corresponding reasoning carried out in the proof of Theorem 2.28 from [9], we see that $\mathcal{G}(\lambda, u)=o\left(|u|_{1, \alpha}\right)$ as $|u|_{1, \alpha} \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on bounded $\lambda$ intervals and $|u|_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathcal{G}\left(\lambda, \frac{u}{|u|_{1, \alpha}^{2}}\right)$ is compact. Thus [9, Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.8] are applicable here. The verification of the last statement of this theorem follows as in [9, Theorem 2.4].

Step 2. To complete the proof of this theorem, we approximate equation (1) by "smoothed equations", as in [5, Section 4], and apply standard elliptic regularity results for elliptic operators [2].
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# On the Parametric Resonance Cases of the System Consisting of the Circular Cylinder and Surrounding Elastic Medium Under Action in the Interior of the Cylinder Time-Harmonic Oscillating Moving Load 

M.A. Mehdiyev


#### Abstract

The paper studies the parametric resonance cases which appear under the action of the oscillating moving ring load on the interior of the hollow cylinder surrounded by an elastic medium. The axisymmetric stress-strain state is considered and it is assumed that the perfect contact conditions satisfy on the interface between the cylinder and surrounding elastic medium and the equations of motion for the cylinder and surrounding elastic medium are written separately and these equations are exact the so-called 3D equations of the elastodynamics. Numerical results on the interface stresses are presented and according to the analyses of these results, it is established the existence of the parametric resonance in certain values of the moving velocity of the oscillating load.


## 1. Introduction

The detailed review of the related investigations are given in the papers [1-4] and in the monograph [5] therefore we do not consider here this review again. Nevertheless, we note here some particularities of the recent results which have been obtained with the participation of the author of the present paper. We begin this notation with the paper [1] in which it was shown that under the forced vibration of the system consisting of the hollow cylinder and of the surrounding elastic medium under the action time-harmonic axisymmetric ring forces on the interior of the cylinder the resonance phenomenon does not appear.

In this case, the dependence between the frequency and amplitudes of the quantities characterizing the stress-strain state in the aforementioned system appearing as a result of the time-harmonic ring load has non-monotonic character. In other words, there exists such value of the frequency of the external forces under which the absolute values of the mentioned quantities have their maximum. In other words, there exists such value of the frequency of the external forces under which the absolute values of the mentioned quantities have their maximum. However, in the paper [2] it was established that in the case where on the interior of the cylinder act corresponding non-axisymmetric forces, according
to which it was solved the relating three-dimensional problem the noted above dependencies have more complicated character and nevertheless the resonance phenomenon does not observe in the 3D case also. At the same time, the paper [3] establishes that if on the interior of the cylinder the axisymmetric moving constant ring load acts then under certain values moving velocity of this load the resonance type phenomenon takes place and the velocity regarding this case is called the critical velocity.

The question "what kind of the response of the foregoing system to the time-harmonic ring forces acting on the interior of the cylinder appears in the case where these forces move with the constant velocity and this velocity is less than the corresponding critical velocity", is the subject of the investigation of the present paper. As a result of this investigation, it is established that there exist such value of the velocity of the moving load under which the resonance cases appear as a result of the oscillation of the external forces.

## 2. Formulation of the problem

Consider the aforementioned "hollowcylinder + surrounded elastic medium" system the sketch of which is illustrated in Fig. 1 and assume the thickness of the wall of the cylinder is $h$ and the external radius of the cross section of that is $R$. Moreover, we assume that on the inner surface of this cylinder normal time-harmonic ring forces act and these forces move along the cylinder axis with constant velocity $V$. We associate with the central axis of the cylinder the cylindrical system of coordinates $\operatorname{Or} \theta z$ and within this framework we attempt to investigate the stress-strain state in the system under consideration with utilizing the following field equations of elastodynamics.


Figure 1: The sketch of the system under consideration and the oscillating moving ring load

Equations of motion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \sigma_{r r}^{(k)}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{r z}^{(k)}}{\partial z}+\frac{1}{r}\left(\sigma_{r r}^{(k)}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}^{(k)}\right)=\rho^{(k)} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{r}^{(k)}}{\partial t^{2}}, \frac{\partial \sigma_{r z}^{(k)}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{z z}^{(k)}}{\partial z}+\frac{1}{r} \sigma_{r z}^{(k)}=\rho^{(k)} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}^{(k)}}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elasticity relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n n}^{(k)}=\lambda^{(k)}\left(\varepsilon_{r r}^{(k)}+\varepsilon_{\theta \theta}^{(k)}+\varepsilon_{z z}^{(k)}\right)+2 \mu^{(k)} \varepsilon_{n n}^{(k)}, n n=r r ; \theta \theta ; z z, \sigma_{r z}^{(k)}=2 \mu^{(k)} \varepsilon_{r z}^{(k)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Strain - displacement relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{r r}^{(k)}=\frac{\partial u_{r}^{(k)}}{\partial r}, \varepsilon_{\theta \theta}^{(k)}=\frac{u_{r}^{(k)}}{r}, \varepsilon_{z z}^{(k)}=\frac{\partial u_{z}^{(k)}}{\partial z}, \varepsilon_{r z}^{(k)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{z}^{(k)}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial u_{r}^{(k)}}{\partial z}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equations (1), (2) and (3) the conventional notation of the theory of elasticity is used and through the upper index $(k)$ it is indicated the belonging of the quantities to the cylinder under $k=2$ and to the surrounding elastic medium under $k=1$.

Consider also formulation of the corresponding boundary and contact conditions which can be written as follows.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\sigma_{r r}^{(2)}\right|_{r=R-h}=-P_{0} \delta(z-V t) e^{i \omega t},\left.\sigma_{r z}^{(2)}\right|_{r=R-h}=0,  \tag{4}\\
\left.\sigma_{r r}^{(1)}\right|_{r=R}=\left.\sigma_{r r}^{(2)}\right|_{r=R},\left.\sigma_{r z}^{(1)}\right|_{r=R}=\left.\sigma_{r z}^{(2)}\right|_{r=R},\left.u_{r}^{(1)}\right|_{r=R}=\left.\left.u_{r}^{(2)}\right|_{r=R} \cdot u_{z}^{(1)}\right|_{r=R}=\left.u_{z}^{(2)}\right|_{r=R}  \tag{5}\\
\left|\sigma_{r r}^{(1)}\right| ;\left|\sigma_{\theta \theta}^{(1)}\right| ;\left|\sigma_{z z}^{(1)}\right| ;\left|\sigma_{r z}^{(1)}\right| ;\left|u_{r}^{(1)}\right| ;\left|u_{z}^{(1)}\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \sqrt{r^{2}+z^{2}} \rightarrow \infty \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, the investigation of the problem is reduced to the boundary-contact problem $(1)-(6)$ for solution to which the method developed in the papers [1-4] is employed. Now we consider some fragments of the application of this method for the problem under consideration.

## 3. Method of solution

For solution of the equations (1)-(3). We use the well-known, classical Lame (or Helmholtz) decomposition (see, for instance, the monograph [6] and others listed therein) for solution of the above formulated problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{r}^{(k)}=\frac{\partial \Phi^{(k)}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi^{(k)}}{\partial r \partial z}, u_{z}^{(k)}=\frac{\partial \Phi^{(k)}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi^{(k)}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Psi^{(k)}}{\partial r} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi^{(k)}$ and $\Psi^{(k)}$ satisfy the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \Phi^{(k)}-\frac{1}{\left(c_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi^{(k)}}{\partial t^{2}}=0, \nabla^{2} \Psi^{(k)}-\frac{1}{\left(c_{2}^{(k)}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi^{(k)}}{\partial t^{2}}=0, \nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the notation $c_{1}^{(k)}=\sqrt{\left(\lambda^{(k)}+\mu^{(k)}\right) / \rho^{(k)}}$ and $c_{2}^{(k)}=\sqrt{\mu^{(k)} / \rho^{(k)}}$ is used.
We introduce the moving coordinate system

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}=r, z^{\prime}=z-V t \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which moves with the ring load. Representing all the sought values as $g\left(r, z^{\prime}, t\right)=$ $\bar{g}\left(r, z^{\prime}\right) e^{i \omega t}$ (below, the over bar and upper prime will be omitted) and rewriting the Eq. (8) with the coordinates $r^{\prime}$ and $z^{\prime}$ determined in (9), we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} \Phi^{(k)}-\frac{1}{\left(c_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}}\left(V^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi^{(k)}}{\partial z^{2}}-2 i \omega V \frac{\partial \Phi^{(k)}}{\partial z}-\omega^{2} \Phi^{(k)}\right)=0, \\
& \nabla^{2} \Psi^{(k)}-\frac{1}{\left(c_{2}^{(k)}\right)^{2}}\left(V^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi^{(k)}}{\partial z^{2}}-2 i \omega V \frac{\partial \Psi^{(k)}}{\partial z}-\omega^{2} \Psi^{(k)}\right)=0 . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

During the foregoing transformations, the first condition in (4) transforms to the following one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma_{r r}^{(2)}\right|_{r=R-h}=-P_{0} \delta(z), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

but the other relations and conditions in (1) - (6) remain valid for the amplitudes of the sought values.

Below we will use the dimensionless coordinates $\bar{r}=r / h$ and $\bar{z}=z / h$ instead of the coordinates $r$ and $z$, respectively and the over-bar in $\bar{r}$ and $\bar{z}$ will be omitted.

Further, we employ the exponential Fourier transform $f_{F}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(z) e^{i s z} d z$, according to which, the functions $\Phi^{(k)}$ and $\Psi^{(k)}$, and the amplitudes of the sought values can be presented as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\Phi^{(k)} ; \Psi^{(k)} ; u_{z}^{(k)} ; u_{r}^{(k)} ; \sigma_{n n}^{(k)} ; \sigma_{r z}^{(k)} ; \varepsilon_{n n}^{(k)} ; \varepsilon_{r z}^{(k)}\right\}(r, z)= \\
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{\Phi_{F}^{(k)} ; \Psi_{F}^{(k)} ; u_{z F}^{(k)} ; u_{r F}^{(k)} ; \sigma_{n n F}^{(k)} ; \sigma_{r z F}^{(k)} ; \varepsilon_{n n F}^{(k)} ; \varepsilon_{r z F}^{(k)}\right\}(r, s) e^{-i s z} d s, n n=r r ; \theta \theta ; z z \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting the expressions in (12) into the foregoing equations, relations and contact and boundary conditions, we obtain the corresponding ones for the Fourier transformations of the sought values. After this transform the relation (2), the first and second relation in (3), the second condition in (4) and all the conditions in (5) and (6) also remain valid for their Fourier transforms. Nevertheless, the third and fourth relation in (3), the condition (11) and the relations in (7) transform to the following ones:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{z z F}^{(k)}=i s u_{z F}^{(k)}, \varepsilon_{r z F}^{(k)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{z F}^{(k)}}{\partial r}-i s u_{r F}^{(k)}\right),\left.\sigma_{r r F}^{(2)}\right|_{r=R-h}=-P_{0} u_{r F}^{(k)}=\frac{\partial \Phi_{F}^{(k)}}{\partial r}-i s \frac{\partial \Psi_{F}^{(k)}}{\partial r},  \tag{13}\\
& u_{z}^{(k)}=-i s \Phi_{F}^{(k)}+\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{F}^{(k)}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Psi_{F}^{(k)}}{\partial r}
\end{align*}
$$

where, according to (8), the functions $\Phi_{F}^{(k)}$ and $\Psi_{F}^{(k)}$ are determined from the equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}-\left(s^{2}-\frac{W^{2}\left(c_{2}^{(2)}\right)^{2}}{\left(c_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}}\right)\right] \Phi_{F}^{(k)}=0,}  \tag{14}\\
& {\left[\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}-\left(s^{2}-\frac{W^{2}\left(c_{2}^{(2)}\right)^{2}}{\left(c_{2}^{(k)}\right)^{2}}\right)\right] \Psi_{F}^{(k)}=0,}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\Omega-s c, \Omega=\frac{\omega h}{c_{2}^{(2)}}, c=\frac{V}{c_{2}^{(2)}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into consideration the conditions in (6), the solution to the equations in (14) are found as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{F}^{(2)}=A_{1} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(r_{1}\right)+A_{2} H_{0}^{(2)}\left(r_{1}\right), \Psi_{F}^{(2)}=B_{1} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(r_{2}\right)+B_{2} H_{0}^{(2)}\left(r_{2}\right),  \tag{16}\\
& \Phi_{F}^{(2)}=C_{2} H_{0}^{(2)}\left(r_{11}\right), \Psi_{F}^{(2)}=D_{2} H_{0}^{(2)}\left(r_{21}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $H_{0}^{(1)}(x)$ and $H_{0}^{(2)}(x)$ are the Hankel functions of the first and second kinds, respectively and

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1}=r \sqrt{W^{2} \delta_{1}^{2}-s^{2}}, \delta_{1}=\frac{c_{2}^{(2)}}{c_{1}^{(2)}}, r_{2}=r \sqrt{W^{2}-s^{2}}, \\
& r_{11}=r \sqrt{W_{1}^{2} \delta_{2}^{2}-s^{2}}, W_{1}=W \frac{c_{2}^{(2)}}{c_{2}^{1(1)}}, r_{21}=r \sqrt{W_{1}^{2}-s^{2}} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the expressions in (17) into (13) and the Fourier transforms of the expressions in (2) it is obtained the analytic expressions for the Fourier transforms of the sought values which contain the unknown constants $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}, C_{2}$ and $D_{2}$. Using the Fourier transforms of the contact and boundary conditions (4) and (5) the system of algebraic equations are obtained for these unknowns. Thus, solving this system of equations the Fourier transforms of the sought values are determined completely.

The originals of the aforementioned transforms are determined numerically the algorithm for which are proposed and discussed in the papers [1-5]. Therefore we do not consider here the algorithm and their testing which are used under obtaining numerical results which are discussed below.

## 4. Numerical results and their discussions

First of all, we note that the numerical results which will be considered below are obtained in the following three cases.

Case 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{(1)} / E^{(2)}=0.35, \rho^{(1)} / \rho^{(2)}=0.1, \nu^{(1)}=\nu^{(2)}=0.25 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{(1)} / E^{(2)}=0.05, \rho^{(1)} / \rho^{(2)}=0.01, \nu^{(1)}=\nu^{(2)}=0.25 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{(1)} / E^{(2)}=0.5, \rho^{(1)} / \rho^{(2)}=0.5, \nu^{(1)}=\nu^{(2)}=0.3 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider of the frequency response of the interface normal stress

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r r}(z)=\sigma_{r r}^{(1)}(R, z)=\sigma_{r r}^{(2)}(R, z) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the foregoing cases (18)-(20) for various values of dimensionless moving velocity $c=$ $V / c_{2}^{(2)}$. The graphs of these responses are illustrated in Figs. 2, 3 and 4 for the cases (18), (19) and (20), respectively.


Figure 2: Frequency response of the interface normal stress $\sigma_{r r}$ obtained for various values of the load moving velocity under $h / R=0.5$ (a), 0.2 (b), 0.1 (c) and 0.05 (d) in Case 1


Figure 3: Graphs indicated in Fig. 2 caption and constructed in Case 2


Figure 4: Graphs indicated in Fig. 2 caption and constructed in Case 3
It follows from Figs.2, 3 and 4 that in the all cases under consideration (except the case where $h / R=0.5$ and $0 \leq c \leq 0.3$ in Case 1 and Case 3, and $0 \leq c \leq 0.1$ in Case 3 under which the absolute values of the stress increase with $\Omega$ in the considered change range) the frequency responses have non-monotonic character, i.e. there are such values of $\Omega$ (denote this value of $\Omega$ by $\Omega *$ ) before which the absolute value of the stress $\sigma_{r r}$ becomes maximum and this maximum increases with the moving velocity of the ring load. At the same time, it follows from the results that the values of $\Omega *$ decrease monotonically with $c$. Moreover, Figs. 2a, 2b, 3a, 3b, 3c and 3d show that there may be cases where an increase in the values of $c$ leads to resonance cases. Such resonance cases, and the corresponding resonance frequencies are indicated in these figures.

The above-noted resonances can be estimated as a parametric resonance and as a parameter it can be taken as the load moving velocity. Consequently, under oscillating moving load action of the ring load, resonance type accidents appear not only under critical moving velocities of this load but also under the foregoing type of parametric resonances. Analyses of the foregoing results also show that the absolute maximum values of the stress under consideration increase with decreasing of the ratio $h / R$. Moreover, comparison of the results obtained for Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3 with each other shows that the responses of the interface normal stress to the moving velocity of the ring load and its vibration depend not only on the values of this velocity and frequency, but also depend significantly on the ratio of the mechanical properties of the selected pairs of materials, as indicated in (18) - $(20)$ for the hollow cylinder and surrounding elastic medium. At the same time, the latter dependence has not only quantitative, but also qualitative character.

## 5. Conclusions

Thus, in the present paper the parametric resonance of the system consisting of the hollow cylinder and surrounding elastic medium under action of the time-harmonic oscil-
lating moving ring load acting in the interior of the cylinder is studied. The study is made within the scope of the exact equations and relations of the elastodynamics in the axisymmetric stress-state case. It is described the problem formulation and solution method for this problem.

Numerical results are presented for certain cases which are determined with the ratio of the mechanical constants of the constituents. As a result of the analyses of these results, it is established that there exist the cases under which in the certain values of the velocity of the moving load the oscillation of the moving load causes the resonance of the bi-material elastic system under consideration. The appearance of the resonance cases depends also on the ratio of the cylinder thickness to the cylinder external radius.

The obtained results and their discussions show that the investigations of the problem under consideration have not only theoretical but also the application significance under construction of underground structures. Therefore, it can be concluded that it is necessary to develop such type investigations for the other related problems.

Finally, we note that the results obtained in the present paper have been presented in the 6-th International Conference on Control and Optimization with Industrial Application and the related summary has been published in [7].
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